

Note on the influence of levels of concentrate feeding on the microbial population in goat rumen

V. K. SONI¹ and D. D. SHARMA²

National Dairy Research Institute, Karnal, Haryana 132 001

Received : 14 January 1981

The present investigations were undertaken to study the effect of feed environment on microbial population in the goat rumen.

Three adult fistulated cross-bred bucks (Saanan × Alpine × Beetal) were taken for the study. Four treatment rations comprising different ratios of roughage to concentrate (A, 100:0; B, 80:20; C, 60:40; and D, 40:60) were allotted following randomized block design. The animals were first kept on treatment A for 30 days. The observations were recorded during the last 10 days. Thereafter the animals were shifted to treatments B, C and D in a similar fashion. The samples of rumen liquor were collected at 0, 2, 4, 6 and 8 hr after feeding. Feed was offered twice daily in equal amounts just after the first and last collection. The feed constituted roughage as green oat and concentrate mixture (barley, 45 parts; wheat bran, 30 parts, groundnut-cake, 22 parts; mineral mixture, 2 parts; and common salt, 1 part) containing 15% DCP and 71% TDN. Processing of rumen liquor was carried out as reported earlier (Punia *et al.*, 1980). For total bacterial counts, the method described by Gall *et al.* (1949) and for total and differential count of protozoa the method of Langer *et al.* (1968) was followed. The data were analysed statistically as described by Snedecor and Cochran (1968).

The animals consumed dry matter from roughage and concentrate in the proportion of 100:0 in group A, 81:19 in group B, 56:44 in group C, and 38:62 in group D. The intake of roughage and

concentrate was close to the proportions offered to goats (Table 1). The dry matter, acid-detergent fibre (DAF) and neutral-detergent fibre (NDF) contents of the roughage increased, but crude protein decreased as the crop matured.

Microbial population

The bacterial and protozoal populations are presented in Table 1. The bacterial count linearly increased ($P < 0.01$) from treatments A to D at all sampling intervals. This revealed directly the degree of ruminal protein synthesis. Maki and Foster (1959) also recorded higher bacterial counts where levels of concentrate to hay in the diet were more.

The peak bacterial counts in our study were at 4 hr sampling. Kurihara *et al.* (1968) observed it between 4 and 6 hr after feeding. Sutherland (1976) observed higher relative outflow in animals on diets of fresh forage than in those on concentrate feeds. In our studies, the retention of feed for longer time when high concentrate was fed may have affected the turnover rates and subsequently increased the microbial population. Eadie *et al.* (1970) claimed that the change of diet had no significant effect on the volume of rumen fluid but the rate of outflow from the rumen in their studies was significantly lower on the barley diet than on equal proportion of hay and barley in the diet.

The ciliate protozoal count increased ($P < 0.01$) with increase in concentrate level in the diet (Table 1), possibly because of their ability to ingest starch. Maximum protozoal counts were observed at 4 hr in all the treatments. Eadie *et al.* (1970) observed large variations in rumen ciliate population on rations of restricted and

Present address : ¹Ph.D. Scholar. ²Scientist S-2, Animal Nutrition.

Table 1. Bacterial and ciliate protozoal counts in goat rumen on levels of concentrate

Treatments	Hours after feeding					Treatment average
	0	2	4	6	8	
<i>Total bacterial counts ($\times 10^6$/ml SRL)</i>						
A	29.42 $\pm$ 3.43	37.86 $\pm$ 3.56	48.29 $\pm$ 3.87	38.35 $\pm$ 2.88	29.11 $\pm$ 3.19	36.60
B	34.47 $\pm$ 2.22	50.37 $\pm$ 2.13	58.64 $\pm$ 2.14	46.20 $\pm$ 2.93	30.70 $\pm$ 1.61	44.07
C	37.95 $\pm$ 2.52	51.13 $\pm$ 3.80	76.30 $\pm$ 4.03	48.71 $\pm$ 1.85	40.59 $\pm$ 1.46	50.93
D	42.38 $\pm$ 2.65	54.35 $\pm$ 3.82	80.90 $\pm$ 4.17	52.10 $\pm$ 1.45	47.24 $\pm$ 2.33	55.39
<i>Total ciliate protozoal count ($\times 10$ /ml SRL)</i>						
A	1.55 $\pm$ 0.11	2.16 $\pm$ 0.14	2.52 $\pm$ 0.11	1.82 $\pm$ 0.13	1.77 $\pm$ 0.09	1.96
B	2.43 $\pm$ 0.09	4.52 $\pm$ 0.34	6.62 $\pm$ 0.31	5.87 $\pm$ 0.55	2.71 $\pm$ 0.15	4.43
C	3.75 $\pm$ 0.38	5.40 $\pm$ 0.51	6.67 $\pm$ 0.50	6.13 $\pm$ 1.12	3.91 $\pm$ 0.26	5.17
D	1.85 $\pm$ 0.14	5.90 $\pm$ 0.31	8.73 $\pm$ 0.24	7.07 $\pm$ 0.20	3.36 $\pm$ 0.15	5.38

*Average of 9 observations; $\pm$ standard error.

Table 2. Types of ciliate protozoa in goat rumen on levels of concentrate (%)*

Type	Treatments				Average
	A	B	C	D	
<i>Holotricha</i>	7.41	4.52	5.38	2.38	4.92
<i>Entodinia</i>	62.01	63.88	65.46	67.53	64.72
<i>Diplodinia</i>	11.35	14.30	13.00	15.34	13.74
<i>Eudiplodinia</i>	3.82	2.39	2.52	2.41	2.78
<i>Polyplastron</i> and others	15.41	14.91	13.64	12.34	14.07

*Average of 45 observations.

ad lib. crushed barley to complete roughage diet.

Distribution of ciliate protozoa

Entodinia formed the major bulk of protozoa (Table 2). *Polyplastron* and other large protozoa were also abundant, followed by *Diplodinia*, *Holotricha* and *Eudiplodinia*.

Ciliates failed to establish due to low rumen pH on all-concentrate diet, and barley diet given in amounts below appetite favoured the development of a large ciliate population representing 75% *Entodinium* spp. (Eadie *et al.* 1967, 1970). They also recorded exceptionally high counts for

Epidinium spp. and *Eremoplastron* spp. Percentages of *Entodinia* and *Diplodinia* in the rumen liquor were more (<0.01) on concentrate fed groups, whereas all the other types of protozoa showed the reverse trend. Reports of Naga and El-Shazly (1969) and Sinha *et al.* (1974) were quite comparable with the protozoal number recorded in the present studies. The same trend was observed by Punia *et al.* (1980) in buffalo rumen when animals were kept on similar proportions of roughage to concentrate. The data indicated that feed environment has a specific role to effect the type and count of microflora in the rumen of goats.

REFERENCES

- EADIE, J. M., HOBSON, P. N. and MANN, S. O. 1967. A note on some comparisons between the rumen content of barley fed steers and that of young calves also fed on a high concentrate ration. *Anim. Prod.* **9** : 247-50.
- EADIE, J. M., HYLDGAARD-JENSEN, J., MANN, S. O., REID, R. S. and WHITELAW, F. G. 1970. Observations on microbiology and biochemistry of the rumen in cattle given different quantities of a pelleted barley ration. *Br. J. Nutr.* **24** : 157-77.
- GALL, L. S., BURROUGHS, W., GERLAUGH, P. and EDINGTON, B. H. 1949. Special methods for rumen bacterial studies in the field. *J. Anim. Sci.* **8** : 433-40.
- KURIHARA, Y., EADIE, J. M., HOBSON, P. N. and MANN, S. O. 1968. Relationship between bacteria and ciliate protozoa in sheep rumen. *J. gen. Microbiol.* **51** : 267-88.
- LANCER, P. N., SIDHU, G. S. and BHATIA, I. S. 1968. A study of the microbial population in the rumina of buffalo and zebu on a feeding regimen deficient in carbohydrates. *Indian J. vet. Sci.* **38** : 333-36.
- MAKI, L. R. and FOSTER, E. M. 1959. Effect of roughage in the bovine ration on types of bacteria in the rumen. *J. Dairy Sci.* **40** : 905-13.
- NAGA, M. A. and EL-SHAZLY, K. 1969. Activities of rumen micro-organisms in water buffaloes (*Bos bubalus*) and in zebu cattle. *J. Dairy Res.* **36** : 1-10.
- PUNIA, B. S., SHARMA, D. D. and SINHA, R. N. 1980. Effect of levels of concentrate in the diet on the microflora of buffalo rumen. *Indian J. Nutr. Dietet.* **17** (In Press).
- SINHA, R. N., SHARMA, D. D., NAMBUDRIPAD, V. K. N. and RANGANATHAN, B. 1974. Preliminary observations on the effect of ration after feeding at different intervals on microbial population and metabolic products of buffalo rumen. *Indian J. Anim. Sci.* **44** : 18-21.
- SNEDECOR, G. W. and COCHRAN, W. G. 1968. *Statistical Methods*. 6th edn. Oxford and IBH Pub. Co., California.
- SUTHERLAND, T. M. 1976. In *Reviews in Rural Science: From Plant to Animal Protein*. Vol. 2, pp. 65. (Ed) Sutherland, T. M., McWilliam, J. R. and Leng, R. A. University New England Publishing Unit, Armidale, Australia.