


**RESPONSE OF MAIZE VARIETIES GROWN  
IN RICE FALLOWS TO GRADED LEVELS OF NITROGEN**

**BY  
K. JALEESA**

**THESIS  
SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE  
REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEGREE  
MASTER OF SCIENCE IN AGRICULTURE  
FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE  
KERALA AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY**

**DEPARTMENT OF AGRONOMY  
COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE  
VELLAYANI, TRIVANDRUM**

**1987**



11

## DECLARATION


I hereby declare that this thesis entitled "RESPONSE OF SAKE VARIETIES GROWN IN RICE FIELDS TO GRADED LEVELS OF NITROGEN" is a bonafide record of research work done by me during the course of research and that the thesis has not previously formed the basis for the award to me any degree, diploma, associateship, fellowship or other similar title at any other University or society.

*S. Jaleesa*  
S. JALEESA

Vellayani,  
25.04.1987.

## C E R T I F I C A T E

certified that this thesis entitled  
 "REACTION OF LARVAE VARIEGATA GRUBS IN REL. SALINITY  
 TO CRABBY LEVELS OF NITROGEN" is a record of  
 research work done independently by Mrs. E. JAMES A  
 under my guidance and my criticism and that it has  
 not previously formed the basis for the award of  
 any degree, fellowship or association to her.

  
 C. V. S. PILLAY,  
 CHAIRMAN  
 Advisory Committee  
 PROFESSOR AND HEAD OF  
 THE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Vellore,  
 25.10.1957.

ATTORNEY BY

CHARLES

DR. V.K. SACHINAR

*[Handwritten signature]*

AND

1. DR. V. PURALAKSHMAN JAI

*[Handwritten signature]*

2. Sri. U. MOHAMED KUNJU

*[Handwritten signature]*

3. Dr. (Mrs.) P. SARASWATHY

*[Handwritten signature]*

*[Handwritten signature]*

LEGAL COUNSEL

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

It is my present duty to express my deep sense of gratitude and indebtedness to Dr. V.K. Acharya, Chairman of my advisory committee and Professor and Head of the Department of Agronomy for his constant encouragement, expert advice, patient guidance and constructive criticism throughout the course of study and preparation of manuscript.

I also express my sincere thanks to Mr. V. Venkateshwar Rao, Professor of Agronomy, Communication Centre, Directorate of Extension, IARI, Meerut and Mr. N. Suresh Babu, Asst. Professor of Agronomy, Rice Research Station, Mysore who have rendered invaluable help and suggestions during the conduct of this investigation.

I express my gratefulness to Mr. V. Prabhakaran, Professor and Head of the Department of Agricultural Statistics for the help in designing the field experiment, statistical analysis of the data and for making critical suggestions in the preparation of thesis.

I owe immense gratitude to Mr. N. Abdul Jalil, Asst. Professor of Agronomy for his constructive and helpful criticism and for making valuable suggestions in the preparation of the thesis.

Thanks are also due to Dr. K. Subhanga'ee, Professor of Agronomy, Instructional Data, Vellore for providing all facilities for the conduct of field experiments in the Farm.

I am extremely thankful to the staff and students of the Department of Agronomy for their sincere help extended to me throughout the research programme.

I acknowledge my sincere thanks to Sri. G. . Ajith Kumar, Junior Programmer, Department of Statistics for his help in the computer analysis of the data.

I record my sincere thanks to Mr. Naba Nathu and Mrs. Beema Maheswari, the students of the Department of Agronomy for their limitless help in the conduct of chemical analysis and whole hearted cooperation at every stage of the study.

Above all, I am immensely grateful to the Department of Agriculture, Government of Kerala for granting me for the Post Graduate Course in Agronomy.

Lastly, I am highly obliged to my parents, husband and children without their prayers, blessings and encouragements this work would not have been possible.

*J. M. M. M.*  
M. M. M. M.

## CONTENTS

		PAGE
INTRODUCTION	** **	1 - 3
DIVISION OF LITERATURE	** **	4 - 37
MATERIAL AND METHOD	** **	38 - 52
RESULTS	** **	53 - 74
DISCUSSION	** **	95 - 129
APPENDIX	** **	131 - 135
REFERENCES	** **	1 - xviii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	** **	1 - xiii
ABSTRACT	** **	1 - 3

## LIST OF TABLES

Table Number ---	Title ---	Page Number ---
1	Mechanical and Chemical composition of the soil	39
2(a)	Plant height, leaf number and leaf area Index at different growth stages as influenced by varieties and levels of nitrogen	57
2(b)	Plant height, leaf number and leaf area Index at different growth stages as influenced by varieties x nitrogen interaction	58 & 59
3(a)	Days to silking, leaf-stem ratio at harvest and yield components as influenced by varieties and levels of nitrogen	67
3(b)	Days to silking, leaf-stem ratio at harvest and yield components as influenced by varieties x nitrogen interaction	68 & 69
4(a)	Grain yield, stover yield, harvest Index, dry-matter production and protein content of grain as influenced by varieties and levels of nitrogen	76
4(b)	Grain yield, stover yield, harvest Index, dry-matter production and protein content of grain as influenced by varieties x nitrogen interaction	77 & 78
5(a)	N, P and K content of plant and grain at harvest as influenced by varieties and levels of nitrogen	81
5(b)	N, P and K content of plant and grain at harvest as influenced by varieties x nitrogen interaction	82 & 83

## LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

- Fig. 1     weather condition during the cropping cycle.
- Fig. 2     layout plan of the experiment in split-plot design
- Fig. 3     Number of grain per cob
- Fig. 4     Thousand grain weight
- Fig. 5     Grain Yield
- Fig. 6     Stover Yield
- Fig. 7     Protein Content of grain
- Fig. 8     Uptake of  $N_2$  and  $P$  at harvest
- Fig. 9     Response of maize varieties to nitrogen

# **INTRODUCTION**

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Maize (Zea mays L.), occupies a unique position among foodgrains because of its adaptability to wide range of soil and climatic conditions. It is one of the important crops which not only meets the dietary needs of man but provides raw materials for a wide variety of industrial products also. Because of the above qualities and also of its world wide distribution and lower price, maize has a wider range of uses than any other cereal. It is used in direct human consumption in industrially processed foods, as a livestock feed. In addition, industrial non-food products like starches are also produced from maize. Recently there has been interest in using maize for ethanol production as a substitute for petroleum based fuels.

It has been proved beyond doubt that use of hybrid varieties is the key to higher yields in maize. Hybrid maize being a heavy feeder is found to be very responsive to fertilizer nutrients. The average grain yield of maize in India is reported to be very low (1 ton/ha). However, the yields upto 11.5 t/ha have been reported at certain places through improved agronomic practices (Jain, 1981). It is very correctly said, "the sun never sets on a growing maize crop". This is because of its biological adaptability to widely varying agroclimatic conditions.

Fertilisation of maize with nitrogen plays an important role in increasing productivity. Identification of suitable variety and optimum dose of nitrogen is an important step towards securing higher yield in maize. Crop breeders have developed hybrid and composite maize varieties which have much greater yield potentials than the indigenous maize varieties. Several researchers like Meenakshi et al (1975), Sharma (1978), Ramjosh Singh et al (1980), Kalamani et al (1980), Singh (1982), Singh et al (1984), and Patel et al (1985) have reported that suitable maize varieties and proper nitrogen fertilisation have profound influence on yielding ability of maize.

High yield potential of hybrid and composite maize had led its cultivation in different parts of the country. For the exploitation of yield potentials from these composites and hybrids also, proper fertilisation is a must. On account of the vast variation in the agroclimatic regions of the country it is very important to initiate studies to screen out the most suitable hybrids or composites and the most economic level of nitrogen.

In Kerala it is not grown extensively except in the border areas of Tamil Nadu and Karnataka. However, greater possibilities exist in the partially shaded areas under coconut trees for the cultivation of maize in the state as revealed in a previous experiment conducted at Vellore.

another potential area available for the purpose is the rice fallow spread over the entire state with sufficient source of irrigation. However, suitable variety of maize and nitrogen dose have not been identified under the rice fallow situation so far. Therefore an experiment has been conducted in the rice fallow during the summer season with the following objectives.

1. To find out the most suitable variety of maize to be grown under rice fallow condition.
2. To fix up the optimum dose of nitrogen for each variety under rice fallow condition.

## **REVIEW OF LITERATURE**

## 2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The available literature on the influence of nitrogen on various growth characters, yield components and yield, quality parameters and uptake of major nutrients by maize crop are reviewed here under.

### 2.1. Growth Characters

Growth characters of maize are probably more limited by a deficiency in nitrogen than by any other nutrient (Gangwar and Kaira, 1981). Considerable improvements in the general growth and drymatter accumulation of maize plants by the application of fertilizer nitrogen have been reported by workers like Ahlawat *et al* (1975, and Singh and Guleria (1979).

#### 2.1.1. Plant height

Nitrogen application has a positive effect on height of plants. Nair *et al* (1966) reported that there was a linear increase in plant height with increasing levels of nitrogen. Rajput *et al* (1970, suggested that the increase in nitrogen rates were accompanied by a linear increase in plant height upto a level of 160 kg N/ha. According to Hati and Panda (1970) increase in the rates of fertilizers resulted in an increase in plant height. Jumbali and Caprakash (1971) reported that nitrogen had shown a significant increase in plant height and maximum height of

290 cm was recorded at 120 kg N/ha. Mandal et al (1972) concluded that the crop growth expressed by plant height was successively improved with an increase in the rate of nitrogen. Sharma (1973) in his studies on the response of maize to nitrogen fertilisation revealed that the increasing rates of applied nitrogen increased the plant height. Rajagopal and Norachan (1974) observed that nitrogen significantly increased the plant height. Rajan et al (1974) reported that the plant height was maximum at the highest dose of nitrogen (120 kg N/ha). Satter et al (1975) found that maximum plant height was given by flint maize with 180 kg N/ha. El-sharkawy et al (1976) observed that the plant height was increased with increasing nitrogen application. According to Rathore et al (1976), there was a steady increase in plant height with increasing levels of nitrogen. They also reported that the plant height of variety Vijay was higher than Ganga-101, but the difference recorded was not significant. The result of experiment conducted by Gangro (1977) revealed that the increase in applied nitrogen upto 200 kg N/ha increased the plant height. Again Gangro (1978) reported a linear increase in stem height with increasing rates of nitrogen upto 200 kg/ha. Mohammed et al (1978) reported that the height per plant increased significantly with increasing levels of nitrogen.

According to Salem and Aly (1979), there was no significant difference between cultivars in plant height. Mathurkrishnan and Subramonian (1980) reported that the plant height increased linearly with increasing levels of nitrogen fertilization. Shanfencic et al. (1981) suggested that the growth components of maize were increased by increasing nitrogen fertilizer levels. Singh et al. (1982, reported that nitrogen application to maize increased the plant height. Similar trend was observed by Chae et al. (1982) and Salem et al. (1983). Adetolayo et al. (1984) suggested that in maize checks, stem growth appeared to have been influenced mostly by nitrogen application.

However, reduction in plant height with increase in nitrogen levels was also reported by many workers. El-Hattab et al. (1980) obtained a decrease in plant height with increasing nitrogen rate. Yahya and Andrew (1981, also observed a negative response in plant height. Russel (1984) reported that the effects of nitrogen treatments were not significant for plant height. Farah et al. (1984) reported a positive but diminishing response to increasing levels of nitrogen in terms of plant height.

#### 2.1.2. Number of leaves per plant.

According to Rajput et al. (1970), number of leaves increased linearly with increasing levels of nitrogenous

fertilizers. Hati and Panda (1970) reported linear increase in the number of leaves per plant with increasing nitrogen rates. Sunbali and Omprakash (1971) reported that even though the number of leaves per plant was not affected significantly with increasing levels of nitrogen individually, the maximum number of leaves was recorded at 90kg N/ha. The results of experiment conducted by Gangro (1977) showed increase in the number of leaves per plant with increased rate of nitrogen. Yahya and Andrew (1981) showed significant linear increase in leaf number with increasing levels of nitrogen. Adetiloye *et al.* (1984) suggested that the leaf growth has been influenced mostly by nitrogen fertilization.

### 2.1.3. Leaf Area Index.

Saxena and Singh (1965) estimated the use of a factor 0.75 for converting the product of length and maximum width of maize leaves to a closed approximation of the actual leaf area.

Hati and Panda (1970) suggested that the leaf area per plant increased as a result of the increase in the rate of fertilizers. According to Rajagopal and Norachan (1974) differences in LAI was reported to be increased by the application of nitrogenous fertilizers. Krishnamoorthy *et al.* (1974) reported that increasing rates of nitrogen had a significant effect on leaf area index. Studies conducted by Kuznetsov (1979)

revealed that the increasing fertilizer nitrogen rates from 60 to 180 kg/ha increased the leaf area index. The results of experiment conducted by Krishnamoorthy (1975) indicated that summer planting exhibited faster growth of crop enabling early achievement of larger leaf area index. Later Gangro (1977) showed that the leaf area index was increased with increasing nitrogen levels. Ignatova (1978) reported that the applied fertilizers increased the leaf area per plant. Mikhail and Shalaby (1979) found that high rates of nitrogen had a significant influence on leaf area index. Athar (1979) studied the physiological characteristics of hybrids and found that the mean leaf area index increased with increased application of nitrogen fertilizers and the mean leaf area index at high grain yields occurred at 100 kg N/ha. Investigation carried out by Elias et al. (1979) revealed that increased rates of nitrogen had a positive effect on leaf area index and maximum dry matter yield was obtained at a leaf area index of 5.5.

Later El-Hattab et al. (1980) showed that leaf area and leaf area index were increased with increasing nitrogen rates and the leaf area index was greatest at silking. Studies conducted by Gangwar and Kalra (1981) found that the leaf area index of rainfed maize increased with increase in nitrogen rates from 40 to 120 kg N/ha.

Hagy (1982) suggested that the highest yields were associated with 6-6.12 leaf area index. According to Salun et al. (1983, nitrogen application increased the leafarea index significantly.

#### 2.1.4. Days to silking

Studies conducted by Sharma (1970) revealed that the application of higher doses of nitrogen enhanced the days to maturity by a week. According to Handloi et al. (1972) early silking was recorded with the application of nitrogen at different dose. They also found that the number of days to silking after planting was significantly less by 6-10 days with the application of 160 kg N/ha. Sharma (1973) suggested that increasing the rates of applied nitrogen decreased the days to silking.

Rathore et al. (1976) found that the silking was earlier with 160 kg N/ha by a margin of 10 days over control. This result was in accordance with the results of Sharma and Gupta (1968). Athar (1979) reported that the days to 5% silking increased with increasing plant population but decreased with increased nitrogen fertilization.

El-Hattab et al. (1980) found that increasing level of nitrogen led to early silking. Later Yadav et al. (1983) observed that the application of 70-120 kg N/ha encouraged the days to silking by 5 to 7 days.

However, according to Hair et al. (1966) earliness in cobbing was not significantly influenced by the various nitrogen treatments.

#### 2.1.5. Drymatter Production

Vismari et al. (1970) reported that increasing nitrogen rates upto 150 kg/ha had a significant effect on drymatter production. Later Ghan and Naolongie (1972) found that the nitrogen increased the drymatter yields during vegetative growth. Gill et al. (1972) found significant increase in drymatter yield with increasing nitrogen levels upto 100 kg/ha while Krishnamurthy et al. (1974) in a varietal trial reported that the highest value of drymatter was recorded by Deccan with 200 kg N/ha. Agarwal et al. (1974) reported that there was a significant increase in the yield of drymatter with increase in nitrogen application. According to Ahlawat et al. (1975), nitrogen fertilisation increase the drymatter accumulation and the rate of drymatter accumulation was faster in nitrogen fertilised plants and the per plant dryweight increased continuously upto 90-95 days.

Later, Martin (1976), suggested that the highest drymatter yields were obtained with the combinations containing the highest proportion of nitrogen. Hansson et al. (1979) and Mikhail and Shalaby (1979) reported an increasing trend in drymatter production with increased nitrogen rates.

Kurnetov (1979) observed that there was a relationship between fertilizer rates, increases in biomass production and drymatter accumulation.

According to Aliac et al. (1979), this increasing trend was observed only upto 17. kg N/ha. Similar results were obtained by Nayyar and Sawarkar (1980) also. But Muthukrishnan and Subramonian (1980) reported that the plant drymatter increased linearly with increasing levels of nitrogen, maximum drymatter being recorded at 180 kg N/ha.

Stoyakov (1983) reported that increasing N<sub>p</sub> rates favourably influenced the chlorophyll content which in turn was correlated with drymatter accumulation. Later Woltinger et al. (1985) found that the corn drymatter yield exhibited strong nitrogen response.

## 2.2. Yield Components

### 2.2.1. Number of Cobs per plant

Studies conducted by Singh (1964) showed that nitrogen increased the number of cobs per plant. Sharma et al. (1969) reported that increasing rates of nitrogen had a significant effect on the number of cobs per plant. Sharma (1975) also reported an increase in the number of

cobs per plant with increased rate of applied nitrogen. Later, Attar et al. (1975) observed higher number of cobs per plant with 120 kg N/ha. Rathore et al. (1976) found that the number of cobs per plant increased significantly with increase in nitrogen levels.

The results of field trials conducted by Brar and Kholra (1977) revealed that there was an increase in the number of cobs per unit area with increased rate of applied nitrogen upto 150 kg/ha. According to Kayode and Agboola (1981), nitrogen affected the number of cobs per plant. Later Short et al. (1982) also reported an increase in number of cobs per plant with increased nitrogen. Similar trend in number of cobs per plant was reported by Karin et al. (1983) and Adetiloye et al. (1984). Russel (1984) also observed significant linear increase in the number of cobs per plant with increased nitrogen levels upto 240 kg N.

### 2.2.2. Number of grains per cob

Rajput et al. (1974) reported that increasing levels of nitrogen not only increased the number of cobs per plant, but increased the number of grains per cob also. Similar trend was seen by Arona et al. (1973). Attar et al. (1975) observed a higher number of grains per cob with 120 kg N/ha. According to Rathore et al. (1976), the number of grains per cob showed a linear increase with increasing levels of nitrogen upto 160 kg/ha.

Krishnamurthy et al. (1977) found that the variety Vijay had the highest number of grains (491) per ear. Later Al-Rudha and Al-Younis (1978) observed an increasing trend in number of grains per cob with increasing rates of nitrogen. Kharkar (1980) reported a linear increase in the grain weight per ear with increasing levels of nitrogen. Muthukrishnan and Subramonian (1980) found that the number of grains per ear showed a positive effect with each additional level of applied nitrogen.

### 2.2.3. Length of Cob

Hati and Panda (1976) reported a linear increase in cob length with increase in fertilizer nitrogen upto 160 kg/ha. However, according to Rathore et al. (1976) the length of cob increased linearly upto 160 kg N/ha. Further Gangro (1978) observed that the ear length was increased with increase in applied nitrogen upto 200 kg N/ha. Similar increasing trend in ear length with increased nitrogen upto 200 kg N/ha was reported by Shalaby and Mikhail (1979) and Sciput et al. (1979). El-Hattab et al. (1980) also reported a similar trend with all yield components. Studies conducted by Muthukrishnan and Subramonian (1980) revealed that the length of cob was increased with increasing levels of nitrogen in cv. Canga-5.

The results of experiment conducted by Subramonian

et al. (1982) revealed that the cob length exhibited more or less increase with each additional level of nitrogen starting from 60 kg N/ha. Later Russel (1984) observed a significant linear response in ear length higher level of nitrogen. Adotilayo et al. (1984) also observed an increase in cob length with nitrogen fertilisation.

However, Karim et al. (1983), suggested that the ear length was unaffected by nitrogen fertilization.

#### 2.2.4. Girth of Cobs

Nair et al. (1966) found that there was an increase in the girth of cob with increase in nitrogen levels. Al-Jarhavy et al. (1976) reported an increase in the diameter of cobs upto 164 kg N/ha. Rathore et al. (1976) observed that the thickness of cob increased linearly in girth upto 160 kg N/ha. Subramonian et al. (1982) also reported significant increase in the girth of cob with increasing levels of applied nitrogen. Recent investigation by Russel (1984) showed significant linear response in the ear diameter with different levels of nitrogen.

#### 2.2.5. Weight of Cob

In field trials conducted, Nair et al. (1966) reported an increase in nitrogen level. Hati and Panda (1970) reported a linear increase in cob weight with

increase in fertilizer nitrogen upto 160 kg N/ha. The results of investigation done by Rajan and Sankaran (1974), revealed that the cob weight was influenced mainly by different levels of nitrogen fertilisation. Rathore et al. (1976), found significant increase in the weight of cobs with the addition of nitrogen upto 160 kg N/ha. The results of field trial conducted by Brar and Khehra (1977) revealed an increase in cob weight upto 150 kg N/ha. Similar increasing trend in cob weight with increased nitrogen upto 200 kg/ha was reported by Shalaby and Mikhail (1979), Seiput et al. (1979), El-Hattab et al. (1980) and Kazim et al. (1983). But according to Khazka. (198 ) the increase in cob weight was only upto 160 kg N/ha.

#### 2.2.6. Thousand grain weight

According to Singh (1964) application of nitrogen fertilizers increased the weight of grains. But studies conducted by Nair et al. (1966), revealed that nitrogen level had no significant effect on the test weight of grain. However, there was a progressive trend showing that as nitrogen level increased the test weight was also increased. The lack of significance may be because the difference between levels of nitrogen may not be sufficient to show a significant increase in the test weight of grains.

Rajput et al. (1976) reported that nitrogen level

upto 16 kg/ha increased the thousand grain weight significantly. Tripathi (1971) observed that the test weight of grains increased linearly with increasing nitrogen levels. Channa (1973) also observed a linear increase in thousand grain weight with increased rate of applied nitrogen. Rajan and Ambavon (1974) found that the grain weight was influenced chiefly by levels of nitrogen.

According to Rathore et al. (1976) thousand grain weight increased progressively with increasing levels of nitrogen up to 16 kg/ha after which it showed a negative trend. Later Subramonian (1977) reported that applied nitrogen increased the thousand grain weight. Krishnamoorthy et al. (1977) found the lowest thousand grain weight (222 g. in cv. Vijay).

Al-Rudha and Al-Younis (1973) observed an increase in thousand grain weight up to 120 kg N/ha. However, according to Singh et al. (1973) the increasing trend in thousand grain weight was seen up to 80 kg N/ha. Chalaby and Mikhail (1979) also observed increased thousand grain weight with 200 kg N/ha. But Khartar (1980), in his rainfed trials with hybrids recorded a linear response only up to 160 kg N/ha.

Earlier conducted by Mathukrishnan and Subramonian (1960) revealed that increasing levels of nitrogen increased the thousand grain weight. The results of investigation done by Subramonian et al. (1962) showed that the test weight of grains increased with successive application of nitrogen.

Later Russol (1984, reported a linear increase in thousand grain weight upto 240 kg N/ha.

### 2.3. Yield

Nair et al. (1966, observed a linear increase in Grain yield of maize with fertilizer nitrogen at different levels. According to Singh (1967) the grain yields increased with increasing levels of applied nitrogen only upto 89.67 kg/ha, but higher rates were not effective. Singh and Sharma (1968) found significant increase in grain yield with 80 kg N/ha. Nair and Balne (1968) reported that the highest yields were obtained with 12. kg N/ha. But Overton and Long (1969) in their studies with graded doses of nitrogen in maize observed maximum grain yield at 150 kg N/ha.

Tewary et al. (1970) reported a linear increase in grain yield with 150 kg N/ha. Hati and Panda (1970, observed a linear increase in grain yield with fertilizer nitrogen upto 100 kg N/ha, where as Rajput et al. (1970) reported a linear increase in grain yield upto 180 kg N/ha. Verma and Singh (1971, reported that increasing nitrogen rates from zero to 150 kg/ha increased the average grain yields from 0.97 to 3.07 t/ha and further increase in nitrogen rates decreased the yields. The linear response

of maize upto 180 kg N/ha was reported by Shah *et al.* (1971). Umbali and Ougrakash (1971, obtained maximum grain yield with 120 kg N/ha. But Srivastava *et al.* (1971, observed a significant yield increase with nitrogen level upto 160 kg N/ha. According to Rathi and Ali (1972 application of nitrogen exerted a linear increase in grain yield upto the highest dose of 120 kg N/ha. Similar linear increase in grain yield was reported by Sachchar and Ganandan (1972) during summer in red loam soils of Kerala. Mandloi *et al.* (1972) showed a significant increase in grain yield with increase in nitrogen level upto 160 kg N/ha.

Studies conducted by Inha and Umar (1972, indicated that the yield of maize increased with nitrogen application upto 165 kg/ha, but decreased at 220 kg/ha. Applied nitrogen showed both positive linear and a negative quadratic effect on yields. With the higher nitrogen rates increases in yield resulted from nitrogen fertilization, but the yield decreased at nitrogen rates above 224 kg/ha (Powell and Webb, 1972). Krishnamoorthy and Weeks (1972) also observed an increase in grain yield upto 160 kg N/ha, but declined beyond this level. But Power *et al.* (1972) reported that the corn production was highest at 110 kg N/ha.

Malik (1973) found a significant increase in grain yield upto 120 kg N/ha, while Arora *et al.* (1973) obtained a significant yield increase upto 150 kg N/ha. Significant increase in yield with 80 kg N/ha was reported by Bangarwal *et al.* (1973).

Joginder Singh (1974) reported that the application of nitrogen from zero to 240 kg N/ha increased the grain yields. The results of experiment conducted by Channugha Sundaram et al. (1974), showed that increasing nitrogen rates from nil to 72 kg/ha increased grain yields from 3.41 to 5.19 t/ha. Rajan and Sankaran (1974) recorded the maximum grain yield with 120 kg. N/ha. This was in agreement with the studies conducted by Agrawal et al. (1974), Rajan et al. (1974) and Reddy and Kalra et al. (1974).

The results of trials conducted by Bharghava et al. (1975) and Iqbal and Kothari (1975) during summer revealed that the nitrogen rate of 160 kg/ha resulted increase in the average grain yield. But Ramaswamy et al. (1974) observed a linear increase in grain yield with increasing nitrogen application upto 100 kg/ha. There was an increase in grain yield with increase in nitrogen rates though not significant as reported by Saidu and Reddy (1975). Ganju et al. (1975) obtained the highest average yield of 6.19 t/ha at 150 kg. N/ha and lowest yield of 3.91 t/ha were obtained at 50 kg. N/ha.

Patil Singh et al. (1976) observed that in sandy loam soil, increasing nitrogen rates from zero to 120 kg. N/ha increased the grain yields from 1.49 to 2.9 t/ha. Al-Charkay et al. (1976) and Verma and Singh (1976), observed a linear increase in grain yield upto 120 kg. N/ha, after which led to

reduction in yield. But significant responses were obtained by doses of  $N_2$  (1976 upto 3 kg N/ha.

Reddy *et al.* (1977), Hussain and Alsham (1977) and Hussain *et al.* (1977) found a significant yield increase with increase in available nitrogen upto 15 kg/ha. The results of a previous conference by Hussain and Alsham (1977) indicate that nitrogen fertilizer significantly increased the grain yields but there was no significant difference in yield between nitrogen applied at 75 or 15 kg/ha. Hussain and Ali (1977) reported an increase in grain yield upto 15 kg N/ha. Vatra *et al.* (1977) obtained the highest grain yield with 25 kg N/ha. Alsham and Rehata (1977) reported increase in grain yield upto 25 kg N/ha. Gonzalez *et al.* (1977) reported that the best yield of 4.50 t/ha was obtained from plot given 12 kg N/ha.

Hussain *et al.* (1978) in their studies on the response of rainfall water to nitrogen application revealed that the highest grain yield was obtained with 60 kg N/ha. Prasad (1978),

Ansari and Hussain (1978) Hussain and Hussain (1978), Alsham and Al-Younis (1978) and Hussain *et al.* (1978) obtained yield response only upto 150 kg N/ha. Trials conducted by Hussain *et al.* (1978) and Hussain (1978) revealed that the grain yields increase significantly with increasing nitrogen rates upto 150 kg N/ha. Hussain and Hussain *et al.* (1978) observed high response to nitrogen application upto 25 kg N/ha. Hussain and Hussain *et al.*

(1979) and et al. (1979) observed significant response of fertilizer nitrogen upto 160 kg N/ha. But Rehalic and Desobout (1979) found that 150 kg and 200 kg N/ha were not significantly different in yield response. et al. (1979) reported a linear increase in grain yield with nitrogen levels upto 225 kg/ha but was depressed by higher rates. et al. (1979) and et al. (1979) reported an increasing trend in grain yield with increase in rate of nitrogen. et al. (1979) and et al. (1979) also reported increased grain yield up to 210 and 270 kg N/ha respectively. But et al. (1979) found that 150 kg N/ha was the most efficient nitrogen rate. et al. (1980) and et al. (1980) reported an yield increase upto 150 kg N/ha. The results of trials conducted by et al. (1980) and et al. (1980) showed significant yield response to nitrogen fertilizers upto 120 kg/ha. et al. (1980) and et al. (1980) also reported similar observations.

et al. (1981) in their studies observed that the optimal rate of nitrogen was 130 kg/ha. et al. (1981) found that the economic optimum nitrogen rate was 90 - 127 kg/ha. According to et al. (1981) highest grain yields were obtained with 160 kg N/ha. But et al. (1981) and et al. (1981) found response only upto 120 kg N/ha. 120 kg N/ha was found to increase the grain yield

according to Baltazar (1981), Sarkar and Sinha (1981) and Kayode and Agboola (1981).

Ciobanu (1981) reported that the maximum grain yield were achieved with 128 - 178 kg nitrogen and more economic yields were obtained with 87 - 127 kg/ha. According to Tianu *et al.* (1981) the economically optimum rate of nitrogen ranged from 178 to 184 kg/ha. But Knapp and Raid (1981) suggested that the optimum nitrogen was 120 to 180 kg N/ha. Getmanets *et al.* (1981) found that increasing nitrogen rates from 0 - 180 kg/ha increased the grain yield. Barua *et al.* (1981) observed 80 kg N/ha is the optimum fertilizer dose for obtaining profitable yield of high yielding varieties of maize.

Mihajlovic (1982) suggested the optimum nitrogen rate for obtaining economic return as 140 kg N/ha. But Marinkovic (1982) and Barnard and Hornby (1982) observed yield response upto 150 kg N/ha. Subramoniam *et al.* (1982) and Short *et al.* (1982) and Rucka (1982) found that the application of graded doses of nitrogen exhibited pronounced effect on grain yield. But the highest yield being achieved with 120 kg N/ha as reported by Reddy and Patil (1982) and Tripathi and Singh (1982). Bala-subramoniam and Singh (1982) observed higher yield response to nitrogen and they found that the calculated nitrogen rate for maximum yield was 177.5 kg N/ha.

Wafar (Wajid et al., 1985) and Nouf and A. A. (1985) observed that yields were only 10% up to 2 kg N/ha. According to Gaur and Singh (1983) wheat grain yield showed an appreciable response to nitrogen rates up to 100 kg/ha. Several workers viz., Das (1953) and et al. (1953), Anwar et al. (1981) and et al. (1982) so prove that increasing nitrogen rate increased the grain yield. Yadav et al. (1964) observed yield response only up to 32 kg N/ha.

Grain yield of wheat increased significantly with increase in nitrogen up to 99 kg/ha (Singh et al., 1974). Parth et al. (1984) observed the yield response up to 321 kg N/ha. According to El-Hattab and El-Hith (1957) grain yield increased from 3.65 to 6.7 t/ha with increasing nitrogen rate. This result was in agreement with the studies of Bales et al. (1954) and Bagal and Singh (1954).

Agarwal et al. (1984) in their studies conducted under non-irrigated condition revealed that the best economic nitrogen rate for grain production was 143 kg N/ha. Increase in grain yield due to nitrogenous fertilization was reported by Dhar and Dhanraj Singh (1954), Yadav (1954) Jaisankar (1954), Kishor et al. (1956).

Sinha (1965) found significant yield increase with increase of nitrogen rate. According to Anwar (1985) yield

response to nitrogen application and generally the yields were higher in dry season than in wet season. But Patel *et al.* (1965) found increased grain yield due to nitrogen application only upto 15 kg N/ha.

From the results of trial conducted by Sankardevy and Iyerthayam (1956), it is seen that the maize crop was the best remunerative crop in respect of yield, net profit, per acre income and per rupee invested at a cropping of 50 x 20 cm with 15 kg N/ha.

### 2.3.1.3. Grain yield of 411 cross varieties

Harra and Gupta (1968) reported a significant increase in grain yield of maize variety Ganga safed-2 with increasing levels of nitrogen upto 10 kg/ha and there was further non-significant increase with 16-25 kg N/ha. Sharma *et al.* (1969) also observed a highest grain yield response to applied nitrogen at 20 kg/ha in Ganga safed-2. Sharma (197), in his on-farm trial observed that the hybrid Ganga-5 out yielded Vijay and the economic optimum dose of nitrogen was in between 14.5 and 18 kg/ha. Panda *et al.* (197), found that the grain yield of hybrid maize out yielded the local varieties. Barua and Trivedi (1971) also reported a linear increase in grain yield in Ganga safed-2 with 15 kg N/ha. But Chakrabarti *et al.* (1972), found that the hybrids recorded a large increment in yield with 10 kg N/ha.

According to Isha and Umar (1972) Ganga safed-2 proved superior to the hybrids. The results of trial conducted by Jain et al. (1972) indicated that the hybrid Ganga-3 and composite Vijay gave significantly higher grain yields.

Jaiswal (1973) showed that the cv. HI-Starch gave an average yield of 8.3 t/ha. Experiment was conducted by Chaudhary et al. (1975) with recently evolved maize hybrids and composites and found that they differ in their yield potential and Ganga safed-2 yielded significantly higher than all other genotypes. According to them, among the composites Vijay gave significantly higher yield.

Jaiswal and Kumar (1974) reported that Ganga-2/1, Ganga-3 and Type 41 gave linear responses to nitrogen upto 144 kg N/ha, and the grain yields at this level of nitrogen were 3.32, 4.32 and 5.12 t/ha respectively. Venkatesh et al. (1975) reported that the hybrids Laccan and HI-starch gave higher grain yields with an NR schedule of 132-66-44 kg/ha in summer season and they found that HI-starch is suited for summer alone.

Challa and Bhargava (1976) found that the average grain yield of maize hybrid Ganga-5 increased from 2.2 to 3.34 t/ha with increase in nitrogen rates from 3 to 6 kg N/ha. Challa and Singh (1979) reported that the hybrids and composites yielded 3.1 to 4.34 t/ha. According to Isha et al. (1977),

grain yields of maize cv. Vijay increased from 2.26 to 3.9 t/ha with increasing nitrogen rate from 0 to 16 kg N/ha. But Krishna Murthy (1977) obtained a grain yield of 6.53 t/ha in Vijay at 12 kg N/ha. Shinde and Khurke (1978) found that the grain yield of Ganga-5 and Ganga safed-2 given 150 kg N/ha increased linearly from 2.75 to 4.54 t/ha with increase in nitrogen rates. Sharma (1970) reported that the economic optimum rate was 14.5-16 kg/ha and the highest grain yield was obtained with hybrid cv. Ganga-5.

According to Ranjith Singh *et al.* (1982), the grain yield of cv. Vijay, Ganga-5 and Ganga safed-2 were 2.89, 2.1 and 1.97 t/ha respectively. With regard to the varietal response, Rai *et al.* (1981) observed that average yield of Hi-starch during Rabi season was 6.4 t/ha (acre) during Kharif it was 5.5 t/ha. Arora and Sinha (1981) found that the grain yields of Agathi-76 and Vijay were 1.8 t/ha and 2.79 t/ha respectively.

According to Singh *et al.* (1982) Ganga safed-2 was found to be most adaptable among the Indian hybrids followed by Vijay and Ganga-4.

In conformity to the above findings, some workers observed non-significant response and even negative response. Raiju and Reddy (1975) indicated that the variation in grain yield due to nitrogen level was not significant. Again Verma

and Singh (1975, observed an yield reduction with rates of applied nitrogen above 120 kg N/ha. Mishra and Dasgupta (1976) also reported a decreasing trend in yield with higher doses of nitrogen above 60 kg N/ha. Later Singh et al. (1973) also obtained same results with nitrogen rates above 60 kg/ha. Khan et al. (1981) reported that nitrogen had no effect on grain yield. According to Santorelli and Lovelock (1969) the increasing nitrogen rates accelerated the competition between ear differentiation and final harvest and this inhibited grain formation which resulted in reduction in grain yield per plant.

### 2.3.2. Stover yield.

Hair et al. (1966) found that increase in nitrogen level increased the yield of stover. This was in agreement with the results obtained by Singh (1967) and Singh and Sharma (1968), Bajwa and Tiwari (1971) and Tripathi (1972). According to Priglar and Adamson (1972) also higher levels of nitrogen viz. 120 kg/ha gave significantly higher yield of stover. This result is in conformity with the findings of Mishra and Dasgupta (1972) who reported progressive increase in stover yields with increasing levels of nitrogen.

There was considerable increase in stover yield with every increment of nitrogen as reported by Manjhi and Anand et al. (1975). Kulkarni (1975) observed that nitrogen fertilization

progressively increased the straw yield. Jotha and Kothari (1975) obtained a stover yield of 93 quintal per hectare at 25 kg N/ha. But later El-Bahnawy *et al.* (1976) obtained the highest stover yield with 25 kg N/ha. According to Verman and Singh (1976) nitrogen application upto 120 kg N/ha increased the straw yields. Carter and Green (1977) found significant differences in silage yields with increasing levels of nitrogen. But Lakshminarayana and Reddy (1977) reported an increase in stover yield from 2.21 to 5.02 t/ha with nitrogen rates from zero to 25 kg/ha.

According to Singh and Kothari (1978) application of 120 kg N/ha progressively resulted the highest stover yield. Similar increase in stover yield was reported by several workers like Chakraborty and Ghoshal (1979), El-Bahnawy *et al.* (1980) and Anand *et al.* (1981) with nitrogen levels upto 20 kg, 150 kg and 120 kg N/ha respectively.

Anand *et al.* (1981) reported that straw weight increased with increasing nitrogen application. Ranjesh Singh *et al.* (1981) reported that the stover yields of Vijay, Ganja-5 and Ganja safed-2 were 6.31, 6.9 and 3.6 t/ha respectively. Singh *et al.* (1982) reported that the stover yield improved with different levels of nitrogen application. Burnard and Hornby (1978) observed significant increase in the forage yield upto 15 kg N/ha.

## 2.3.3. Harvest index.

Alkhalil and Shalaby (1979), reported that the harvest index increased with increased nitrogen rates. Similar result was obtained by Eliaz *et al.* (1979), also.

Investigation conducted by Grove *et al.* (1993), revealed that there was no significant change in harvest index with increasing fertilizer nitrogen rates. According to Komoff (1993), harvest index was the same for high as well as low nitrogen levels.

## 2.4.1. Effect of nitrogen on grain quality.

Increased protein content due to application of nitrogen was reported by many workers, viz. Huber *et al.* (1954), Hunter and Yarger (1955), and Sontakul *et al.* (1955).

Abd-Elme and Monloy (1967) found that the protein content of maize grain was progressively and significant increase by increasing the nitrogen levels.

Investigation carried out by Hukla and Lacey (1971) found that the high protein content is not only a hereditary character but it can be increased to 14.0% by the application of nitrogenous fertilizer at the rate of 112 kg N/ha. Tripathi (1971), also found increased protein content with increasing levels of nitrogen.

Ling Verma et al. (1972) found that increased doses of nitrogen application increased the protein content in grains. Gill et al. (1972) reported that application of nitrogen @ 100 kg/ha showed a marked increase in crude protein content of hybrid maize.

Studies conducted by Gupta et al. (1972), revealed that the nitrogen concentration and hence the crude protein in grain were significantly increased by the application of nitrogen. Sinha and Umar (1972) observed that the protein content increased with increasing levels of nitrogen. This was in agreement with the findings of Chowdhary et al. (1972).

According to Shanmugasundaram et al. (1974), the effect of increased fertilizer was seen in the crude protein content of grain which was increased upto 72 kg N/ha and thereafter it declined. Rajagopal et al. (1974) reported that the different levels of nitrogen increased the protein content of the whole plant. Kaddy and Kaliappa (1974) conducted studies on the effect of graded doses of nitrogen on the protein content of grain in maize and found that the grain protein content in maize increased with increase in applied nitrogen upto 15 kg/ha. Perry and Olson (1975) also found that nitrogen levels influenced the protein content of grain. Verma and Ling (1976) found that the protein content of grain was improved by increased nitrogen application. Subramonian (1977) found an increase in grain protein content with increased doses of nitrogen fertilizer.

Investigations carried out by Reddy et al. (1977) found that the nitrogen fertilization upto 200 kg N/ha increased the protein content of grain. According to Reddy and Suresh (1976), as the level of nitrogen fertilizers increased, nitrogen concentration in the grain increased as a result of which the protein content was increased. Gangoo (1973) in his study found that the grain protein content was increased by increase in available nitrogen. The results of experiment conducted by Lawania et al. (1979) showed that grain protein content ranged from 11.1 to 11.0% at 100 kg N/ha. Reddy and Broadbent (1979) reported that grain crude protein content increased from zero to 1.1 when applied nitrogen increased from zero to 9 kg/ha to 16 and 26 kg N/ha. Assimov and Gurevsk (1981) found that the application of nitrogen fertilizer increased the protein content. This was in confirmation with the findings of Rawal (1981) and El-Hattab et al. (1981).

Reddy et al. (1981) reported that grain protein content showed an increase from 12.7% to 15.5% with increasing nitrogen rate. Increasing nitrogen rate from 6-120 kg/ha increased the grain protein content (Chakraborty et al., 1981).

Chakraborty and Misra (1981) and Reddy et al. (1981) observed a similar increasing trend in protein content with higher levels of available nitrogen.

Krey George and Mohamed Hanji (1963) found that the crude protein yield of maize could be increased significantly by the application of fertilizers 0 16 32 64 kg N/E/ha.

### 2.5.1. Effect of nitrogen on the uptake of major nutrients.

An understanding of soil plant relationship, plant nutrition and fertilization requires a knowledge of variability of the chemical composition and uptake of elements by the plants.

Studies conducted by Pathak *et al.* (1971) found that the increasing levels of nitrogen fertilization increased the nutrient uptake of maize. Sharma and Gaur (1971) reported that there was a trend for increase in the nitrogen content at the nitrogen level was raised upto 120 kg N/ha. The results of experiments conducted by Bahwal and Bahwal (1971), revealed that the uptake of nitrogen was increased at all stages of plant growth with the application fertilizers. Later Pathak and Tamsi (1972) reported an increase in the nitrogen and phosphorus content of maize plant and decrease in potassium content with increasing levels of nitrogen. They also reported that the total uptake of nutrients was higher in hybrids than local varieties.

According to Sharma and Tyagi (1972) maize crop varieties differ in their nutrient removal and therefore in their fertilizer requirements. They found that Ganga-5 removes 2.78 kg nitrogen per one quintal reduction of grain there as Vijay

removes only 2.65 kg nitrogen per quintal of grain. There was an appreciable increase in soil nitrogen uptake by maize crop which increased with increase in applied nitrogen levels. Mahapatra and Jha (1973) reported a nutrient uptake of 150 kg to 250 kg nitrogen, 25-90 kg  $P_2O_5$  and 100-200 kg  $K_2O$ /ha for maize crop of 6000 kg grain per hectare. Rajan and Sankaran (1974) reported that the nutrient uptake by the crop was increased for each increment of nitrogen from 0-120 kg/ha.

Singh Verma *et al.* (1972), Meyer (1973), Al-Shafary *et al.* (1975), Sharma *et al.* (1975), Eldrie *et al.* (1976), Sreochivaran *et al.* (1976), Al-Rudha and Al-Yousif (1978) all observed that increasing the levels of nitrogen resulted in higher per cent of plant nitrogen resulting in increased nitrogen uptake.

In irrigated trials conducted by Tripathi (1978) when maize was given combinations of 0-120 kg N and 0-90 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha, the uptake of nitrogen and phosphate was almost in 2:1 ratio. Gangra (1978) also reported an increase in leaf content of nitrogen with increasing levels of applied nitrogen upto 200 kg/ha. But Dass and Sanjosh Singh (1978) found that the nitrogen uptake increased only upto 120 kg N/ha.

Hanison *et al.* (1979), Shaly *et al.* (1979), Cancino and Habbayed (1979) and Khan *et al.* (1980) also found that higher levels of nitrogen increased the nitrogen uptake. Grove *et al.* (1980) observed that the average uptake of soil nitrogen was 70 kg/ha.

er crop and the average nitrogen content of above ground dry matter at maximum yield was 1.12% where as the average nitrogen content in the grain ranged from 1.45 to 2.27% nitrogen (Russell and Pierce 1937). They also indicated that the nitrogen per cent of maize given at maximum yield might serve as a useful supplementary guide in nitrogen use efficiency diagnosis. El-Hattab et al. (1980) reported that increasing nitrogen rate led to the increasing total nitrogen content as well as the content of grain. According to Nayyar and Laxman (1961) the uptake of fertilizer increased with nitrogen and phosphorus rate. According to the result of trials conducted by Hema and Ahalla (1961) the grain contained 1.15 to 1.42% N, .46 to .57% P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and .35 to 0.42% K<sub>2</sub>O when maize was given 10, 20, 30 and 40 kg N/ha. The uptake of N, P & K increased significantly as the nitrogen application was enhanced (Subramanian et al. 1963).

Later than El-Hattab (1980) reported an increase in grain nitrogen content and nitrogen uptake in maize with increased nitrogen fertilization. Similar increased uptake of nitrogen was observed by several workers like Singh et al. (1982), Balasubramanian and Singh (1982) and Saha et al. (1963).

Reif and Palm (1937) observed that grain nitrogen and fiber content which were 1.16 to 1.25% and .35 to 1.23% respectively increased with increased nitrogen application but relatively unaffected by phosphorus. The results of experiment conducted by Gurie and Savie (1937) revealed that the nitrogen

concentration in plants increased in response to nitrogen rates up to 15 kg/ha. According to them, the amount of the morus and potassium taken up also increased with rate of nitrogen applied. Later Ghoshin et al. (1980) and Jadhav et al. (1980) reported increasing nitrogen uptake with increased levels of nitrogen up to 2. and 15 kg N/ha respectively. Gupta et al. (1974), Vashisth (1987), and Bhowmik et al. (1988), observed increase in the nitrogen concentration in plants grown at different rates.

Lack of influence of nitrogen on the uptake of nutrients was also reported by many workers. El-Badary et al. (1970) Alhagov (1981) and R. Shoady and team (1982) reported that increased nitrogen levels had no significant influence on nitrogen uptake. According to H. J. (1972), increasing nitrogen application from zero to 100 kg/ha reduced the grain yield nitrogen content from 1.75% to 1.51, but increasing application from 150 to 450 kg N/ha increased the nitrogen content to 1.97%.

Vishwakarma et al. (1977) observed that phosphorus uptake was increased with increasing nitrogen rates up to 150 kg/ha. Thakur and Khosla (1972) reported that the nitrogen treatment in maize significantly increased the phosphorus absorption. Barber (1976) reported that phosphorus uptake by plants growing in soil is affected by the rate of phosphorus supply, from the soil and the phosphorus absorption characteristics of the roots, and

and Ranjodh Singh (1979) found that the leaf content of phosphorus and potash increase with increase of nitrogen dose up to 12 kg N/ha. According to Khan *et al.* (1980) phosphorus content decreased with nitrogen application. Smith and Johnson (1982) also observed that nitrogen treatment affected the mechanism of phosphorus uptake.

Joshi *et al.* (1971) found that the response of maize variety Ganga-1 to potassium application was statistically significant only when 12 kg nitrogen was applied per hectare.

Poy and Chatterjee (1972) observed that the uptake of potassium in maize was lower compared to higher than that in the groundnut sequence when the crop was supplied with 12 kg nitrogen, 25.0 kg phosphorus and 31.2 kg potash/ha. Bajaj and Jand (1970) reported that the total removal of potash by the maize crop increased appreciably with the application of nitrogen alone and also of nitrogen in combination with phosphorus.

## 2.5. Correlation studies

Prakash *et al.* (1965) reported that the crop yield was correlated with the uptake of nitrogen by the plant. Studies conducted by Singh (1970) revealed that grain yield showed the highest positive correlation with ear girth followed by ear length. But Singh *et al.* (1972) found that the plant height, no. of grains/cob, grain yield or plant and 100 grain weight were positively

correlated with grain yield/ha. Arora and Gupta (1973) reported that the percentage of nitrogen and phosphorus in the green leaves showed a highly significant positive correlation with the grain yield. But Verma (1974/75) observed that even though grain yield/ha was not correlated with ear character, ear length was positively correlated with number and weight of grain per ear. According to Khanna et al. (1976) the grain yield in maize given 90 kg N/ha was positively correlated with nitrogen contents of the whole plant at all stages. Tripathi conducted by Singh and Verma (1977) revealed that the grain yield/ha was positively and significantly correlated with no. of cob per plant, length of cob, no. of row/cob and 2 grain weight. Inter Sarda et al. (1978) reported that there was a highly positive correlation between grain yield and no. of ear per plant and both of them were positively associated with protein yield. According to Mathur-Raman and Subramanian (1978) in cv. Ganga-5 the LAI showed the highest positive and direct effect on yield followed by grain/ear ear and ear length, while thousand grain weight showed a negative direct effect. Singh et al. (1981) found that the grain yield and nitrogen rate were significantly correlated. But Yahya and Anderson (1981) suggested that the yield and LAI were positively correlated with vegetative characters and yield components.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

## 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

The present investigation was undertaken with the objective of selecting the most suitable maize variety that can be grown in rice fallows and also to find out the optimal level of nitrogen for maize cultivation under such situation. The materials used and methods adopted are detailed below:

### 2.1. MATERIAL

#### 2.1.1. Location.

The field experiment was conducted in the rice fallow of Pala poor area of the Instructional Farm attached to the College of Agriculture, Vallayam in an area of 1500 sq. m. The College is located at 0° N latitude, 76°57' longitude and at an altitude of 29 m above M.L.

#### 2.1.2. Cropping History.

The experimental site was cultivated with a bulk crop of paddy during the previous season.

#### 2.1.3. Season.

Experiment was conducted during summer season (January to April) of the year 1986.

The seeds were sown on 12th January 1986 and ga filling and draining were done after one week. The harvest was

conducted from 13.4.1956 to 15.4.1956. The duration of variation ranged from 22-25 days.

### 1.1.2. Soil.

The soil cover under the forest class of sandy clay loam. Data on the mechanical and chemical analysis of the soil are given below:

Table 1. Soil characteristics of the experimental area.

#### A. Mechanical composition:

Coarse sand	(%)	=	4.5%
Fine sand	(%)	=	1.4%
silt	(%)	=	6.6%
clay	(%)	=	3%


#### B. Chemical composition

Total Nitrogen	(%)	=	0.07%
Available $P_2O_5$	(kg/ha)	=	12.5
Available $K_2O$	(kg/ha)	=	2.6
Ca		=	5.3

### 1.1.3. Climate.

The experimental area enjoys a warm, humid tropical climate and receives a good amount of rainfall by way of South-west and North-east monsoons. The meteorological parameters like rainfall, minimum and maximum temperatures

FIG 1. WEATHER CONDITIONS DURING THE CROPPING PERIOD





Vijay (V<sub>6</sub>)

- A composite variety. Pedigree is J1. Duration 95 days. Semiflint yellow seeded fairly resistant to most of the foliar diseases, ears well developed, plants vigorous and sturdy with dark green thick leaves. Grain is medium yellow orange coloured.

Ganga - 5 (V<sub>5</sub>)

- Hybrid variety. Pedigree is (ca 202 x III) x (ca 500). Very popular in all the maize growing countries of India. Yellow, bold seeded, flint to semiflint, medium maturity considerable resistance to leaf blights, Brown stripe, downy mildew and stem borer.

### 3.1.7. Seeds.


Certified seeds were obtained from the National seeds Corporation, Bangalore. The seeds were tested for viability and were found to give 99 - 100% germination.

### 3.1.8. Fertilizers.

The following fertilizers were used for the experiments.

Urea	-	40% N
Super Phosphate	-	16% P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>
Muriate of potash	-	60% K <sub>2</sub> O

FIG.2 LAY OUT PLAN OF THE EXPERIMENT IN SPLIT PLOT DESIGN



NITROGEN

- $n_1$  --- 50  $kg\ ha^{-1}$
- $n_2$  --- 80 "
- $n_3$  --- 110 "
- $n_4$  --- 140 "
- $n_5$  --- 170 "

VARIETIES

- $v_1$  --- GANGA-SAFED-2
- $v_2$  --- AGETHI -76
- $v_3$  --- HI-STARCH
- $v_4$  --- VIJAY
- $v_5$  --- GANGA-5

## 3.2. METHODS

3.2.1. Design and layout.

The experiment was laid out in split plot design with four replications and the plan of layout is presented in fig.2. The details of layout are furnished below:

Design - Split - Plot design.

<u>Varieties</u>		<u>Levels of Nitrogen</u>	
$V_1$	Ganga safed - 2	$n_1$	50 kg/ha
$V_2$	Agothi - 76	$n_2$	80 kg/ha
$V_3$	Hi-starch	$n_3$	110 kg/ha
$V_4$	Vijay	$n_4$	140 kg/ha
$V_5$	Ganga - 5	$n_5$	170 kg/ha

## 9 (i) Main plot treatments (V) - 5

$V_1$	-	Ganga safed - 2
$V_2$	-	Agothi - 76
$V_3$	-	Hi-starch
$V_4$	-	Vijay
$V_5$	-	Ganga - 5

(ii) sub plot treatment ( $n$ ) - 5

$n_1$	-	50 kg/ha
$n_2$	-	80 kg/ha
$n_3$	-	110 kg/ha
$n_4$	-	140 kg/ha
$n_5$	-	170 kg/ha

No. of replication - 4 (four).

Treatment Combinations - 25

1. $v_1 n_1$	10. $v_2 n_5$	18. $v_4 n_3$
2. $v_1 n_2$	11. $v_3 n_1$	19. $v_4 n_4$
3. $v_1 n_3$	12. $v_5 n_2$	20. $v_4 n_5$
4. $v_1 n_4$	13. $v_3 n_3$	21. $v_5 n_1$
5. $v_1 n_5$	14. $v_2 n_4$	22. $v_5 n_2$
6. $v_2 n_1$	15. $v_3 n_5$	23. $v_5 n_3$
7. $v_2 n_2$	16. $v_4 n_1$	24. $v_5 n_4$
8. $v_2 n_3$	17. $v_4 n_2$	25. $v_5 n_5$
9. $v_2 n_4$		

Total No. of lots - 25

Plot size : Gross :  $5 \times 3 \text{ m}^2$

Net :  $4.75 \times 2.4 \text{ m}^2$

Spacing :  $6 \times 25 \text{ cm}$ .

No. of plants in the gross plot : 10

No. of plants in the net plot : 54

One row of plants was left out from all the four sides of each plot as border row.

### 1.2.2. Field Culture.

#### 1.2.2.1. Preparation of field

The experimental field was ploughed twice, stubbles removed

and clear broken. The layout of the experiment was made after measuring out the area for each block. The whole field was laid out into four blocks of 25 plots each. One soil sample was collected from each of the four blocks. The plots were separated with bands of 30 cm. width and individual blocks were given an outer band of 5 cm. width. Irrigation channels were provided between the blocks. The area within the plot was thoroughly dug and levelled.

### 3.2.2.2. Fertilizer application

The different doses of nitrogen were applied according to the treatment schedule. The dose of phosphorus and potash were fixed at the rate of 65 kg.  $P_2O_5$  and 15 kg.  $K_2O$ /ha respectively according to the recommendations in the package of practices of Kerala Agricultural University. (1984).

One third the amount of nitrogen and entire quantity phosphorus and potash were applied just before sowing as basal, second, one third at knee high stage (30 days after sowing), and the remaining one third at 60 days after sowing (tasselling stage).

### 3.2.2.3. Seeds and sowing

All the seeds were dibbled at the rate of 2 seeds/hole at a depth of 3 - 5 cm. weeding and thinning were done on the seventh day after sowing to secure a uniform stand for the crop.

#### 2.2.2.4. After cultivation

The soil was stirred lightly and weeds were removed at the time of dressing with nitrogen.

#### 2.2.2.5. Irrigation

One light irrigation was given immediately after sowing followed by two more irrigations in alternate days.

#### 2.2.2.6. Plant protection

Necessary plant protection measures were undertaken as and when required.

#### 2.2.2.7. Crop Growth

In general, the stand of the crop was good. No lodging was observed in any of the treatments.

#### 2.2.2.8. Harvest

The crop came to harvest within a duration of 83 - 85 days and the harvest was completed within a period of seven days, viz, from 8.4.86 to 15.4.86. The border rows of all the plots were harvested and threshed separately. The crop, in each net plot was harvested, threshed and cleaned. The grain and stover of each plot were sun-dried separately for two days, and the plot wise yields of grain and stover were recorded.

### 3.2.2. Observation.

All observation on growth characters, yield components and yield were recorded.

#### 3.2.2.1. Observations on growth characters

A sample plants of maize were selected at random at the rate of two plants from each row and tagged. The observations on growth character were taken at 2 days interval.

##### 3.2.2.1.1. Height of the plant

The height of the plant from the base to the tip of the top most leaf was measured in centimeters at four stages of growth viz, 2<sup>th</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup>, 6<sup>th</sup> days after sowing and at harvest. The mean height of the plant was then worked out and recorded.

##### 3.2.2.1.2. Number of leaves per plant

Total number of leaves on each six plants were recorded at 2 days interval and the mean number of leaves per plant was worked out.

##### 3.2.2.1.3. Leaf Area Index

Leaf Area Index (LAI) was calculated by dividing the sum of the products of length  $\times$  breadth  $\times$  .75 (Hunt, 1970) of all leaves of the plant with the land area occupied by the plants.

#### 3.2.3.1.4. Days to silking

The period taken for silking of 50% of the plants from seeding were observed and recorded.

#### 3.2.3.1.5. Leaf-stem Ratio

The sample plants selected at random were separated into leaf and stem and the ratio was recorded.

#### 3.2.3.2. Observations on Yield components

The observations on yield components were taken at harvest.

##### 3.2.3.2.1. Number of cobs per plant

Number of cobs of each of six sample plants were counted and the mean was worked out for each treatment.

##### 3.2.3.2.2. Length of cob

Cobs from sample plants were measured for length and mean length was then worked out.

##### 3.2.3.2.3. Girth of cob

Girth of the cobs collected from the sample plants collected at random were measured and mean was worked out for each treatment.

#### 3.2.3.2.4 Weight of cob

All the cobs from all sample plants selected at random were weighed and weight per cob was calculated.

#### 3.2.3.2.5 Number of grains per cob

Number of grains per cob from the sample plants collected at random were counted and the mean was computed.

#### 3.2.3.2.6 Thousand grain weight

Weight of thousand grains from each treatment was recorded and the mean was then computed.

### 3.2.3. Observations on Yield

#### 3.2.3.3.1 Grain yield

The grains were separated from the cobs harvested from each net plot. They were then cleaned and sundried to limit the moisture at 14%. The grains were then weighed and the grain yield was then expressed in kg. per hectare.

#### 3.2.3.3.2 Stover yield

The weight of sundried stover was recorded plotwise and expressed in kg. per hectare.

### 3.2.3.3. Harvest Index

Harvest Index (HI) was worked out from the data on grain yield and stover yield obtained for each plot using the following formula.

$$HI = \frac{\text{Economic Yield}}{\text{Biological Yield}}$$

### 3.2.4. Chemical Analysis.

#### 3.2.4.1. Plant analysis

The chemical analyses of plant samples collected at harvest stage were done. Samples collected for chemical analysis were oven-dried at 80 - 50 and ground in a Wiley mill.

##### 3.2.4.1.1. Nitrogen content

The total nitrogen content of the plant at the harvest stage was analysed employing the modified micro Kjeldahl method (Jackson, 1967).

##### 3.2.4.1.2. Phosphorus content

The Phosphorus content was determined colorimetrically using Vanado-molybde phosphoric yellow colour method (Jackson, 1967). The colour intensities were read in a Klett Summerson Photo electric colorimeter.

### 2.2.4.1.3. Potassium content

The potassium contents of the samples were determined by using the FAL Flame photometer (Jackson, 1967).

### 2.2.4.2. Uptake studies

The total quantities of nitrogen, phosphorus and potash absorbed by the crop at harvest were calculated. The value of total uptake was obtained as the product of the per cent content of these nutrients in the plant and the weight of drymatter. The values were expressed in kg. per hectare.

### 2.2.4.3. Grain protein content

The per cent of protein in the grain was calculated and recorded as the product of the per cent content of nitrogen in the grain and a factor 6.25 (Dimpson et al., 1965).

### 2.2.4.4. Soil Analysis

Soil samples were taken from the experimental area before and after the experiment and analysed for total nitrogen, available phosphorus and exchangeable potash. Total nitrogen content was estimated by the micro-kjeldahl method and the available phosphorus by Bray's method (Jackson, 1967). The exchangeable potash was estimated by ammonium acetate method (Jackson, 1967).

### 3.2.5. Statistical Analysis.

Data on growth characters, yield, yield attributes and chemical analysis of plant and soil samples were statistically analysed by using the analysis of variance technique for split plot design (Cochran and Cox, 1965) and significance was tested by working out the critical difference. Important correlations were also worked out.

# RESULTS

## 4. IN USE

An experiment was conducted in the College of Agriculture, Volungani during summer 1986 with the objective of selecting suitable maize varieties for rice fallows and also to standardize optimum level of nitrogen for those varieties. Observations were made on growth, yield and quality characters. The data recorded were statistically analysed and the results are given below. The mean values are given in Tables 2 to 5 and the analysis of variance in Appendices 12 to 15.

### 4.2. Growth Characters

#### 4.2.1. Height of plants

The data on mean heights of the plants recorded at various growth stages are presented in Table 2(a) and (b) and their respective analysis of variance in Appendix 12.

##### 4.2.1.1. Twenty days after sowing

There was significant difference in height due to different levels of nitrogen. A maximum height of 59.62 cm was observed at  $n_3$  level of nitrogen which was statistically on par with  $n_2$  level. The effects due to  $n_0$  and  $n_1$  were also on par.  $n_1$  was found to be inferior to all other.

The interaction effect of varieties and nitrogen levels was also significant. The treatment combination  $V_2N_4$  recorded the maximum height which was significantly superior to all other combinations except  $V_3N_3$  and  $V_1N_3$  with which it was on par.

The different varieties did not differ significantly in plant height at this stage.

#### 4.1.1.2. Forty days after sowing

At this stage also significant increase in height was observed with increase in levels of nitrogen. The maximum height of 105.52 cm. was recorded by the highest level of nitrogen ( $N_4$ ) and was followed by 100.58 cm. with  $N_3$  level. But their difference was not statistically significant. Neither the varieties nor the combined effect of varieties and nitrogen levels could exert any significant influence on plant height at this stage.

#### 4.1.1.3. Sixty days after sowing

As in the case of two early stages height of the plant differed significantly with levels of nitrogen at this stage also. The different varieties and the interaction between varieties and nitrogen levels did not show any significant influence on plant height. The maximum height of 150.5 cm. was recorded by the  $N_4$  level of nitrogen which was on par with  $N_3$  and  $N_2$  levels.

#### 4.1.1.4. Harvest stage

At this stage, the different levels of nitrogen and interaction between varieties and nitrogen levels could significantly influence plant height. The different variety also differed significantly in their plant height. However, a linear increase in height was observed only upto  $N_1$  level after which a decline in height was observed. The mean plant height of 274.24 cm. was recorded by  $N_1$  level.

Significant varietal influence was observed plant height at the harvest stage. Among the five varieties the maximum height of 181.35 cm. was observed in the variety  $V_5$  closely followed by  $V_2$  which recorded a height of 162.92 cm. The difference between these two varieties were not statistically significant.

Among the various interaction effects maximum height was obtained by  $V_2N_1$  (191.28 cm.) which was on par with  $V_5N_1$ ,  $V_5N_2$ ,  $V_5N_3$ , and  $V_5N_4$ . The combination  $V_4N_1$  recorded the lowest plant height (110.11 cm.).

#### 4.1.2. Number of leaves per plant

The data on the number of leaves per plant recorded at four stages were statistically analysed. The analysis of variance is given in Appendix III and the mean values are presented in Table 2(a) and (b).

Table 2(a) Plant height, Number of leaves and Leaf Area Index at various growth stages

Treatment	Plant height (cm)				Number of leaves per plant				Leaf Area Index			
	20DA	40DA	60DA	Harvest	20DA	40DA	60DA	Harvest	20DA	40DA	60DA	Harvest
v <sub>1</sub>	54.46	89.4	139.21	145.05	6.79	9.34	10.22	10.25	1.50	1.56	1.75	1.57
v <sub>2</sub>	50.92	90.16	157.57	162.92	7.47	8.89	9.89	9.95	1.50	1.62	2.22	2.0
v <sub>3</sub>	49.75	87.02	174.62	155.52	6.80	8.71	9.99	9.94	1.50	1.67	2.27	1.96
v <sub>4</sub>	50.07	89.33	138.6	179.47	7.81	8.51	9.55	9.61	1.48	1.54	2.01	1.76
v <sub>5</sub>	59.12	114.97	164.67	180.25	7.27	9.77	10.13	10.2	1.72	2.52	2.2	2.74
SD	2.45	6.42	1.39	3.02	0.20	0.28	0.28	0.29	0.17	0.10	0.16	0.17
CD	11	11	11	26.72	16	11	11	7	13	1.52	3.49	0.51
n <sub>1</sub>	44.5	80.11	125.11	140.58	6.56	7.85	8.02	8.94	1.29	1.27	1.74	1.55
n <sub>2</sub>	52.39	91.37	136.04	147.21	6.74	8.67	9.64	9.70	1.4	1.67	2.1	1.89
n <sub>3</sub>	25.65	77.8	152.61	164.15	7.07	9.27	10.17	10.21	1.54	1.76	2.34	2.11
n <sub>4</sub>	52.15	105.0	153.5	174.14	7.57	9.66	10.87	10.67	1.70	2.8	2.62	2.19
n <sub>5</sub>	59.62	115.52	152.40	163.61	7.56	9.57	10.45	10.42	1.70	2.23	2.61	2.22
SL	1.19	1.96	2.83	2.43	0.0	0.03	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.06	0.06	0.07
CD	1.10	5.51	7.99	7.1	0.24	0.26	0.28	0.23	0.09	0.17	0.17	0.2

DA = days after sowing

Table 2(b) Plant height, Number of leaves and Leaf Area Index at various growth stages

Treat- ments	Plant height (cm)				Number of leaves per plant				Leaf Area Index			
	20JA	40JA	60JA	Harvest	20JA	40JA	60JA	Harvest	20JA	40JA	60JA	Harvest
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	44.42	75.04	128.03	155.29	6.21	7.79	9.0	9.17	0.31	1.07	1.34	1.21
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	52.3	81.71	127.03	155.05	6.61	8.71	9.34	9.84	0.42	1.35	1.59	1.43
V <sub>1</sub> N	55.50	92.92	147.63	150.77	6.75	9.75	10.54	10.50	0.50	1.56	1.72	1.56
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>3</sub>	57.25	94.70	140.00	158.30	7.25	10.25	11.00	11.00	0.66	1.72	1.90	1.71
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>5</sub>	62.31	100.92	143.00	160.06	7.11	10.21	10.69	10.69	0.79	2.09	2.20	1.96
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	45.58	76.10	129.04	156.42	6.53	7.67	8.25	8.00	0.33	0.74	1.66	1.55
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	51.92	89.21	150.04	150.78	7.00	8.75	9.80	9.97	0.54	1.45	2.15	2.05
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>3</sub>	54.67	94.80	154.25	170.30	7.50	9.25	10.00	10.41	0.64	1.59	2.06	2.17
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>4</sub>	50.59	102.14	174.54	191.32	9.04	9.59	10.67	10.69	0.71	1.96	2.57	2.00
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>5</sub>	58.59	100.06	152.95	162.51	8.15	9.21	10.00	10.00	0.69	2.16	2.07	2.00
V <sub>3</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	46.00	67.67	108.75	128.00	6.17	7.77	9.00	9.10	0.24	1.01	1.50	1.29
V <sub>3</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	45.05	81.80	120.00	137.75	6.50	8.67	9.67	9.71	0.30	1.07	2.12	1.91
V <sub>3</sub> N <sub>3</sub>	53.52	89.29	151.79	172.06	6.92	8.96	10.00	10.00	0.35	1.71	2.44	2.06
V <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub>	50.24	92.50	147.91	171.22	7.25	9.29	10.71	10.75	0.47	2.00	2.07	2.23
V <sub>3</sub> N <sub>5</sub>	52.75	100.71	141.58	167.75	7.13	8.00	10.00	10.00	0.53	1.90	2.57	2.29

Table 2(b) Contd.

Treatment	2-CA	4-CA	6-CA	Harvort	20DA	40DA	60CA	Harvort	20DA	4-CA	6-CA	Harvort
$v_4^{n_1}$	45.29	70.95	115.	113.51	6.35	7.25	8.60	8.84	1.24	1.05	1.51	1.38
$v_4^{n_2}$	5.29	35.83	119.53	129.33	6.75	7.71	9.0	9.8	1.35	1.30	1.78	1.44
$v_4^{n_3}$	57.34	95.17	144.98	141.66	7.18	8.53	9.79	9.99	2.50	1.5	2.12	1.80
$v_4^{n_4}$	55.42	92.25	143.67	138.79	7.60	8.9	10.0	10.04	1.64	1.77	2.32	1.92
$v_4^{n_5}$	56.54	97.21	144.75	155.40	7.23	9.00	10.25	10.29	0.60	2.11	2.40	2.24
$v_5^{n_1}$	4.36	100.46	144.	150.00	6.5	8.75	9.16	9.29	1.34	1.95	2.60	2.72
$v_5^{n_2}$	61.54	117.71	156.16	179.07	6.71	9.5	9.80	9.90	1.57	2.25	3.17	2.60
$v_5^{n_3}$	56.75	113.16	165.42	163.71	7.9	9.70	10.2	10.26	1.60	2.54	3.16	2.92
$v_5^{n_4}$	60.25	121.21	177.	170.46	7.71	10.20	10.71	10.79	1.7	2.91	3.61	2.76
$v_5^{n_5}$	67.1	122.00	180.75	180.92	8.17	10.50	10.96	10.71	1.8	3.07	3.69	3.09
SE	2.67	4.7	6.72	5.56	0.19	0.2	0.22	0.22	0.06	0.11	0.14	0.16
SD	7.55	11	11	15.72	0.7	0.8	0.9	0.8	0.7	1.1	1.4	1.6

#### 4.1.2.1. Twenty days after sowing

Significant difference was observed in the number of leaves per plant due to different levels of nitrogen in the early stages of plant growth. An increasing trend in the number of leaves per plant was recorded by the application of nitrogen up to  $N_3$  level which was on par with  $N_2$  level. However, at the  $N_4$  level there occurred a slight reduction in the number of leaves per plant. There was no significant varietal influence on the number of leaves per plant. However, the variety  $V_5$  produced the highest number of leaves per plant.

The effect due to interaction between variety and nitrogen was also not significant.

#### 4.1.2.2. Forty days after sowing

During this stage, the different nitrogen levels exerted significant influence on leaf number, the  $N_1$  level giving the maximum number of leaves which was on par with the highest level of nitrogen ( $N_5$ ).

There was no significant varietal influence on the number of leaves per plant. The interaction effect was also not significant with respect to this character.

#### 4.1.2.2. Sixty days after sowing

Nitrogen exerted significant effect on the number of leaves produced during the stage also. The lowest level of nitrogen recorded minimum number of leaves per plant.

No silt varieties had not shown any significant influence on the number of leaves. However, the variety  $V_1$  related the highest number of leaves closely followed by  $V_2$ .

The effect due to variety x nitrogen interaction was not significant.

#### 4.1.2.3. Harvest stage

At the harvest stage also leaf number differed significantly due to different levels of nitrogen. The effect of nitrogen on this character was linear upto  $N_2$  level (14 kg.  $N/ha$ ) after which a decline was observed. The minimum number was recorded by the lowest level of nitrogen which in turn was inferior to its immediate higher level. The effects of  $N_2$  on  $N_1$  were significantly different.

Varietal effect and interaction of silt did not show any significant influence on leaf number at harvest stage.

#### 4.2.2. Leaf Area Index.

The data on mean values of leaf area index are presented in Table 2(a) and (b) and the analysis of variance is given in Appendix IV.

##### 4.1.3.1. Twenty days after sowing

During the early stage of plant growth, it was observed that different levels of nitrogen influenced the leaf area index significantly.

Maximum leaf area index (3.73) was associated with plants abundantly supplied with  $N_3$  level while the lowest level of nitrogen recorded the minimum leaf area index (0.39). But the effects due to  $N_3$  and  $N_4$  were not significant.

Data revealed that the variety had no significant influence on leaf area index during the early stage. However, significant difference in leaf area index was observed due to different levels of nitrogen. Leaf area index was found to be increased progressively upto  $N_4$  level.

Leaf area index was found to be unaffected by variety x nitrogen interaction.

##### 4.1.3.2. Forty days after sowing

Unlike 20 day after sowing significant effect was observed due to the different varieties at this stage. The

highest leaf area index of 2.52 was recorded by the variety  $v_5$  followed by  $v_3$  which differed significantly from  $v_5$ .  $v_5$  was found to be significantly superior to all other varieties.

Significant influence on the leaf area index due to different levels of nitrogen was also observed at this stage. Due to the increased application of nitrogen, leaf area index was also found to be increasing upto the highest ( $n_5$ ) level of nitrogen and the maximum leaf area index of 2.23 was recorded at  $n_5$  level.

Variety x nitrogen interaction did not show any significant influence on leaf area index at this stage.

#### 4.1.3.3. Sixty days after sowing

During this stage, varietal effect resulted a significant difference in leaf area index. Among the different varieties,  $v_5$  showed the highest leaf area index of 3.2 and  $v_1$  recorded the lowest value of 1.75. The variety  $v_5$  was found to be significantly superior to all other varieties.

During this stage also variety x nitrogen interaction did not show any significant influence on leaf area index.

#### 4.1.3.4. Harvest stage

During the harvest stage significant difference in leaf area index was observed for different varieties and nitrogen levels.

Varietal influence was found to be significant. Among the different varieties,  $V_3$  recorded the maximum leaf area index (2.74) which was found to be superior to all other varieties. The lowest leaf area index was recorded by  $V_4$  (1.57).

Leaf area index was found to be increasing with increasing levels of nitrogen upto the highest level of nitrogen ( $N_3$ ) application. Maximum leaf area index of 2.23 was noticed in  $N_3$  which was on par with that in  $N_0$  (2.19).

Leaf area index at the harvest stage was not affected by variety x nitrogen interaction.

#### 4.1.4. Days to siling.

Data on this observations were statistically analysed and the mean values are presented in Table 3(a) and (b) and their respective analysis of variance in Appendix V.

The results revealed that the effects due to different varieties were significant on this character. Among the different varieties  $V_0$  required the highest number of days to

milkings closely followed by  $v_2$ . Milking was found to be early in the variety  $v_2$  (50.25 days).

A critical review of the mean table revealed that there were significant differences in this character with increasing levels of nitrogen. Milking was found to be early in plants receiving more nitrogen. At the lowest level of nitrogen, the number of days to milking was maximum. At  $n_2$  level, plants were found to milk within 51.65 days while at the lowest level ( $n_1$ ) it took 57.75 days.

The effects due to variety x nitrogen interaction were not significant.

#### 4.1.5. Leaf-stem ratio at harvest.

The data on mean leaf-stem ratio of the crop at the harvest stage are presented in Table 2(a) and (b) and their analysis of variance in Appendix V.

It was found that the different varieties, level of nitrogen and their interaction exerted significant influence on the leaf-stem ratio.

Among the varieties,  $v_3$  recorded the maximum leaf-stem ratio at harvest while the least value was recorded by  $v_2$ .  $v_3$  was found to be significantly different from other varieties.

An increasing response in leaf-stem ratio was recorded by graded application of nitrogen. The maximum value observed

at  $n_5$  level was 0.79, closely followed by 0.78 at  $n_4$  level and the least value of 0.27 was observed at the lowest dose,

Among the treatment combination of variety I nitrogen, the maximum leaf-stem ratio was obtained by  $v_5 n_4$  which was on par with  $v_5 n_5$ . The treatment combination  $v_5 n_4$  was found to be significantly different from all other combinations and the least value (0.17) was recorded by  $v_2 n_1$  which was found to be statistically on par with the immediate higher levels.

#### 4.1.6. Drymatter production.

The data on drymatter production are given in Table 4(a) and (b) and the analysis of variance in Appendix V. The data revealed that the levels of nitrogen had significant effect on drymatter production. The nitrogen levels resulted in significant linear increase in drymatter production upto the highest level ( $n_5$ ). The drymatter production at  $n_5$  level was 3271 kg./ha.

Neither different varieties nor their interaction with nitrogen levels exerted significant influence on drymatter production.

Table 3(a): Day to silking, Leaf:stem ratio, Number of cobs per plant, Number of grains/cob, Girth of cob, weight of cob, 100 grain weight

Treat- ment	Day to silking	Leaf:stem ratio at Harvest	No. of cobs/ plant	No. of Grains/ cob	Length of cob (cm)	Girth of cob (cm)	Weight of cob (g)	100 grain weight (g)
V <sub>1</sub>	62.15	0.58	1.10	34.50	21.70	14.25	106.21	104.
V <sub>2</sub>	58.25	0.51	1.11	295.15	22.85	14.00	145.90	105.35
V <sub>3</sub>	66.25	0.46	1.17	259.5	26.5	14.5	149.54	104.45
V <sub>4</sub>	71.25	0.52	1.07	249.15	23.55	14.5	95.08	102.65
V <sub>5</sub>	59.0	0.66	1.23	572.50	24.55	18.95	215.90	22.02
C <sub>1</sub>	2.10	0.02	0.02	11.25	0.65	0.40	31.56	4.5
C <sub>2</sub>	6.71	0.10	0.06	25.63	1.99	1.32	25.62	72.47
a <sub>1</sub>	67.75	0.27	0.99	271.30	20.55	14.15	67.00	166.2
a <sub>2</sub>	60.85	0.07	1.02	120.9	21.65	14.5	172.60	107.85
a <sub>3</sub>	61.15	0.52	1.16	173.15	24.6	15.5	151.78	195.80
a <sub>4</sub>	59.40	0.78	1.25	422.55	26.25	16.0	185.14	215.4
a <sub>5</sub>	61.65	0.79	1.00	197.40	25.70	15.95	178.14	244.90
CE	1.74	0.01	0.01	6.15	0.46	0.27	5.00	2.73
CD	2.09	0.04	0.07	17.41	1.01	0.77	14.03	7.72

Table 3(b). Days to milking, leaf:stem ratio, Number of cobs per plant, Number of grains/cob, Girth of cob, weight of cob, 100 grain weight

Treat- ment	Days to milking	Leaf:stem Ratio at harvest	No. of cobs/plant	No. of Grains/ cob	Length of cob (cm)	Girth of cob (cm)	Weight of cob (g)	100 grain weight (g)
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	65.25	0.74	1.0	216.75	17.25	13.5	61.25	165.0
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	64.75	.40	1.72	260.75	21.50	13.25	94.63	182.50
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>3</sub>	61.75	0.56	1.13	301.25	22.25	13.75	115.25	187.5
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>4</sub>	58.0	0.02	1.25	376.75	24.25	15.25	136.50	210.0
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>5</sub>	61.0	0.77	1.22	365.75	24.25	15.50	133.44	185.0
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	65.25	.17	1.0	200.50	21.25	12.50	72.50	150.0
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	61.0	0.0	1.0	250.00	22.25	14.00	120.60	160.50
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>3</sub>	59.25	0.49	1.14	314.50	22.75	14.00	153.00	193.0
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>4</sub>	58.25	.91	1.15	445.25	25.75	15.00	191.25	210.00
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>5</sub>	50.5	0.79	1.26	340.50	26.25	14.5	163.10	205.75
V <sub>3</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	70.50	0.25	0.96	185.50	17.5	12.75	61.50	163.0
V <sub>3</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	65.25	0.74	1.02	330.00	26.0	14.50	124.25	180.25
V <sub>3</sub> N <sub>3</sub>	66.75	.42	1.10	356.75	29.50	15.75	157.13	195.0
V <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub>	63.0	0.54	1.17	377.00	31.0	15.75	214.60	219.0
V <sub>3</sub> N <sub>5</sub>	65.25	0.74	1.32	370.75	23.50	15.75	210.75	210.0

Table 3(b) Contd.

Treatment	Days to milking	Leaf-stem Ratio at Harvest	No. of cobs/plant	No. of Grains/cob	Length of cob (cm)	Girth of cob (cm)	Weight of cob (C)	100 grain weight (C)
V <sub>4</sub> <sup>n</sup> <sub>1</sub>	72.75	0.24	0.95	375.00	22.50	13.50	67.00	204.0
V <sub>4</sub> <sup>n</sup> <sub>2</sub>	71.50	0.23	0.95	265.00	23.00	12.50	83.13	172.5
V <sub>4</sub> <sup>n</sup> <sub>3</sub>	69.25	0.57	1.06	260.75	23.75	14.75	98.50	180.0
V <sub>4</sub> <sup>n</sup> <sub>4</sub>	69.00	0.79	1.11	285.00	24.50	14.50	118.83	201.75
V <sub>4</sub> <sup>n</sup> <sub>5</sub>	68.75	0.74	1.20	277.00	24.00	15.00	119.20	195.0
V <sub>5</sub> <sup>n</sup> <sub>1</sub>	65.00	0.54	1.00	474.75	23.25	16.50	172.80	137.0
V <sub>5</sub> <sup>n</sup> <sub>2</sub>	64.75	0.47	1.10	562.75	22.50	16.75	210.50	217.5
V <sub>5</sub> <sup>n</sup> <sub>3</sub>	53.75	0.65	1.27	610.50	24.75	19.00	245.00	223.3
V <sub>5</sub> <sup>n</sup> <sub>4</sub>	54.75	0.93	1.37	628.75	25.75	19.50	266.00	245.25
V <sub>5</sub> <sup>n</sup> <sub>5</sub>	53.75	0.91	1.40	625.75	25.50	19.00	268.25	253.75
CB	1.65	0.03	0.05	13.75	1.03	0.61	11.07	6.13
CD	0.00	0.00	0.00	73.93	2.92	0.00	22.16	0.00

## 4.2. Yield Components

### 4.2.1. Number of cobs per plant.

The data on mean number of cobs per plant are presented in Table 3(a) and (b) and the analysis of variance in Appendix VI.

It is observed that the different varieties significantly affected the number of cobs per plant.  $v_5$  recorded significantly higher number of cobs per plant when compared to other varieties. But  $v_5$  and  $v_9$  were statistically on par in this respect.

The different levels of nitrogen also showed significant influence on the number of cobs per plant and as such the number of cobs per plant increased with increasing levels of nitrogen. However, the effects of  $n_5$  and  $n_6$  were statistically on par while all the other nitrogen levels differed significantly.

The results revealed that the variety x nitrogen interaction had no direct influence on the cob number.

### 4.2.2. Number of grains per cob.

The data on mean number of grains per cob recorded are presented in Table 3(a) and (b) and the analysis of variance in Appendix VI.

Data revealed significant increase in the number of grain per cob with increasing levels of nitrogen and with different varieties.

Among the varieties,  $v_5$  recorded the maximum number of grains per cob and  $v_4$  recorded the minimum number.  $v_5$  was found to be superior to all other varieties except  $v_3$  with regard to number of grain per cob.

The level of nitrogen also had significant influence on the number of grains per cob. Number of grains increased progressively with increasing levels of nitrogen and the effect was linear up to  $n_4$  level. However, the dose  $n_4$  was statistically on par with the highest dose  $n_5$ .

The interaction between variety and nitrogen was also found to be significant. The treatment combinations  $v_5n_5$ ,  $v_3n_4$  and  $v_5n_5$  were superior to the remaining ones. Number of grains per cob was maximum for the treatment combinations  $v_5n_4$  while  $v_4n_2$  recorded the minimum value.

#### 4.3.3. Length of cob

The data furnished in Table 3(a) and (b) represent the mean length of cob. Analysis of variance is presented in Appendix VI.

The varieties had significant influence on this character. Among the varieties,  $v_5$  recorded maximum cob

length which was on par with  $v_5$ . All the other three varieties did not differ significantly in this respect.  $v_5$  and  $v_3$  were found to be superior to other varieties.

The length of cob was influenced significantly by the levels of nitrogen also. The response was found to be linear upto  $N_2$  beyond which nitrogen application resulted in a slight reduction in cob length. The maximum length of cob was 26.25 cm. recorded at  $N_2$  level.

#### 4.2.4. Girth of cob.

The data on mean value of girth of cobs are presented in Table 2(a) and (b) and the analysis of variance in Appendix VI.

Results revealed that varieties and nitrogen levels had significant influence on the girth of cobs. But the interaction of set was not significant.

Differences due to varieties were found to be significant. Among the varieties,  $v_5$  recorded maximum girth and the lowest girth of 14 cm. was recorded by  $v_2$ .  $v_5$  exhibited significantly greater cob girth as compared to all other varieties.

Aplied nitrogen increased the girth of cobs significantly. The maximum girth of 16 cm. was recorded by 14. kg. N/1

which was on par with 17 Kg. N/ha. All the other nitrogen levels differed significantly in their responses.

Interaction of test between the varieties and nitrogen levels was not significant.

#### 4.2.5. Weight of cob.

The analysis of variance is presented in Appendix VI and the mean values are given in Table 3(a) and (b).

It was observed that the varieties and nitrogen levels had significant effect on the weight of cob. The interaction between varieties and levels of nitrogen was also significant.

Among the varieties,  $V_5$  recorded the maximum weight of cob which markedly differed from all the other varieties. The lowest weight of cob was recorded by the variety  $V_4$ .

Incremental doses of nitrogen also produced significant increase in the weight of cob. From the mean table it is seen that the nitrogen fertilization increased the weight of cob upto a level of  $N_4$  level after which there was a decline. But both levels were only on par in their response.

Interaction of test between the varieties and nitrogen levels also influenced significantly on the weight of cob. Among the different combinations,  $V_5N_5$  recorded the highest value which was on par with  $V_5N_4$  and  $V_5N_3$ .

#### 4.2.5. Thousand grain weight.

The data on mean values are presented in Table 3(a) and (b) and the analysis of variance is shown in Appendix VI.

Thousand grain weight was found to differ significantly due to different varieties and levels of nitrogen. Among the five varieties,  $V_5$  recorded a remarkable increase in thousand grain weight which was superior to all the other varieties. The other four varieties were statistically on par with respect to this character.

Increasing levels of nitrogen increased the thousand grain weight considerably. This may be because of the fact that the heavier grains were resulted by increased application of nitrogen. There was a considerable increase in thousand grain weight upto  $N_4$  level after which there was a significant decline in this character.

The thousand grain weight was not influenced by the interaction between variety and nitrogen.

### 4.3. Field

#### 4.3.1. Grain yield.

The mean values on grain yield are furnished in Table 4(a) and (b) and the analysis of variance in Appendix VII.

Results revealed that the grain yield was significantly influenced by the levels of nitrogen. The differences due to successive incremental doses of nitrogen were significant upto  $N_2$  level and maximum yield was also recorded by this level. The minimum yield was recorded by the lowest dose of nitrogen. After  $N_2$  level a decline in grain yield was observed although this difference was not significant. At  $N_2$  level of nitrogen, the grain yield was 294 kg/ha which was significantly higher than that at other levels except  $N_3$  while it was only 115 kg/ha at the lowest level of nitrogen.

The data revealed that the different varieties did not reduce any significant effect on grain yield. However, it was observed that  $V_2$  produced the maximum grain yield followed by  $V_1$ .

Grain yield was not significantly influenced by the different treatment combinations also. However, the treatment combination  $V_2N_2$  recorded the maximum grain yield.

#### 4.3.2. Stover yield.

The data on mean stover yield are presented in Table 4(a) and (b) and the analysis of variance in a table VII.

Data revealed that the increasing doses of nitrogen had significant influence on stover yield. Stover yield progressively and significantly increased with each additional level of applied nitrogen. The maximum stover yield was recorded at  $N_2$  level which was statistically on par with  $N_3$ .

Table 4(a) Grain yield, tover yield, Harvest index, drymatter production, Protein content of grain

Treat- ment	Grain yield kg. ha <sup>-1</sup>	tover yield kg. ha <sup>-1</sup>	Harvest in ex	drymatter production kg. ha <sup>-1</sup>	Protein Content of grain (%)
v <sub>1</sub>	1728	2973	0.53	2396	11.15
v <sub>2</sub>	1495	3528	0.5	2491	11.26
v <sub>3</sub>	1593	3561	0.31	2718	11.9
v <sub>4</sub>	1417	3723	0.27	2422	11.98
v <sub>5</sub>	2563	4001	0.35	306	11.7
v	3.7	4.27	2.28	235.3	3.17
SD	3	3	0.07	8	0.54
n <sub>1</sub>	1154	2065	0.31	2803	9.53
n <sub>2</sub>	1793	3293	0.3	2353	11.23
n <sub>3</sub>	1713	3755	0.22	2508	10.72
n <sub>4</sub>	274	4706	0.24	3.57	12.47
n <sub>5</sub>	2179	4396	0.35	2271	12.12
T	1.7	1.69	0.096	61.47	0.24
SD	3.12	3.69	0.13	175.87	0.57

Table 4(b). Grain yield, tover yield, Harvest Index, Drymatter Production, Protein content of grain

Treat- ments	Grain yield kg. ha <sup>-1</sup>	tover yield kg. ha <sup>-1</sup>	Harvest Index	Drymatter production kg. ha <sup>-1</sup>	Protein Content of grain (%)
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	1256	2214	0.8	1634	14.11
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	1508	2522	0.5	1836	16.66
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>3</sub>	1671	2851	0.9	2108	16.66
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>4</sub>	2261	3652	0.78	2975	11.55
V <sub>1</sub> N <sub>5</sub>	3146	2618	0.42	2187	12.47
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	897	2465	0.26	1796	9.01
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	1145	2552	0.26	2200	9.91
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>3</sub>	1542	2596	0.31	2497	10.12
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>4</sub>	2002	4070	0.5	2835	16.75
V <sub>2</sub> N <sub>5</sub>	1040	3947	0.32	2994	11.52
V <sub>3</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	1249	2544	0.4	1817	9.1
V <sub>3</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	1453	3110	0.33	2134	14.07
V <sub>3</sub> N <sub>3</sub>	1567	859	0.29	2804	14.73
V <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub>	1958	4297	0.31	2195	14.16
V <sub>3</sub> N <sub>5</sub>	1362	3991	0.32	2412	12.46

Table 4(b) Contd.

Treatments	Grain yield	Stover yield	Harvest Index	Drymatter production	Protein Content
$V_4^{n_1}$	85	2220	0.24	1694	9.32
$V_4^{n_2}$	1243	267	0.23	2130	9.53
$V_4^{n_3}$	1518	1831	0.28	25.6	10.57
$V_4^{n_4}$	1757	4.20	0.29	2770	13.18
$V_4^{n_5}$	1569	4.19	0.28	301	12.18
$V_5^{n_1}$	1566	276	0.3	2259	10.11
$V_5^{n_2}$	1925	3109	0.32	2071	13.69
$V_5^{n_3}$	2243	4591	0.33	1076	11.49
$V_5^{n_4}$	1600	3171	0.40	1090	17.92
$V_5^{n_5}$	2505	46.5	0.40	1752	12.28
L	1.29	2.44	0.02	137.46	14.53
GD		5	11	52	3

It was observed that the yield of stover was not significantly influenced by the different varieties. However, from the mean table it was observed that the variety  $v_5$  produced the maximum quantity of stover followed by  $v_6$ . The different treatment combinations also did not significantly influence the stover yield.

#### 4.5.3. Harvest Index.

The mean values of harvest index are presented in Table 4(a) and (b) and the analysis of variance in Appendix VIII.

The result revealed that the different varieties and levels of nitrogen had significant influence on harvest index. However, the interaction of both did not show any significant influence on this character.

Among the different varieties, the highest value of harvest index was recorded by the variety  $v_2$  which was on par with  $v_5$  and  $v_6$ . The least value of 0.27 was recorded by the variety  $v_7$ .

Harvest index value increased linearly with increasing levels of nitrogen upto  $n_3$  level. But the effects of  $n_3$  level was statistically on par with  $n_2$  level. All the other nitrogen levels were found to be quite inferior in this respect. The harvest value was obtained at 7 by 3/ha which was statistically similar to that of  $n_2$  level.

It was further observed that nitrogen and variety interaction were not significant.

#### 4.4. Quality Character.

##### 4.4.1. Protein Content of Grain.

The data on the protein content of grain as influenced by various treatments are presented in Table 4(a) and (b) and the analysis of variance in Appendix VIII.

It was observed that the effects due to varieties and levels of nitrogen were statistically significant. But the interaction of  $N_2$  was not significant.

Among the five varieties,  $V_5$  had the highest protein content of 11.7 which was significantly different from the other four varieties. But  $V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4$  were statistically on par.

The protein content of grain was significantly increased by the application of nitrogen upto  $N_3$  level, beyond which there was a decline in protein content although not statistically significant. Nitrogen at  $N_3$  level recorded the highest protein content in grain which was superior to all the lower levels.

Interaction effect was not significant.

Table 5(a) Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potash Content of  
Plant and Grain at Harvest

Treat- ments	N Content of plant at Harvest (%)	N Content of grain (%)	P Content of grain (%)	Content of plant at Harvest (%)	P Content of plant at Harvest (%)	K Content of grain (%)
V <sub>1</sub>	2.43	1.77	1.23	1.21	0.51	0.50
V <sub>2</sub>	1.47	1.64	1.21	1.01	0.60	0.61
V <sub>3</sub>	1.33	1.77	1.06	0.97	0.56	0.55
V <sub>4</sub>	1.45	1.76	1.21	1.1	0.58	0.48
V <sub>5</sub>	1.59	1.87	1.11	1.11	0.57	0.51
V	0.5	0.63	0.3	0.05	0.2	0.2
G	0.16	0.19	0.0	0.11	0.1	0.08
n <sub>1</sub>	1.23	1.52	1.22	0.91	0.52	0.64
n <sub>2</sub>	1.25	1.64	1.17	0.79	0.53	0.62
n <sub>3</sub>	1.45	1.72	1.14	1.05	0.55	0.48
n <sub>4</sub>	1.56	2.0	1.12	1.11	0.61	0.46
n <sub>5</sub>	1.62	1.94	1.15	1.19	0.62	0.4
n	0.03	0.4	0.3	0.05	0.2	0.2

Table 5(b) Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potash Content of  
plant and Grain at Harvest

Treat- ment	N Content of plant at Harvest (%)	N Content of grain (%)	P Content of grain (%)	P Content of plant at Harvest (%)	K Content of plant at Harvest (%)	K Content of grain (%)
$v_1n_1$	1.26	1.62	1.22	1.61	0.48	0.71
$v_1n_2$	1.55	1.71	1.21	1.11	0.51	0.51
$v_1n_3$	1.47	1.71	1.16	1.14	0.48	0.55
$v_1n_4$	1.51	1.62	1.14	1.15	0.63	0.70
$v_1n_5$	1.67	1.99	1.43	1.25	0.55	0.3
$v_2n_1$	1.46	1.44	1.26	0.87	0.55	0.79
$v_2n_2$	1.53	1.59	1.28	0.9	0.61	0.60
$v_2n_3$	1.41	1.62	1.09	1.01	0.59	0.54
$v_2n_4$	1.47	1.72	1.15	1.7	0.59	0.55
$v_2n_5$	1.46	1.34	1.25	1.19	0.65	0.48
$v_3n_1$	1.7	1.45	1.2	0.85	0.55	0.65
$v_3n_2$	1.5	1.61	0.98	0.95	0.63	0.56
$v_3n_3$	1.56	1.72	1.17	1.01	0.51	0.63
$v_3n_4$	1.93	2.11	0.94	1.02	0.54	0.59
$v_3n_5$	1.45	1.98	0.98	1.05	0.61	0.51

Table 5(b) Contd.

Frontment <sup>a</sup>	N Content of plant at Harvest (%)	N Content of grain (%)	P Content of grain (%)	I Content of plant at Harvest (%)	I Content of plant at Harvest (%)	K Content of grain (%)
V <sub>4</sub> <sup>01</sup>	1.55	1.49	1.27	0.86	0.43	0.43
V <sub>4</sub> <sup>02</sup>	1.55	1.57	1.25	0.93	0.44	0.68
V <sub>4</sub> <sup>03</sup>	1.51	1.69	1.24	1.01	0.69	0.53
V <sub>4</sub> <sup>04</sup>	1.61	2.11	1.18	1.13	0.7	0.49
V <sub>4</sub> <sup>05</sup>	1.68	1.92	1.05	1.15	0.6	0.48
V <sub>5</sub> <sup>01</sup>	1.31	1.62	1.16	0.94	0.59	0.63
V <sub>5</sub> <sup>02</sup>	1.7	1.72	1.10	1.03	0.5	0.65
V <sub>5</sub> <sup>03</sup>	1.5	1.84	1.02	1.10	0.90	0.58
V <sub>5</sub> <sup>04</sup>	1.82	2.21	1.19	1.18	0.59	0.48
V <sub>5</sub> <sup>05</sup>	1.59	1.96	1.06	1.3	0.73	0.43
S	0.6	0.8	0.7	0.34	0.15	0.14
CO	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.1	0.11

## 4.5. Uptake of Nutrients.

### 4.5.1. Uptake of nitrogen at harvest.

The mean values on the uptake of nitrogen at harvest are presented in Table 6(a) and (b), and the analysis variance in Appendix 2.

The results revealed that an increase in the application of nitrogen significantly increased the nitrogen uptake by plants. But higher uptake of nitrogen was observed only upto  $N_4$  level beyond which a slight reduction was observed although this decrease was not statistically significant. It was also observed that the minimum uptake was recorded in the lowest level of nitrogen ( $N_1$ ).

Varieties did not bring out any significant variation in nitrogen uptake by plants. The interaction effect was also not significant.

### 4.5.2. Uptake of phosphorus at harvest.

The mean values are presented in Table 6(a) and (b), and the analysis of variance in Appendix 2.

Results revealed significant differences in the phosphorus uptake due to different levels of nitrogen. Increased application of nitrogen resulted in an increase in phosphorus uptake by the plants upto  $N_4$  level. Thereafter a slight reduction

was observed in her hours uptake. her hours uptake at  $N_2$  level was significantly superior to all the other levels except  $N_5$ .

Varieties did not show any significant influence on her hours uptake. The effect due to variety x nitrogen interaction was also not significant in this case.  $V_5$  recorded the maximum uptake of her hours as compared to all the other varieties.

#### 4.5.3. Uptake of potassium at harvest.

The mean values are reported in Table 6(a) and (b) and the analysis of variance in Table 7.

Results indicated that potassium uptake was significantly influenced by increasing levels of nitrogen. This significant increase in potassium uptake was observed only up to  $N_2$  level after which there occurred a decreasing trend which was not significant.

Different varieties of maize failed to show any significant influence on the uptake of potassium. None of the variety x nitrogen interaction was also found to be significant in this respect.

Table 6(a) Uptake of Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potash and Total Nitrogen, Available Phosphorus and Total Nitrogen Content in soil

Treatments	Uptake of Nitrogen kg. ha <sup>-1</sup>	Uptake of Phosphorus kg. ha <sup>-1</sup>	Uptake of Potash kg. ha <sup>-1</sup>	Total N Content in soil kg. ha <sup>-1</sup>	Available P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> content in soil kg. ha <sup>-1</sup>	Available N <sub>2</sub> O content in soil kg. ha <sup>-1</sup>
v <sub>1</sub>	75.47	54.26	29.47	2725	12.12	216
v <sub>2</sub>	75.22	57.41	29.40	2754	17.24	211
v <sub>3</sub>	77.17	52.95	23.13	2919	15.19	213
v <sub>4</sub>	81.21	55.19	22.46	233	14.31	257
v <sub>5</sub>	122.41	77.15	36.97	272	15.63	257
SL	12.60	7.17	4.74	342.23	1.55	24.07
GD	0	0	0	70	1.59	0
n <sub>1</sub>	50.92	27.49	25.72	1622	11.44	197
n <sub>2</sub>	68.65	40.8	23.41	224	11.44	225
n <sub>3</sub>	84.56	58.57	27.83	2550	15.60	254
n <sub>4</sub>	115.40	74.11	27.1	2500	16.91	272
r <sub>3</sub>	119.17	73.2	21.25	4732	16.61	21
LB	3.80	2.22	1.12	175.26	1.42	22.225
GD	1.97	6.21	1.75	495.93	1.10	64.07

Table 6(b). Uptake of P and K and Total Nitrogen content, available  $P_2O_5$  and  $K_2O$  content in the soil after the experiment

Treatments	Uptake of N kg. ha <sup>-1</sup>	Uptake of P kg. ha <sup>-1</sup>	Uptake of K kg. ha <sup>-1</sup>	Total N Content in soil kg. ha <sup>-1</sup>	Av. $P_2O_5$ content in soil kg. ha <sup>-1</sup>	Av. $K_2O$ content in soil kg. ha <sup>-1</sup>
$V_1N_1$	47.11	20.86	17.54	1657	9.06	15
$V_1N_2$	36.15	44.04	23.94	2217	11.71	21.2
$V_1N_3$	69.94	51.57	21.27	2611	12.31	21.6
$V_1N_4$	95.37	67.39	11.53	3057	15.51	21.1
$V_1N_5$	99.1	74.54	26.0	4385	18.01	25.1
$V_2N_1$	43.82	12.51	20.86	1699	12.5	21.1
$V_2N_2$	65.72	45.89	29.47	1955	17.5	24.4
$V_2N_3$	75.41	51.22	20.17	2271	18.11	27.4
$V_2N_4$	95.0	66.02	15.12	2673	17.75	28.1
$V_2N_5$	21.11	69.15	21.57	4233	20.71	51.8
$V_3N_1$	46.68	17.01	21.41	1583	12.5	17.1
$V_3N_2$	63.92	45.85	27.21	2147	11.75	21.6
$V_3N_3$	73.29	57.51	27.21	2416	16.25	25.4
$V_3N_4$	111.19	64.47	14.28	3498	11.79	26.9
$V_3N_5$	94.16	59.00	29.51	4958	14.69	28.1

Table 6(b) Conti.

Treat- ment	Uptake of P kg. ha <sup>-1</sup>	Uptake of N kg. ha <sup>-1</sup>	Uptake of K kg. ha <sup>-1</sup>	Total N Content in oil kg. ha <sup>-1</sup>	Average N <sup>15</sup> content <sup>2</sup> in oil kg. ha <sup>-1</sup>	Average K <sub>2</sub> O Con- tent <sup>2</sup> in oil kg. ha <sup>-1</sup>
V <sub>4</sub> A <sub>1</sub>	42.89	15.01	21.69	1576	11.11	199
V <sub>4</sub> A <sub>2</sub>	69.47	46.21	21.37	2462	11.55	203
V <sub>4</sub> A <sub>3</sub>	82.4	57.85	27.39	2691	14.58	271
V <sub>4</sub> A <sub>4</sub>	116.6	59.47	28.72	3502	16.66	283
V <sub>4</sub> A <sub>5</sub>	104.21	66.91	22.23	413	15.74	283
V <sub>5</sub> A <sub>1</sub>	69.9	49.66	27.24	1923	16.60	269
V <sub>5</sub> A <sub>2</sub>	94.9	62.3	32.55	2423	14.65	255
V <sub>5</sub> A <sub>3</sub>	112.53	72.69	21.44	2770	16.55	264
V <sub>5</sub> A <sub>4</sub>	179.77	121.01	46.11	2765	13.58	237
V <sub>5</sub> A <sub>5</sub>	156.55	98.51	47.70	5097	19.23	237
SD	8.57	4.99	2.96	392.11	0.92	5.65
CP	P	N	K	N	2.61	42

#### 4.5. Soil analysis

##### 4.5.1. Total nitrogen content in the soil after the experiment.

The mean values of nitrogen content in the soil after the experiment are presented in Table 6(a) and (b) and analysis of variance in Appendix. I.

Statistical analysis of the data revealed significant difference in the total nitrogen content of the soil due to levels of nitrogen application. Varieties and interaction effect did not show any significant influence in the total nitrogen content of the soil.

Increased levels of nitrogen increased the total nitrogen content of the soil upto the highest level of  $N_5$  which was significantly superior to all the other nitrogen levels.

##### 4.5.2. Available phosphorus content in the soil.

Data furnished in Table 6(a) and (b) represent the mean value of available  $P_2O_5$  in the soil after the experiment. Analysis of variance is given in Appendix. I.

Data revealed that nitrogen and varieties had significant influence on the available phosphorus content of soil. The effect due to interaction between nitrogen levels and varieties on this character was also significant. But the increasing

trend was observed only upto  $n_2$  level which recorded 16.91 kg  $P_2O_5/ha$  which recorded was on par with  $n_3$  level.

The effect due to varieties was also significant. Variety  $v_3$  recorded increase in the available phosphorus content in the soil followed by  $v_5$  which was on par with the former.

Interaction effects were also found to be significant the variety x nitrogen interaction the highest value was recorded by the  $v_2n_3$  treatment which was on par with the treatment combinations  $v_3n_3$ ,  $v_5n_3$ ,  $v_5n_4$ ,  $v_2n_5$  and  $v_2n_4$ . The treatment combination  $v_1n_1$  recorded the minimal phosphorus content in the soil.

#### 4.6.3. Exchangeable cation content in the soil.

The mean values of available cation in the soil after the experiment are furnished in Table 6(a) and (b) and the analysis of variance in Appendix E.

Results indicated that the levels of nitrogen had significant influence on the potash content in the soil. Increasing level of nitrogen also increased the potash content of the soil upto  $n_3$  level. But  $n_4$  and  $n_5$  level were statistically on par. The highest value recorded by the  $n_3$  level was 37 kg potash and the lowest value recorded by  $n_1$  level was 177 kg potash.

There was no significant influence by the different varieties and their combination with nitrogen levels.

## 4.7. Response of maize to nitrogen

Results of analysis presented in Appendix XI, revealed that the varieties  $v_1$ ,  $v_2$  and  $v_3$  responded linearly towards the application of nitrogen and as such the physical and economic optimum nitrogen rates could not be found out. No precise function of grain yield and nitrogen levels in variety  $v_4$  was established by the quadratic function,  $Y = 194.82 + 24.595 N - 0.793 N^2$

The physical and economic optimum nitrogen rates for this variety were found to be 155 kg and 144.14 kg/ha respectively. The relationship between the grain yield and levels of nitrogen in variety  $v_5$  was explained by cubic polynomial function,  $Y = 2140.75 - 55.925 N + 0.72 N^2 - 0.0024 N^3$  the physical and economic optimum nitrogen rates for this variety were found to be 15.5 kg and 147.57 kg/ha respectively.

## 4.8. Economic of production

The economic of production of different varieties for the obtained yields at various levels of nitrogen are given in Table 7 and the analysis of variance furnished in Appendix XII.

The results revealed that  $v_5$  recorded the maximum profit of Rs. 6,520/ha which was found to be higher than that for all the other four varieties.

Table 7. Economics of maize production  
( '000 rupees/ha)

Treat- ments	Cost of production excluding treatment	Extra treatment cost	Total expendi- ture	Net Return
$n_1$	3.43	0.181	3.23	1.514
$n_2$	3.63	0.133	2.196	2.717
$n_3$	3.94	0.18	3.23	2.59
$n_4$	3.64	0.317	3.27	3.627
$n_5$	3.643	0.285	3.23	3.33
$C_1$	-	-	-	0.147
$C_2$	-	-	-	0.983

Cost of inputs

1. Nitrogen : R 4.85/kg.
2. price of grain : Rs.2.87/kg.
3. price of fodder: Rs. 3.96/kg.

Labour charges

- mae @ R. 20/-  
mae @ R. 15/-

The different levels of nitrogen markedly influenced the production of maize. It is seen that increasing levels of nitrogen increased the net profit considerably. The increase in profit was linear upto  $N_2$  level after which there was a decrease in the net profit although not significant.

#### 4.9. Correlation Studies

The values of simple correlation coefficients worked out between the yield of grain and other characters are presented in Table. 8.

Grain yield of the crop was positively and significantly correlated with number of cobs per plant, number of grains per cob, length of cob, girth of cob, weight of cob, weight of thousand grains, weight of stover etc... These yield attributes were positively correlated with grain yield and the 'r' values were 0.5192, 0.5954, 0.2731, 0.4661, 0.6343, 0.6385, 0.7440 respectively.

The uptake of nitrogen, phosphorus and potash by the crops at harvest was also positively and significantly correlated with grain yield and the correlation coefficients were 0.9269, 0.9169 and 0.7607 respectively.

It was further noticed that the uptake of nitrogen, phosphorus and potash was positively and significantly correlated with the grain protein content.

Table 3. Simple linear correlation coefficients between grain yield and certain biometric characters

1.No.	Character correlated with grain yield	Correlation Coefficient
1.	No. of cobs per plant	0.519*
2.	No. of grains per cob	0.595*
3.	Length of cob	0.271*
4.	Girth of cob	0.4661*
5.	Height of cob	0.624*
6.	Thresh and grade weight	0.6085*
7.	Weight of stover	0.7040*
8.	Protein content	0.4799*
9.	Nitrogen uptake	0.9260*
10.	Chlorophyll uptake	0.9169*
11.	Potash uptake	0.7607*

\* Significant at 5% level.

## **DISCUSSION**

## 5. DISCUSSION

The present investigation is an attempt to select the most suitable variety of maize for rice fallows and also to find out an optimum level of nitrogen for the variety. The data collected on various growth characters, yield attributes and yield and quality parameters were statistically analysed and the results of experiment are discussed here under.

### 5.1. Growth Characters

#### 5.1.1. Height of Plants.

It is seen from the Table 2 (a, and (b), that there was significant increase in plant height at various stages of growth due to the application of nitrogen. The fact that the lower level nitrogen recorded lesser height at all stages of growth as compared to higher levels clearly indicates the superiority of nitrogen in increasing the plant height.

The - a maize height was recorded by the highest level of 170 kg N/ha at all stages of growth except at harvest wherein it was on par with its immediate lower level of 140 kg N/ha. Height being a character dependent on nutrition, increased application of fertilizer would have encouraged the root

growth which in turn resulted in higher rate of nutrient absorption which was manifested in the increase in plant height. Nair *et al.* (1966) Hati and Panda (1970), Rajpot *et al.* (1970), Mandal *et al.* (1972), Rajgopal and Horachan (1974), Gangro (1978), Salem and Aly (1979) and Muthukrishnan and Subramonian (1980) observed similar increase in plant height by the application of higher doses of nitrogen in maize.

Varieties recorded significant influence in plant height at harvest stage only. The maximum height of 180.95 cm was recorded by the variety Ganga-5 closely followed by Agothi-76. However Vijay recorded the minimum height of 139.43 cm. In the later stages variety Ganga-5 and Agothi-76 might have responded well to the added fertilizer resulting in increased plant height.

The interaction effect between varieties and nitrogen levels was also significant only in the beginning and at harvest. While  $V_5N_4$  has recorded the maximum height (69.25 cm) at 20 DAS, it was  $V_2N_4$  which recorded the maximum height (191.32 cm) at harvest followed by  $V_5N_4$  (191.36 cm).

#### 5.1.2. Number of leaves per plant.

The results presented in Table 2 (a) revealed that in all the stages, higher nitrogen levels recorded significant

influence on the number of leaves per plant. However varietal variation was not significant during any of the growth stages.

Even though there was significant increase in the number of leaves per plant with increasing levels of nitrogen, this increase was significant only upto 14 kg N/ha and as such 140 kg N and 170 kg N/ha could be economically used for increased leaf production. Several workers reported that the nutrients especially nitrogen influenced all the growth parameters especially leaf number (Rajput *et al.*, 1970; Gangro (1977); Yahya and Andrew (1981). Adetiloye *et al.* (1984) also reported the influence of higher levels of nutrients in increasing the number of leaves in maize.

It is seen that varieties could not influence the leaf number in the present experiment. However, Ganga-5 recorded the maximum number of leaves in all the stages of growth.

### 5.1.3. Leaf Area Index

It is seen that LAI was significantly influenced by the different nitrogen levels at all the stages of growth of maize plants. This might be due to the favourable effect of nitrogen on leaf growth. Higher levels of nitrogen increased the number of functioning leaves (Table 2 a). Increase in LAI with increased levels of nitrogen was reported by

Rajgopal and Mowachan (1974), Krishnamurthy et al. (1974), Gangre (1977), Mikhail and Shalaby (1979), El-Hattab et al. (1980) and Salem et al. (1982). The highest nitrogen level was found to be giving the maximum LAI at all stages except 60 DAS which was on par with its immediate lower levels. Another observation made in the present study was that the maximum LAI was recorded at 60 DAS. Krishnamurthy (1978) indicated that summer planting exhibited faster growth of crop enabling early achievement of larger LAI to intercept and utilize higher amount of radiation than observed from Kharif and Rabi plantings.

Increase in LAI at higher doses of nitrogen might be due to the higher number of leaves produced by the application of nitrogen as indicated earlier. Increased nitrogen application might have also increased the metabolic activity of plants and this inturn might have increased the LAI. Studies conducted by Gangear and Kalra (1981) found that LAI of rainfed maize increased with increase in nitrogen rates from 40 to 120 kg N/ha.

Varietal effect on this character was also significant at all stages except <sup>at</sup> 20 DAS. Among the different Varieties, Ganga-5 showed the highest LAI of 3.2. Thus it is seen that Ganga-5 could produce the highest LAI during summer season, thus exhibiting its superiority in this very important growth function.

The fact that interaction affect was not significant is a clear indication that different varieties did not interact with nitrogen levels.

#### 5.1.4. Days to silking.

The results (Table 3(a) and (b)), revealed that different varieties and levels of nitrogen significantly influenced the number of days to silking. Sharma et al. (1969) found that the days to silking was decreased with increasing rates of nitrogen upto 200 kg N/ha. Pandey et al. (1972) also reported early silking with the application of nitrogen at higher doses. In the present study also the plants were found to silk earlier with higher levels of nitrogen and the earliness continued upto 140 kg N/ha. Thus the mean number of days to silking was significantly reduced from 67.75 to 61.65. Generally nitrogen is expected to lengthen the vegetative phase and as such delayed process of maturity. But in the present study because of the luxuriant supply of sunshine due to summer season the photo synthetic activity could have been started earlier in the presence of abundant supply of nitrogen resulting in earlier attainment of higher LAI (Table 2) and earlier silking. The results of the present investigation are in agreement with the findings of Gupta (1968), Rathore et al. (1976), Athar (1979), El-Hattab et al. (1980), and Yadav et al. (1983).

Varieties also recorded significant difference in the number of days taken for silking. Among the varieties, Asothi-76 required the lowest number of 58.25 days for silking followed by Carga-5 with 59.8. The maximum number of 74.25 days recorded by the variety Vijay.

### 5.1.5. Leaf-stem ratio at harvest.

There was significant effects in the leaf-stem ratio of maize at harvest due to different varieties, different levels of nitrogen and their interaction.

A linear increase in leaf stem-ratio was recorded by nitrogen application upto 140 kg N/ha. This might be due to the higher rate of leaf production in proportion to stem in the monocrop of maize under adequate nutrition. Although the highest value of 0.79 was recorded at 170 kg N/ha, it was on par with that of 140 kg N/ha.

Different varieties varied significantly in leaf-stem ratio. Among the five varieties, Ganga-5 has recorded the highest value of 0.66 followed by Ganga safed-2 and the lowest value of 0.46 was recorded by Hi-starch. The data presented in Table 2(a) revealed that the above two varieties have produced comparatively higher number of leaves per plant and as such they could very well record higher values of leaf-stem ratio. The superiority of Ganga-5 variety has been further reflected in the case of leaf area index also.

### 5.1.6. Drymatter Production.

It is evident from the Table 4(a, and (b), that nitrogen application had significant influence on the drymatter production, upto the highest level tried (170 kg N/ha). The treatments which received higher doses of nitrogenous fertilizers

(170 kg N/ha) registered higher drymatter yield than those with lower fertilizer levels. The influence of nitrogen in promoting the vegetative growth of plants is a well established fact. Increase in drymatter yield with increasing levels of nitrogen was reported by Ramnagarundaram et al. (1974), Kumaraswamy et al. (1975), Elias et al. (1979), Singh and Chand (1980), Rethukrishnan and Subramonian (1980).

A positive linear response was observed with increasing levels of nitrogen. Higher levels of nitrogen application increased the growth of maize crop significantly (Table 2 (a) and (b)). The growth attributing characters like height of plants, number of leaves per plant and the leaf area index were maximum at higher levels of nitrogen application. The results in Table 6 (a) and (b) clearly indicated that the uptake of major nutrients might have increased the production of growth attributing characters and finally resulted in the higher drymatter yield. The uptake of major nutrients was maximum at higher levels of nitrogen application. The increased uptake of major nutrients would have resulted in higher drymatter yield. Similar results showing the influence of increased dose of nutrients in increasing the yield of maize was reported by Krishnancourthy et al. (1974) and Michail and Shalaby (1979). According to Ahlawat et al. (1975), nitrogen fertilization increased the drymatter accumulation and the rate of drymatter accumulation was faster in nitrogen fertilized plants and the per plant dry weight

increased continuously upto 91-95 days. Motyanov (1983) also observed the beneficial effect of nitrogen in increasing the drymatter production in maize and explained, that the increasing nitrogen rate, increased the chlorophyll content which is correlated with drymatter accumulation.

Even though there was no significant difference in drymatter yield due to different varieties, the maximum drymatter yield was recorded by the variety Ganga-5 and minimum by Ganga safed-2.

## 5.2. Yield Components

### 5.2.1. Number of cobs per plant.

The data furnished in Table 3 (a) revealed that the application of nitrogen at different levels had significant effect on the number of cobs per plant. The highest number of cobs was recorded by the highest level of nitrogen (170 kg N/ha) which was on par with its immediate lower level (140 kg N/ha). This finding is in conformity with the results obtained by Sharma (1973), Rathore *et al.* (1976), Dwar and Khosra (1977), Bort *et al.* (1982), Karim *et al.* (1983) and Maitiloye *et al.* (1984)

Varieties also recorded significant difference in the number of cobs per plant. Ganga-5 recorded the highest number of cobs per plant which was on par with HI-starch in this regard.

The data on LAI and leaf-stem ratio also revealed that Ganga-5 stood first in both characters. The fact that Ganga-5 could produce the highest LAI of 3.2 clearly indicates its superiority in the carbohydrate synthesis which reflected in the number of cobs and ultimately on the yield.

It was observed that the number of cobs per plant is a character influenced by both nutrient status and varietal characters individually and their interactions were not significant.

#### 5.2.2. Number of Grains per cob.

Table 3 (a, and (b) showed that the different varieties, levels of nitrogen and its interaction effects significantly influenced the number of grains per cob. Number of grains increased linearly with increasing levels of nitrogen upto 140 kg/ha which was on par with its higher level of 170 kg N/ha. et al. (1975) observed higher number of grains per ear with 120 kg N/ha. But Rathore et al. (1976) observed linear increase in the number of grains per cob with increasing levels of nitrogen upto 160 kg/ha.

The effect of <sup>Varieties</sup> significantly influenced this character. Among the different varieties Ganga-5 recorded the maximum number of grains per cob and Vijay recorded the minimum number of grains per cob. The interaction between nitrogen and variety also was found to be significant.

FIG 3 NUMBER OF GRAINS / COB AS INFLUENCED BY VARIETIES AND LEVELS OF NITROGEN





FIG 4 . 1000 GRAIN WEIGHT AS INFLUENCED BY VARIETIES AND LEVEL OF NITROGEN



Krishnamoorthy *et al.* (1977), Al-Rudha and Al-Younis (1978), and Kathurichnan and Subramanian (1980) observed an increasing trend in the number of grains per cob with increasing rates of nitrogen. Rajput *et al.* (1970) also observed linear significant increase upto 160 kg N/ha. The higher level of nitrogen treated plots produced marked increase in the number of grains per cob compared to lower level nitrogen treated plots. Thus it is revealed that the application of nitrogen is definitely beneficial in this character.

The fact that the interactions were also significant clearly indicated the fact that the varietal variation in this character can be fully exploited by high level of nitrogen management. Ganga-5 when supplied with 140 kg N/ha could produce the maximum number of grain per cob.

### 5.2.3. Length of cob.

The data revealed that the different varieties and nitrogen levels influenced the length of cob significantly. Among the varieties, HI-starch recorded maximum length of cob followed by Ganga-5. The result of the present investigation was in conformity with the findings of Kathurichnan and Subramanian (1980). The minimum length of 21.70 cm was recorded by Ganga safed-2.

Length of cob was influenced significantly by level of

nitrogen. The response was linear upto 140 kg N/ha beyond which a slight reduction in length was observed. Increase in length of cob with increasing level of nitrogen was reported by several workers like Hati and Panda (1970), Gangro (1978), Shalaby and Mikhail (1979), Srinivasa et al. (1979), Subramanian et al. (1982), Adetiloye et al. (1984) and Russel (1984).

It is seen that the interaction effects was also significant. Hi-starch at 140 kg N/ha has recorded the maximum length of 31.5 cm followed by 29.5 cm recorded by the same variety at 110 kg N/ha, thus proving that the same variety of maize and the same level of nitrogen both individually and collectively exhibited their superiority in this character.

#### 5.2.4. Girth of cob.

Results revealed that applied nitrogen increased the girth of cobs significantly. Maximum girth of 16 cm was recorded by 140 kg N/ha which was on par with 170 kg N/ha. Thus it is seen that there was significant linear response only upto 140 kg N/ha and thereafter a slight reduction in the girth of cobs was observed. These findings are in conformity with the results of Khazimar (1981) who found a linear increase in girth of cob upto 160 kg N/ha. Subramanian et al. (1982) and Russel (1984) also reported significant linear response in the girth of cobs with different levels of nitrogen.

Varietal effect also influenced significantly on the girth of cob. Among the varieties, Ganga-5 recorded the maximum girth which was found to be superior to other varieties.

#### 5.2.5. Weight of cob.

The different varieties, nitrogen levels and its interaction effect recorded significant influence on the weight of cob. Among the varieties, Ganga-5 recorded the maximum weight of cobs which markedly differed from all the other varieties. The data presented in Table 3 (a), clearly indicated that the length of cobs and girth of cobs were significantly superior in the variety Ganga-5. Therefore it is quite natural that this variety recorded significantly higher weight of cobs.

Incremental doses of nitrogen also produced significant and linear increase in the weight of cobs upto 14 kg N/ha. In the case of length of cobs and girth of cobs it is seen that the nitrogen level of 14 kg/ha was superior and as such the same level would record the higher weight of cobs also. According to Rathore *et al.* (1976) and Kharkar (1980) a linear increase in cob weight was recorded upto 160 kg N/ha.

#### 5.2.6. Thousand Grain weight.

Data furnished in Table 3 (a) revealed that different fertilizer levels and different varieties recorded significant influence on the thousand grain weight. The significant effect

of nitrogen has been utilised not only for vegetative growth and development, but also for increasing the size of seeds by proper filling up of the grains and thereby contributing to a higher thousand grain weight. Increasing levels of nitrogen increased the thousand grain weight upto 140 kg N/ha. Similar increasing trend in thousand grain weight with incremental levels of nitrogen was observed by Tripathi (1971), Sharma (1972), Rajan and Varikaran (1974), Krishnaswamy *et al.* (1977) Shalaby and Mikhail (1979), Subramonian *et al.* (1982), Russel (1984) and Krishnaveni and Ramaswamy (1985). Rathore *et al.* (1976) and Kharkar (1980) recorded linear response upto 160 kg/ha.

Among the five varieties tried only Ganga-5 could record significantly higher thousand grain weight which clearly indicates the fact that only Ganga-5 could utilise the higher levels of nitrogen for increasing the size of grains and thereby exhibiting higher thousand grain weight.

### 5.3. Yield

#### 5.3.1. Grain yield.

The results furnished in Table 4 (a) revealed that the application of nitrogen exerted a significant influence on the total grain yield. A positive linear increase in grain yield was observed upto 140 kg N/ha and the differences due to

GRAIN YIELD AND STOVER YIELD AS INFLUENCED BY  
LEVELS OF NITROGEN




FIG. 5.




FIG. 6.

successive incremental doses of nitrogen were significant upto 10 kg N/ha. It was already seen (Tables 2(a,b) and 3(a,b)) that many of the growth promoting and yield attributing characters like LAI at critical stages, leaf area ratio, number of cobs per plant, number of grains per cob, weight of cob, thousand grain weight etc., were progressively increased with incremental levels of nitrogen. Therefore it is clear that the influence of all these characters have been finally expressed in the grain yield.

When the level of nitrogen increased from 100 kg to 140 kg N/ha, grain yield increased from 1706 kg to 2340 kg/ha. Thus it is seen that an increase of 30 kg N/ha could produce a very high increase in grain yield. The number of cobs per unit area, number of grains per cob and higher grain weight were mainly responsible for this increase in yield. Avora *et al.* (1975) found that the increase in grain yield with increasing levels of nitrogen may be attributed to the favourable effect of nitrogen on grain yield per ear. The influence of higher dose of fertilizers on the growth of maize crop is well known. A scrutiny of the data (Table 4a), in respect of grain yield indicated that different levels of nitrogen had significant effect on grain yield.

The data in Table 6(a), in respect of the uptake of total N, P and K by maize also clearly indicate that the higher uptake of these major nutrients might have substantially improved the yield attributing characters which might have finally resulted

in higher yields. Similar results showing the influence of increased dose of nutrients in increasing yield of maize was reported by several workers alike Puspangadan and George (1965) Saridhar and Madanandan (1972), Wacnakshi et al. (1975), Khan and Singh (1976), Sathyanarayana (1978) and Kumar et al. (1984).

The results discussed above clearly revealed that the hybrid maize crop economically responded to 140kg N/ha which produced the maximum yield while the lowest yield was under 50 kg N/ha. Grainyield increased significantly with each additional level of applied nitrogen. The favourable effect of nitrogen in increasing the total grain yield has been reported by many workers like Tripathi and Singh (1982), Palon et al. and Yadav et al. (1985), Brar and Bhajan Singh (1984) and Patel et al. (1985). In the present study, the higher grain yield under increased nitrogen levels were mainly due to corresponding increase in yield contributing characters like length of cob, girth of cob, number of grains per cob and thousand grain weight. Moreover the uptake of major nutrients viz., N, P and K were higher with increasing levels of nitrogen resulting in higher grainyield as already stated. Similar linear response in grain yield to maize upto 140 kg N/ha was reported by Palacios (1979) and Lihajlovic (1982).

According to Tripathi (1978), Gangwar and Kaira (1981), Yadav et al. (1983) and Patel et al. (1985), application of nitrogenous fertilizers exerted a linear increase in grain yield

upto 120 kg N/ha. Workers like Brar and Khohra and Bhushan et al. (1977), Prasad (1978), Halmani et al. (1980) and Gov et al. (1980, reported linear increase in grain yield with increasing levels of nitrogen upto 150 kg/ha. The results of trials conducted by Dharthakar et al. (1975) and Mehta and Mothari (1975) revealed that nitrogen at the rate of 164 kg/ha resulted maximum increase in grain yield during summer season.

Even though the effect of varieties on grain yield was not significant, Ganga-5 produced the maximum grain yield which may be ascribed by hybrid vigour in Ganga-5 and also that it may be an efficient user of available plant nutrients. In other words, the higher grain yield of Ganga-5 may be attributed to higher number of ear bearing plants and superior ear characters. Decreased grain yield of Vijay even with higher dose of 170 kg N/ha appears to be due to less productive efficiency of Vijay as compared to Ganga-5. Sharma (1970), observed that the hybrid Ganga-5 out yielded Vijay and the economic optimum dose of nitrogen was between 145 and 164 kg N/ha.

### 5.3.2. Stover yield.

The results revealed that nitrogen had a significant influence on stover yield. Increasing rates of nitrogen significantly influenced the stover yield. As in the case of grain yield maximum stover yield was recorded at 140 kg N/ha

which was on par with 170 kg N/ha. It is seen that the vegetative characters like height of plants, number of leaves per plant etc., increased with increasing levels of nitrogen and as such increased stover yield is only a reflection of the favourable influence of these characters. Stover yield progressively and significantly increased with each additional levels of applied nitrogen. Chammugamtharan et al. (1974) observed considerable increase in straw yield with every increment of nitrogen. The present investigation is in conformity with the findings of several workers like Al-sharkawy et al. (1976), Santos and Olson (1977), Singh and Sheoprasad (1978), Ranjodh Singh et al. (1980) and Singh et al. (1982).

The effect of varieties was found to be non-significant in the case of stover yield indicating that no variety could significantly influence this character. However the variety Ganga-5 produced the highest grain yield and the lowest yield was recorded by Ganga safed-2. It is already seen that the highest grain yield was produced by Ganga-5 variety. The fact that the important vegetative characters like height of plant, number of leaves were higher in the case of Ganga-5 variety clearly illustrates the reasons for the highest stover yield in this variety.

### 5.3.3). Harvest Index

In the present study it is seen that nitrogen had significant influence on the harvest index. The maximum harvest index was obtained

index was obtained at 170 kg N/ha which was on par with its immediate lower level of 140 kg N/ha, showing that this lower dose could be economically used for higher harvest index. A relatively higher harvest index at higher levels of nitrogen is a clear indication that the varieties tried responds well to the application of nitrogen. This result is in conformity with the finding of Mikhail and Holaby (1979) and Elias et al. (1979).


Varieties also had significant influence on harvest index. It is seen that the variety Ganga safed-2 recorded the highest harvest index which was on par with the values recorded by Ganga-5 and Hi-starch. From the early discussion it is clear that Ganga-5 produced the highest grain yield and therefore it is quite natural to get relatively high harvest index values of this variety. It is also observed that Vijay recorded the lowest harvest index which produced the second highest clover yield next to Ganga-5, but recorded only the lowest grain yield. Although Ganga-5 produced the highest clover yield, because of its relatively higher grain yield it could produce higher harvest index also.

#### 5.4. quality Characters

##### 5.4.1. Protein content of grain.

Nitrogen had a pronounced effect in increasing the protein

FIG 7 . PROTEIN CONTENT OF GRAIN AS INFLUENCED  
BY VARIETIES AND LEVELS OF NITROGEN



per cent of grain as revealed in the proof study. Nitrogen at the rate of 140 kg/ha recorded the highest protein per cent in grain after which there was a decline in protein content although not statistically significant. Reddy and Kaliappa (1974) reported that the quality of grain in respect of protein was less at higher population, but if we take into consideration the total yield per hectare, the loss will be compensated by higher yields at thicker population. They also reported that grain protein content in maize increased with increase in applied nitrogen upto 150 kg/ha. Increased application of nitrogen increased the crude protein per cent indicating the importance of nitrogen in achieving high protein yields with no adverse effect on protein quality. The increased protein per cent was the result of increased nitrogen application as the nitrogen is the most important component of protein.

Increased protein content may be due to higher rates of absorption of nitrogen by the plants at adequate nitrogen level which ultimately resulted in higher protein content. There was significant linear increase in grain protein content with increasing levels of nitrogen. 140 kg N/ha produced the maximum grain protein content of 12.47%. Das et al. (1981) reported a similar increasing trend in grain protein content from 11.75 to 15.5% with increasing nitrogen rate. The prevailing high temperature and low humidity during summer season help in quick drying of seed and thereby better quality of seed is obtained.

The role of nitrogen in increasing the grain protein content was reported by Eripathi (1971), Singh (1976), Gangro (1978), and Yahya and Andrew (1981).

Varieties also differed significantly in their grain protein content. Ganga-5 recorded the highest protein content of 11.7%. The data on nitrogen uptake by different varieties (Table 6(a)) also revealed the fact that the highest nitrogen uptake was recorded by the variety Ganga-5. This higher nitrogen uptake would have helped this variety to record higher per cent of protein also.

#### 5.5. Nutrient contents of plant parts

##### 5.5.1. Nitrogen content of grain and stover.

It is seen that the highest level of nitrogen gave the highest content of nitrogen in grain and stover. Nitrogen content at lowest level was significantly inferior to all other levels of nitrogen. It is clear from the results that the increased supply of nutrients had increased the nitrogen contents of grain and stover significantly. The effect of nitrogen nutrition in increasing the nitrogen content of maize plant has been reported by many workers. (Gangro 1978, Russel and Liexle 190 and Rouf and Islam 1981). Grove *et al.* (1980, found that

nitrogen content of above ground drymatter yield was 1.18% and nitrogen content in the grain ranged from 1.45 to 2.27%. According to Hora and Mikhailis (1981), the grain contained 1.15 to 1.41% nitrogen with nitrogen fertilization upto 160 kg/h. The high content of nitrogen observed with higher levels of nitrogen may be due to the enhanced growth of vegetative (Table 2(a, and (b) and reproductive (Table 3(a) and (b, parts of plant which facilitated higher rate of absorption of this nutrient. The increased nitrogen content with enhanced rates of nitrogen application noticed in this study is inline with the findings of many workers, viz., Rajan and Sankaran (1974), Al-Fudha and Al-Younis (1978), Nayyar and Sawarkar (1980), Chao et al. (1982), Singh et al. (1982) and Talon et al. (1983). According to Khara and Tyagi (1972) maize crop varieties differ in their nutrient removal and therefore in their fertilizer requirements. Nemeth and Bolow et al. (1984) observed increase in the nitrogen concentration in plants in response to different nitrogen concentration. The application of nitrogen might have produced more roots which inturn might have facilitated more absorption of nutrients. The supply of phosphorus and potash might have also led to the proper uptake of nitrogen.

#### 5.5.2. Phosphorus content of grain and stover.

Table 5(a and (b, shows clearly the effect of different levels of nitrogen in increasing the phosphorus content in

plants. The higher levels of phosphorus content might probably be due to the favourable effect of balanced fertilization. The increase in plant phosphorus content with higher doses of nitrogen supply observed in this study is in line with the findings of Subramanian et al. (1982). The availability of nitrogen at knee high stage might have stimulated the vegetative growth which inturn led to better absorption of phosphorus.

#### 5.5.3. Potassium content of grain and stover.


The significant effect of different levels of nitrogen on the potassium content of grain and stover was very clearly revealed in the Tables 5(a, and (b). The higher levels of nitrogen gave significantly lower values for the potassium content of grain and higher values for potassium content of stover. Naturally the higher doses of N, P, K might have led to a better absorption of potassium by stover and hence registering lower potassium content in this treatment. Due to the better absorption of potassium by the stover, the content of it in grain might have decreased.

### 5.6. Uptake of major nutrients

#### 5.6.1. Nitrogen.

It is seen that the effect of nitrogen was significant was on the uptake of nitrogen by plant. There was progressive

FIG. 8. UPTAKE OF N, P AND K AS INFLUENCED BY LEVELS OF NITROGEN



increase in nitrogen uptake with increasing levels of nitrogen upto 14 kg N/ha. A reference to the Table 5(a and b) on the nitrogen content of grain and stover also revealed that the nitrogen content increased with higher levels of nitrogen. Data on dry matter production (Table 4 a and b) also recorded higher values with higher levels of nitrogen. Therefore it is natural that the uptake of nitrogen which was computed from these values also showed the higher values with higher levels of nitrogen. Trials conducted by Rajda and Ankanan (1974) revealed that uptake of nitrogen was higher with higher levels of nitrogen.

There was an appreciable increase in the uptake of nitrogen by maize crop with increasing levels of nitrogen. Application of the 14 kg N/ha resulted in the maximum uptake of 115.48 kg/ha. The effect of nitrogen application on the uptake of nitrogen has been reported by many workers. Sharma *et al.* (1975), Al-Rudha and Al-Younis (1978), Tripathi (1978), Gangoo (1973), Das and Ranjogh Singh (1979), Souf and Jolan (1983) Martin *et al.* (1984), and Bolow *et al.* (1984), reported that increasing levels of nitrogen resulted in higher per cent of plant nitrogen resulting in increased nitrogen uptake.

#### 5.6.2. herbage.

Increased application of nitrogen resulted in an increase

in phosphorus uptake by plants upto 14 kg N/ha. Phosphorus uptake at this level of nitrogen was significantly superior when compared to other lower levels. Virmani (1970) and Pathak and Fowal (1972) revealed that the uptake of phosphorus was higher with higher levels of nitrogen. Nitrogen was thus found to have a pronounced influence on the uptake and translocation of phosphorus. A reference to the data on dry matter production (Table 4 a and b) revealed that the higher values were obtained with higher levels of nitrogen. Therefore the higher uptake of phosphorus observed in the treatment may be due to the cumulative and complementary effect of this treatment.

#### 5.6.2. Potassium.

The data on the uptake of potassium revealed that 15. 14 kg N/ha produced highest uptake values which was on par with 17. kg N/ha. The Table 4(a and (b) and 5(a, and (b) on dry matter production and potassium content of plant revealed that the highest values were obtained with higher levels of nitrogen. Consequently the highest values of uptake of potassium in this treatment may be due to the additive effect of all these. Bajwa and Paul (1973) reported that the total removal of potassium increased appreciably with the application of nitrogen alone and also nitrogen in combination with phosphorus, which was associated with increased dry matter production. The effect of nitrogen and phosphorus was largely because of higher grain yield while that of potassium was due to greater availability of this

element to the plant. (Deol *et al.*, 1985). According to them, the rate of application of fertilizers influenced the potassium uptake and this too was mainly the contribution of the improvement in grain and total drymatter production.

## 5.7. Soil analysis

### 5.7.1. Total nitrogen content of the soil.

The results presented in Table 6(a) and (b), showed that total nitrogen content of soil after cropping was influenced by levels of nitrogen. The soil is rated as high with respect to nitrogen status. Compared to the initial status of soil nitrogen (Table 1, and increase was observed in the content of total nitrogen after cropping. The increase may be due to the mineralisation of the organic matter available in the soil, microbial action etc.... However the residual analysis of soil from higher level nitrogen treated plots showed significant increase in the content of total nitrogen when compared to lower level nitrogen treated plots. The results clearly showed a trend of depletion of total nitrogen with lower levels of nitrogen as compared to initial status of soil nitrogen. But for the highest level of nitrogen, there was no depletion of nutrient status of soil even after the experiment. This reveals the fact that the lower level are not adequate to maintain soil fertility status after meeting the crop demands.

Varieties did not differ in their effect on residual of soil nitrogen. However, the variety Janga-5 extracted the maximum content of nitrogen from the soil.

### 5.7.2. Available phosphorus content of the soil.

Results presented in table 6(a) and (b) showed that the available phosphorus content of the soil after harvest was influenced by levels of nitrogen, varieties and its interaction effect. The available phosphorus status of the soil was low (Table 1). The data showed that there was depletion of available phosphorus content of the soil with increasing levels of nitrogen. This shows that there was depletion of phosphorus after plant uptake with the lower levels of nitrogen. Thus the data clearly showed the need for application of high levels of nitrogen for the maintenance of nutrient status of soil. Among the different varieties Janga-5 extracted the maximum amount of phosphorus from the soil.

### 5.7.3. Exchangeable potash content of the soil.

The data on the available potassium content of soil after the experiment (Table 6 a and b) revealed that there was significant difference in the potassium content with levels of nitrogen. The results showed that the potassium content increased in the soil after experiment with higher rates of nitrogen application. It may be noted in that there was no significant difference between nitrogen levels on the uptake of potash by

the main crop (Table 5 (a) and (b)) thus indicating the possibility for the availability of higher quantity of potash in the soil in treatments in which higher levels of potash were applied.


### 5.8. Response of maize to nitrogen

The results of trend analysis presented in Appendix XI clearly revealed that the varieties Canga safed-2 ( $v_1$ ) Hi-starch ( $v_2$ ) and Canga-5 ( $v_5$ ) responded linearly towards the application of nitrogen and as such theoretically the yield maximising levels could not be worked out. A class of non linear models tried on these varieties also failed to indicate the anticipated optimum. Although the following models were tried to study the response pattern, none of them were found to fit the data satisfactorily.

1. Quadratic model
2. Inverse polynomial model
3. Logarithmic linear model
4. Square root polynomial model
5. Cubic polynomial model
6. Michaelis-Menten function

However, from the ANOVA of these varieties it could be seen that the doses 14, 16, and 17 kg. were statistically on par and are significantly superior to all of the lower doses. Thus practically no significant response could be gained by increasing

FIG 9 RESPONSE OF MAIZE VARIETIES TO NITROGEN



the level of nitrogen beyond  $7.1 \text{ kg/ha}$ . Thus  $14 \text{ kg N/ha}$  could be recommended for use tier in the case of these varieties.

The quadratic model was found to be the best fit for one variety Vijay ( $v_1$ ) and cubic polynomial model yielded promising results for another variety Agethi-76 ( $v_2$ ). Response function of grain yield and nitrogen levels in variety Vijay (Fig. 2) was explained by the quadratic function  $Y = 194.6203 + 24.5864 N - 0.791 N^2$ . The physical and economic optimum nitrogen rates for this variety were found to be  $155 \text{ kg}$  and  $144.44 \text{ kg/ha}$  respectively. The relationship between the grain yield and levels of nitrogen in a variety Agethi-76 could be explained by cubic polynomial function.  $Y = 214.75 - 35.926 N + 0.733 N^2 - 0.0024 N^3$ . The physical and economic optimum nitrogen rates for this variety were found to be  $151.5 \text{ kg}$  and  $147.57 \text{ kg/ha}$  respectively. The coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ) of these models were as high as 90% which exhibited the high amount of predictability of the models in describing the response obtained.

Chavan (1978) observed that the economic optimum dose of nitrogen for maize was in between  $145$  and  $164 \text{ kg/ha}$ . But recent investigations conducted by Hegde *et al.* (1984) revealed that the most economic nitrogen rate for grain production was  $140 \text{ kg N/ha}$ .

### 5.9. Economics of maize production.

The relative economic of nitrogen fertilization in maize cultivation in rice fallows during summer in terms of grain and stover production worked out on the basis of expenditure and returns are presented in Table-7.

The results showed significant increase in net return when the level of nitrogen was enhanced from 50 to 14% kg N/ha. The net return increased from Rs. 1,524/- to Rs. 5,627/-, when the nitrogen dose was increased from 5% kg N/ha to 14% kg N/ha. This works out to a net return of Rs. 45.48 per kg of nitrogen. In this connection it may also be noted that the economic optimum levels of nitrogen for Vijay and Agethi-76 were also worked out to be around 14% kg/ha, that is 144.64 kg/ha for Vijay and 147.57 kg/ha for Agethi/76.

The economic analysis revealed that the varieties did not differ significantly in this respect although the net return ranged from Rs. 2,706/- to Rs. 6,5.9/-. This is an agreement with the earlier findings that there was no significant difference between varieties in the case of grain and stover yield.

### 5.10. Correlation studies

The results presented in Table 9 clearly revealed that the grain yield of the crop was positively and significantly

correlated with the various yield components, viz., number of cobs per plant, number of grains per cob, length of cob, girth of cob, weight of cob, weight of thousand grains, weight of stover. Similar result was obtained by Fando et al. (1970). Studies conducted by Singh (1970) revealed that grain yields showed the highest positive correlation with ear girth followed by ear length. Singh and Verma (1977) also reported that the grain yield as per plant was positively and significantly correlated with number of cobs per plant, girth of cobs, number of rows per ear and 200 grain weight. According to Goerts et al. (1978) there was a highly positive correlation between grain yield and number of ears per plant.

It is also seen from the Table 7 that the uptake of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium by the crops at harvest was also positively and significantly correlated with grain yields as well as protein content of grain. This results in conformity with the findings of Goerts et al. (1978).

## **SUMMARY**

## 6. SUMMARY

An experiment was conducted at the Instructional farm, College of Agriculture, Vellore during summer season 1965-66 to find out the response of five maize varieties viz. Ganga safed-2, Arothi-74, Hi-starch, Vijay and Ganga-5 to graded levels of nitrogen in rice fallow. The different levels of nitrogen tried were 50, 80, 110, 140 and 170 kg/ha. The experiment was laid out in split-plot design with four replications and 25 treatment combinations. The results of the study are summarised below.

1. The effect of nitrogen on plant height was significant at all stages of growth. The maximum height was recorded by 170 kg N/ha at all stages of growth except at harvest wherein it was on par with its immediate lower level of 140 kg N/ha. Varieties recorded significant influence in plant height at harvest stage only. The variety Ganga-5 recorded the maximum height and Vijay recorded the minimum height.
2. Number of leaves increased significantly with increasing levels of nitrogen upto 140 kg/ha. Varieties had no significant influence on the number of leaves at any stage.

3. Leaf Area Index was significantly influenced by the different levels of nitrogen upto 170 kg/ha which was on par with 145 kg N/ha. Among the varieties, Ganga-5 recorded the maximum leaf area index.
4. An increase in the level of nitrogen from 50 to 170 kg/ha significantly reduced the number of days to silking. Minimum number of days to silking was recorded by the variety Agathi-76.
5. A linear increase in leaf-stem ratio was recorded by nitrogen application upto 170 kg/ha which was on par with its lower levels of 145 kg N/ha. Among the varieties, Ganga-5 recorded the highest value and lowest value was recorded by Hi-starch.
6. The Drymatter production increased with increase in levels of nitrogen upto 170 kg/ha. Even though the varietal effect was non-significant, Ganga-5 produced the maximum quantity of drymatter.
7. The highest number of cobs was recorded by 170 kg N/ha which was on par with its immediate lower level of 145 kg N/ha. Ganga-5 recorded the highest number of cobs per plant.
8. The maximum number of grains per cob was produced by the

nitrogen fertilization at the rate of 14 kg/ha. Among the varieties, Ganga-5 recorded the maximum number of grains per cob.

9. Length of cob was influenced significantly by levels of nitrogen upto 14 kg N/ha. Among the varieties, Hi-starch recorded maximum length of cob followed by Ganga-5.
10. Nitrogen rates of 14 kg/ha and 17 kg/ha were on par in respect of girth of cobs. Variety Ganga-5 recorded the maximum girth, which was found to be superior to all the other varieties.
11. Incremental doses of nitrogen produced significant and linear increase in the weight of cobs upto 14 kg N/ha. Among the varieties, Ganga-5 recorded maximum weight of cobs.
12. Increasing levels of nitrogen increased the thousand grain weight upto 14 kg N/ha. Ganga-5 recorded significantly higher thousand grain weight.
13. The nitrogen levels of 14 kg/ha and 17 kg/ha were on par in respect of grain yield. The variety Ganga-5 produced the maximum grain yield of 255 kg/ha. The lowest yield of 147 kg/ha was recorded by Vijay.
14. Maximum stover yield was produced by the application of nitrogen at 14 kg/ha. Ganga-5 produced the highest stover

yield followed by Vijay and the lowest yield was recorded by Ganga Safed-8.

15. The nitrogen levels of 14 kg and 37 kg/ha were on par in respect of harvest index. Among the different varieties the highest value was recorded by Ganga Safed 2 which was on par with Ganga-5 and Hi-starch.
16. High t protein content of grain was recorded by nitrogen at 14 kg N/ha. The variety Ganga-5 produced the maximum protein content of grains.
17. Increased application of nitrogen resulted in an increase in uptake of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium. Varieties did not show any significant influence on the uptake of nutrients.
18. The total nitrogen content of soil after the experiment significantly influenced by the levels of nitrogen. However, varieties did not influence this character significantly.
19. The available phosphorus content of soil after the experiment varied significantly with the levels of nitrogen. Agathi-76 recorded higher content of available phosphorus in the soil followed by Ganga-5 although on par.
20. Exchangeable potassium content of soil after the experiment differed significantly with the levels of nitrogen.

However, varieties did not influence the exchangeable potash content of the soil.

21. The economic optimum levels of nitrogen for the varieties Vijay and Agathi-76 were worked out to be 144.44 kg and 147.57 kg/ha respectively. But the varieties Ganga Jafar-2, Hi-starch and Ganga-5 responded linearly towards the application of nitrogen.
22. The nitrogen levels markedly influenced the production of rice and the increase in net profit was linear upto 24 kg N/ha after which there was a decrease in profit although not significant.
23. Grain yield of the crop was positively and significantly correlated with number of cobs/plant, number of grains/cob, length and girth of cob, weight of cobs, weight of thousand grains, weight of stover. The uptake of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium by the crops at harvest was also significantly correlated with grain yield.

#### Future line of work

In the present report it was observed that at least three rice varieties responded linearly to the levels of nitrogen. Therefore, trials may be laid out with higher

level of nitrogen to find out economic optimum levels for different varieties. The different levels of phosphorus and potash also may be tried to find out the role of these nutrients on the growth and yield of maize under rice fallow situation.

## **REFERENCES**

REFERENCES

- Afolayo, P.O., Oigbo, D.N., and Oluwa, P.O.O. (1984). Response of maize plants and ear-shoot characters to growth factors in southern Nigeria. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B 274: 265-277.
- Agrawal, R.L., Batra, S.L., Jagannath and Khanna, I.K. (1974). Effect of nitrogen level and depth of mixing of wheat residue on crop yield and soil nitrogen. J. Indian agric. Sci. 82(1) : 77-79.
- Ahluwat, R.P.S., Anon, H.C., Sharma, K.C. (1975). Dry matter accumulation in spring hybrid maize as affected by NPK fertilization. Indian J. Agron. 21 (3) : 274-277
- \*Albogov, R.B. (1962). Effect of the increasing nitrogen rate on growth and physiological activity of maize root system. Agrochimica 8 : 3-12.
- \*Al-Rudha, H.S. and Al-Yousfi, A.H. (1978). Effect of row spacing and nitrogen level on yield, yield components and quality of maize (on Sava L.). Iradi J. Agric. Sci. 12 : 235-252.
- Aron, H.H., Haran, K.S., and Sedgal, .C. (1973). A note on the relative efficiency of nitrogenous fertilizers in hybrid maize (Ganga Pariko-1) Indian J. Agron. 18 (2) : 225-227
- \*Ather, H. (1979). Influence of nitrogen fertilizer and plant density on some physiological aspects and grain yield of maize (See Sava L. Discert. Abstr. International 4 (2) : 524.
- Bagel, .S. and Singh, I.K. (1974). Effect of soil and foliar application of nitrogen on maize. J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ. 9 (1) : 38-39.

- Bajwa, M.S. and Paul, J. (1978) Effect of continuous application of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and zinc on yield and nutrient uptake of irrigated wheat and maize on available nutrients at a tropical arid brown soil. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci. 26 (2) : 169
- Balacubramanian, V. and Singh, L. (1982) Efficiency of nitrogen fertilizer use under rainfed maize and irrigated wheat at Katsina, Northern Nigeria. Fert. Res. 3 (4) : 315-324.
- \*Baltasar, R.B. (1961) Effects of nitrogen, population and zinc levels on yield of IPB. Var.1. Corn. Maize Monitor, 2 (4) : 19.
- Bayan, S.L. and Trivedi, C.P. (1971). Response of hybrid maize to nitrogen under different intra row spacing. Indian J. Agron. 16 (1) : 133-134.
- \*Barbor, S.A. (1978) Soil Plant interactions in the hor-horus nutrition of plants. In F.B. Khasakneh and G. Lample (ed). Role of Phosphorus in Agriculture. 591-616.
- \*Barnard, A.J. and Hornby, D. (1982) The effects of dazomet and nitrogen fertilizer on successive crops of maize. (See page 1. grown for either grain or forage. J. Agric. Sci. U.K. 98 (1) : 7-15.
- Barthakur, B.C., Nath, S., Mukayastha. (1975). Effect of dates of sowing, rates of nitrogen, planting densities on grainyield of hybrid maize, Ganga 101 Indian J. Agron. 22 (3) : 257-259
- Barua, J.P., Karmakar, R.L., Chakravarty, D.N. (1984). Response of Kharif maize to different doses of NPK fertilizers in Dighu area of Karbi Anylong. Fert. News. 26(11), 20.

- Beaton, F.E., Lambert, R.F. and Hagaman, R.H. (1984). Foliar application of nutrients on maize. II. Physiological responses. Acron. J. 26 (5) : 777-784.
- Bhag Singh (1976). Note on the identification of superior Indian maize cultivars. Indian J. Agric. Sci. 46 (8) : 369-371.
- Bharchas, L.P., Singh, G., and Babu, K.R., and Dains, K.S. (1977). Response of hybrid maize to levels of nitrogen and time of its application under rainfed condition. Plant Nutr. 22 (9) : 21-23.
- Brar, J.S. and Bhajan Singh, K. (1984). Effect of long term application of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and zinc on crop and soil in a maize-wheat rotation. J. Res. Punjab Agric. Univ. 21 (3) : 149-160.
- Brar, H. and Khokha, A.S. (1977). Effect of the rate and time of applying nitrogen to maize. J. Res. Punjab Agric. Univ. 14 (1) : 1-4.
- \*Janciao, H.R. and Mabbayad, B.B. (1979). Minimum tillage and nitrogen application in corn production. Philippine J. Crop Sci. 4 (1) : 21-23.
- Chan, S.T. and Mackenjie, A.P. (1972). Effect of shading and nitrogen on growth of corn (maize) under field condition. Plant and Soil 29 (1) : 59-71.
- Chao, C.C., Fuo, J.F. and Huang, T.C. (1982). Effect of different nitrogen application rate and soil moisture level in yield and quality of soybeans and maize crops. J. Agric. and Forest 21 (2) : 1-13.
- Choudhary, P.S., Madgal, S.G. and Sharma, H.C. (1973). Yield and quality of maize germplasm-nitrogen effect in Sarai. Plant Soil 30 (4) : 55-56.
- \*Ciobanu, G. (1981). Influence of nitrogen fertilizers on the grain yield of some maize hybrids. Analele Inst. de Cercetari Centru cercarii de plante tehnice, Funditica. 27 : 143-151.

- Cookman, G.G. and Cox, G.L. (1965) Experimental designs  
John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York.
- Corio, R. and Lavia, F. (1993). Importance of N, P, and K  
fertilizer application to maize to yield and  
chemical composition. Agronomy agricultura  
Agro. 44 (15) : 59-73.
- Dahotoude, B.O. and Sabote, V.T. (1977) Growth and yield of  
maize as influenced by graded levels of nitrogen  
and phosphate through nitro-phosphate; ammonium  
sulphate and su orthophosphate combined. J. Agriculture  
Agri. Ind. 2 (1) : 10-12.
- Das, B. and Ranjodh Singh. (1979). Uptake of nutrients  
(N, P and K) by rainfed maize as affected by nitrogen  
application and soil type. J. Indian Soc. Soil  
Sci. 27 (2) : 142-145.
- ⊗
- Dev, G., Dhillon, M.S. and Sidhu, J.C. (1981) Plant analysis  
for nitrogen in maturing maize (Zea mays L.) and  
pearl millet (Pennisetum typhoides, Vahl.) J.  
Indian Soc. Soil Sci. 29 (3) : 412-414.
- Dhargalkar, H.L., Shaver, B.C. and Singh, H.C. (1977) A note  
on relative efficacy of selected N and P carriers  
on the alkaline calcareous soils of Rajasthan.  
Indian J. Agric. 13 (2) : 229-25..
- Dzhinov, I.C. and Ivanenko, V.I. (1984) The dependence of  
yield and quality of irrigated high-lysine maize on  
forms of nitrogen fertilizers. Selkhozovaié  
Vissay Biologiya 15 (1) : 12-122.
- Ekka, S., Chahani, J.N. and Srivastava, V.C. (1977) Effect  
of nitrogen level, inter, and inter row spacing on  
the yield of cow pease maize (Vijay Indian J.  
Agri. 22 (1) : 196.
- ⊗ Deol, Y S, Brar, S P S and Bhajansingh (1985) Release of  
soil potassium and its relationship with potassium  
uptake in Maize-Wheat Cropping Sequena J Potassium Res  
1 (2) 97-108

- \*El-Bejjary, M.S., El-Is, M.T.B. and Hagi, H. (1980). Studies on nitrogen nutrition of corn plant in calcareous soils. 3 Effect of different nitrogen forms and rates on nitrogen uptake. Agric. Res. Review 50 (4) : 185-195.
- \*El-Hattab, M.S., Hussein, M.A., El-Hattab, A.H., Raouf, M.S. and El-Fekry, A.A. (1982). Growth analysis of maize plant in relation to grain yield as affected by nitrogen levels. Wirtschafts Pflanzl. für Arab. u. Islam. Land. 100 (2) : 46-47.
- \*El-Hattab, A.H. and Ghouth, E.M.C. (1984). Response of corn (Zea mays L.) to nitrogen and zinc fertilization at soil application. Boitance der Trop. Landwirtschaft und veterinarmedizin 2 (3) : 255-261.
- \*Ellis, J.C., Garcia, A. and Gornik, J.A. (1979). Inter relationships and plasticity of growth characters in Zea mays L. populations as influenced by density and nitrogen. Soecol. Plantarum 10 (2) : 159-163.
- El-Hafey, H., El-Hattab, H., and Hageg (1975). Nitrogen nutrition of maize plant and associated roots as affected by nitrogen levels. Indian J. Agric. Sci. 45(7) : 316-320.
- \*El-Sharawy, M.A., Igder, L., Orum, F.A. and Youcef, M.H. (1976). Investigation on maize in the Libyan Arab Republic. 1. Effect of plant density, and N levels on growth and yield of maize (Zea mays L.). Libyan J. Agric. 51-53
- \*Farch, M.A., Hatata, M.A. and Barakat, M.A. (1984). Effect of nitrogen, zinc and iron application on corn with alluvial soil "Acrothiazin" 20 (1) : 42-55.

- \*Gangro, H. (1977) Effect of nitrogen and plant population on LAI and number of leaves in the maize hybrids. Poliozrivvedna naryvovaa notra. 41 (51, : 57-69.
- \*Gangro, H. (1977) Effect of nitrogen and plant population on stem height in the maize hybrids. 41 (51) : 71-83.
- \*Gangro, H. (1978) Effect of nitrogen fertilization and plant density on the stem height of hybrid Bisk 5A, Bisk 21-22 and Fan 28. Poliozrivvedna naryvovaa notra. 44 (54, : 247-261
- \*Gangro, H. (1978) Effect of increased nitrogen rates and plant density on the grain protein content of maize hybrids Bisk 5A, Bisk 21-22 and Fan 28 and their lines. Poliozrivvedna naryvovaa notra. 44 (54, : 399-417.
- \*Gangro, H. (1978) Effects of increased nitrogen rate and plant density on the total nitrogen content of the leaves of maize hybrids Bisk 5A, Bisk 21-22 and Fan 28 and their lines. Poliozrivvedna naryvovaa notra. 44 (54, : 379-396.
- Gangwar, B. and Kalra, G.. (1981. Influence of maize legume associations and Nitrogen level on growth and drymatter accumulation of rainfed maize. Indran Agron. J. 63 (7, : 45-457.
- Gangwar, B. and Kalra, C.. (1983, Response of pure and mixed crop of maize to nitrogen under rainfed conditions. Indian J. Agron. 28 (1, : 82-83).
- \*Gavadi, A.A., Al-Tabbah, A. and Sahgoub, G.A. (198 ) Yield and sink capacity response of maize plants to drought and nitrogen fertilization. Arabian J. Agron. 5 (1 : 1-13.
- \*Gubanets, A.E.A., Olotov, V.I., Chernyavskaya, J.A. and Ionobaronko, A.K. (1985, Effect of fertilizer on productivity and quality of different maize hybrids. Agrokhimiya 6 : 67-68.

- Ghaly, ., Iskander, A., Azmy, S. and Masrafi, A. (1972). Response of corn plant to nitrogen and zinc application on calcareous soils. Arabic Agr. Res. Review. 5 (5) : 167-172.
- Gill, A.S., Pansey, R.K., Laurya, R.A., Ukhterlingh, A. and Abichaniani, C.T. (1972) Response of NPK on the fodder yield of hybrid maize. Indian J. Agric. Sci. 6 (2) : 159-162.
- Gjanamoorthy, T. and Izuthayaraj, L.R. (1985). Studies on the influence of carbon, spacing and nitrogen on maize sorghum and finger millet. Indian J. Agric. Res. 20 (3) : 119-125.
- \*Goerts, P.G., Pollmer, W.G., Hillon, B. . and Klein, E. (1978). Correlation studies on some grain quality and agronomic characters in the collection of maize from Andean region. Crop Improvement 5 (1) : 77-88.
- \*Gonzales, J.R., Blanco, F. and Gonzales, H.C. (1977) Effects of nitrogen phosphorus and potassium on maize yields in Portuguese Pato. Agronomia Tropical. 27 (1) : 35-43.
- Guloria, . and Singh, G.N. (1980) Seed and fertility management in rainfed maize. Exp. Agric. 16 (2) : 195-199.
- Gupta, N.L., Bairathi, T.C. and Gosh, S.P. (1972) Effects of sources of nitrogen and phosphorus on yield and quality of hybrid maize, Ganga-. Port. Res. 17 (3) : 69-72.
- Halonani, H.L., Hegde, D.. and Kudacholanavar, B.T. (1980). Response of some promising maize (*Zea mays* L.) genotype to nitrogen fertilization under rainfed conditions of transition tract. Indo J. Agric. Sci. 14 (2) : 206-210.

- Hanlon, J.R., Lovardi, A., Acis, A.A. and Martin, H.A.A. (1979). Sources of nitrogen for maize. Agric. Res. Review. 27 (4) : 103-112.
- Hati, J. and Farida, J.H. (1976) Varietal response of maize (See page 5.) to levels of fertilization. Indian J. Agron. 15 (4) : 593-594.
- \*Hora, C. and Mihaila, V. (1981) Utilization of the nutritive elements by maize or related to the water applied. Analele Inst. Cercetari pentru Cercetare si Plante Technique. Fundulea 46 : 185-192.
- \*Hora, C., Corbean, I., Stan, I., Iuriceanu, A., Burlacu, G (1978) The effects of long term nitrogen and phosphorus fertilization on yields and N-P relationship in maize grain. Analele Inst. Cercetari pentru Cercetare si Plante Technique. Fundulea 43 : 197-204.
- \*Hora, C., Iuriceanu, A., Toporeu, I., Stan, I., Vinu, I. (1982) Influence of fertilization on maize quality Analele Institutului de cercetari pentru cercetare si plante tehnice. Fundulea. 5 : 255-263.
- Hunt, R. (1978) Plant growth analysis. Institute of Biology tulser in Biology No. 99
- Hunter, A.C. and Younger, J.. (1955). The influence of variation in fertility levels upon the yield and protein content of field corn in eastern region. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. 196. 19 : 214-218.
- \*Hug, G.A.I. (1983) Fertilizer effects on yield, nitrogen content and amino acid composition of maize grain. Acronomic. 3 (1) : 965-969.
- \*Husein, F.A. and Hannu, L.H.F. (1978) The effect of folia spray with urea and ammonia nitrate on growth, yield, yield components and some chemical characters of maize (See page 5.) Acta Agronomica-Agriculturae Scientiarum Hungaricae. 27 (4) : 455-463.

- \*Luria, J., Khattak, J.M., Raja, M.S. and Khan, J. (1976), Effect of NPK fertilizers applied alone and in different combinations on the  $^{15}N_2$  uptake by maize at different stages of growth. Agric. Pakistan 22 (1) : 1-7.
- \*Ignatova, A. (1978), Effect of some agro-technical factors on formation of maize leaf area. Rastenievski Haski : 15 (7) : 12-24.
- \*Inchin, N.A. (1965) The fertilisation of soil and maize productivity Agrokhimiya No. 6 : 22-28.
- \*Kafan, J. (1985) Nitrogen uptake of maize after fertilizer application in autumn and spring. Naturwissenschaften. Revue d'agologie et de systematique. 112 (2) : 185-189.
- Jackson, H.L. (1967) Soil Chemical Analysis (Frontier Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, 2nd Ed. 1-498).
- Jain, G.L., Shah, V.H., Duggarwal, H.C., Nathur, L.K. and Singh, A. (1972), Performance of highyielding varieties of maize and their response to nitrogen application in south East region of Rajasthan. Indian J. Agron. 17 (3) : 123-127.
- Jain, G.L. (1981), Improved fertilizer practices in maize. Indian Eng. J. (8) : 9-11.
- Jain, G. and Goel, B.B.T.S. (1980), Response of some new maize genotypes to nitrogen. Indian J. Agron. 25 (4) : 541-544.
- Joginder Singh (1974), External maize cultivation to Kabi for higher yields. Indian Eng. 24 (7) : 2, 26, 31.
- Kapur, N.L. and Rana, D.P. (1981), Sequence of hybrid maize to the application of fertilizers in cultivation field. Indian J. Agron. 23 (3) : 299-311.
- \*Kergbo, G.C. (1978), Foliar fertilization of corn during the grain filling period. Unscr. Abstr. International 2 (2) : 482.

- Kargbo, C.S. (1985) Effect of foliar application of nitrogen, phosphorus potassium and sulphur on grain yield and yield components of corn (Zea mays L., Madras Agric. J. 72 (4): 206-212.
- Karin, M., Bakeh, A. and Shah, P. (1983) Effect of plant population, nitrogen application and irrigation on yield components of synthetic - 66 maize. J. Agric. Res. Pakistan 21 (2) : 57-69.
- Kayode, G.O. and Agboola, A.A. (1981) Effect of different nitrogen levels, plant population and soil nutrient status on yield and yield components of maize (Zea mays L., in different ecological zone of Nigeria. Soil. Res. 2 (3) : 177-191.
- Khan, A.A. and Singh, V. (1976). Note on the performance of maize varieties at different times of sowing. India J. Agric. Res. 10 (4) : 275-276.
- \* Khan, M.H., Raja, M.I. and Tahir, G.R. (1980). Interaction studies on nitrogen phosphorus and zinc application to corn under field condition. Pakistan J. Agric. Res. 1 (2) : 119-124.
- Kharkar, R.T. (1980). Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus application on growth parameters and yield of hybrid maize Ganga-101 under rainfed condition. J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ. 5 (3) : 266-267.
- Khara, H.C. and Tyagi, O.P. (1972). NPK nutrient removal by maize germplasm. Res. news 17 (3) : 65-66.
- Kitur, B.K., Smith, M.S., Blevins, R.L. and Frye, J. (1984). Fate of  $^{15}N$  - depleted ammonium nitrate applied to no-tillage and conventional tillage corn. Agron. J. 76 (2) : 244-242.
- \*Knapp, W.R. and Reid, W.S. (1981). Interaction of hybrid maturity class, planting date, plant population and nitrogen fertilisation on corn performance in New York Search Agriculture No. 21 : 26

- \*Karadem, Y.S., Sahaleby, A.A., El-Hariri, A.A.A. and Mandour, S.A. (1979). Response of different cultivars of maize (*Zea mays* L.) to different rates of nitrogen. Alonandria J. Agric. Res. 27 (2) : 331-335.
- Krishnamurthy, K. (1978). Possibilities of growing rabi maize. Indian J. Agron. 23 (4) : 337-340.
- Krishnamurthy, K. and Martin, E. Weeks (1972). Response of hybrid maize to nitrogen. Indian J. Agron. 17 (4) : 255-258.
- Krishnamurthy, K., Boanegouda, A., Jagannath, N.K., Venugopal, N., Prasad, T.V.R., Raghunatha, C. and Rajanckhara, S.C. (1974). Relative production of yield in hybrid, composite and local maizes as influenced by nitrogen and population levels (2) Temporal changes in growth components. Mysore J. Agric. Sci. 8 (4) : 500-508.
- Krishnamurthy, K., Boanegouda, A., Venugopal, N., Jagannath, N.K. and Prasad, T.V.R. (1977). Analysis of yield in composite maizes. Current Res. 6 (9) : 161.
- Krishnaveni, K., Banerjany, K.R. (1985). Influence of N, P & K in the quality of C.C. H. hybrid maize seeds. Madras Agric. J. 72 (10) : 553-558.
- Kumar, D., Rana, D.P. and Kapur, M.I. (1983). Economizing fertilizer nitrogen through farmyard manure in maize (*Zea mays* L.) in relation to drymatter production and nitrogen utilisation. J. Res. PAFU. 26 (5) : 293-311.
- Kuznetsov, N.I., Gopalaswamy, A., Rangaswamy, K., Murugabhapathy, P. (1975). Response of hybrid maize (*Zea mays* L.) to nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium fertilization. Madras Agric. J. 62 (5) : 299-304.
- \*Kuznetsov, N.I. (1979). Dependence of maize yields on increases in biomass production and drymatter accumulation in relation to fertilizer application. In Plodorodie i melioratsiya poch vv Kirgizii France, Vostok SSSR From Referativnyi zhurnal 1980. 80-94.

- Labshina, A.N. and Koslov, I.V. (1967). Effect of nutrient conditions and soil moisture content on metabolism on the yield of maize. Soils and Fert. Abstr. 31 : 484.
- \*Lemcoff, J.H. (1983). Nitrogen influence on grain yield components in maize. Dissert. Abstr. International 43 (10) : 3121.
- \*Lixandri, G., Ciurea, G. and Tarnauceanu, E. (1979). The effect of some phosphate types utilized as fertilizers in maize grown on a leached chernozem. Agronomic 23 : 49-54.
- Luber, M.S., Smith, G.S. and Gehoke, C.W. (1954). Crude protein of corn grain and stover as influenced by different hybrid, plant population and nitrogen levels. Acron. J. 46 : 257-261.
- Magdoff, F.R. and Amadon, J.F. (1980). Yield trial and soil chemical changes resulting from nitrogen and manure application to continuous corn. Acron. J. 72 (1) : 161-164.
- Mahapatra, I.C. and Jha, K.P. (1977). Recent advances in maize cultivation. Indian Fmg. 25 : 9-18.
- Mahesh Pal, and Panwar, K.S. (1974). Note on the relative performance of hybrid and local maize varieties and varying levels of nitrogen. Indian J. Agric. Res. 8 (1) : 67-68.
- Malik, B.S. (1977). Studies on the effect of levels of irrigation and nitrogen on maize. Indian J. Acron. 18 (2) : 137-140.
- Mandloi, K.K., Towari, K.P., Kushwaha, P.S. and Yadav, S.C. (1972). Influence of nitrogen rates on the yield of composites of maize (*Zea mays* L.) Indian J. Agric. Sci. 42 (3) : 236-241.

- \*Marinkovic, B. (1982). Effect of stand density and nitrogen fertilizer on seed yield and quality of maize hybrid N S S C. 418 P and N S S C. 70 at different stages of maturity. Arhiva Poljoprivredna Nauke. 42 (150) : 187-207.
- \*Martin, P.J.L. (1978). A study of maize nutrition by means of systematic variants. Anales de Edafologia Agronomicas. 36 (8) : 41-57.
- \*Martin, D.A., Watts, S.C. and McIssaac, G.F. (1984). Evaluating the feasibility and consequence of irrigation and fertilization production with mathematical models. In recent investigations in the zone of aridation, Vol. 2. Munich German Federal Republic; Technical University of Munich 763-712.
- \*Mato, S. and Globaru, G. (1981). Influence of nitrogen fertilizer on the yield of some maize hybrids grown in the central zone of the Western plain. Analele Insti. Geo. Geobotanica pentru cercetare si plante Tehnice, Fundulea 48 : 179-184.
- \*Mohan, G.P., Bishnoi, S.M., Soodhi, J.S. and Sethon, G.S. (1975). Effect of N P K, method of nitrogen application and moisture conservation practices on maize yield under rainfed condition. Indian J. Agron. 21 (4) : 430-433.
- \*Moonakshi, K., Fasalullah, Khan, A.K. and Appadurai, N. (1975). Effect of population densities and fertilizers on the yield of hybrid and composite maize. Madras Agric. J. 62 (5) : 259-264.
- \*Mohan, M.L.K. and Kothari, R.L. (1975). Performance of maize hybrids in southern Rajasthan Indian J. Agron. 20 (1) : 37-53.
- \*Reidinger, J.J., Bandel, V.A., Stanford, G. and Legy, J.G. (1985). Nitrogen utilization of corn under minimal tillage. I. Four year results using labelled nitrogen fertilizer on an Atlantic coastal plain soil. Agron. J. 77 (4) : 602-611.

- Neray George and Mohammedkhanja, U. (1963). Fodder production potential of different maize - legume mixtures on the quality of forage under graded levels of nutrition. Agric. Res. J. Kerala 22 (1) : 83-86.
- \*Neyor, R.C. (1973). Yield and chemical composition of corn and nitrate movement in the soil as influenced by time of application and source of nitrogen. Aspett. Agric. It. B. 31 (4) : 1341.
- \*Njahlovic, B. (1932). The effect of fertilizer and plant density on chemical composition of grain of hybrid maize for industrial processing. Agrochimica (7/8) : 235-244.
- \*Nichail, S.C.<sup>X</sup>, Chalaby, Y.Y. (1979). Effect of plant density and nitrogen on maize drymatter production. Res. Bull. Fac. Agric. Ain Shams University 1979 : 7.
- \*Nichail, S.M. and Chalaby, Y.Y. (1979). Total nitrogen uptake and its distribution between maize organs. Annals Agric. Sci. Jorhator 11 : 55-57.
- \*Nizamuddin, M.I., Toor, M.A. and Alio, A.N. (1978). The influence of the level of nitrogen fertilizer and spacing on maize in sorghia. Trki e Kocovsko 2 (2) : 109-115.
- \*Nuthukrishnan, T. and Subramanian, C. (1981). Weed control in maize under graded N levels. Legas Agric. J. 67 (12) : 765-769.
- \*Nuthukrishnan, T. and Subramanian, C. (1981). Path coefficient study in maize. Indrag. Agric. J. 67 (12) : 813-815.
- \*Nagy, A. (1962). Relationship between plant density, leaf area index and yield in various maize hybrids. Növénytermelési Kutatóintézet Közleményei 2 : 23-27.

- Haidu, L.V. and Reddy, M.R. (1975). Response of hybrid maize to different levels of nitrogen under varied soil moisture condition. Indian J. Agron. 21 (3) : 293-294.
- \*Nair, K.P.T. and Bains, L.S. (1968). Relative efficiency of soil and foliar fertilization of hybrid maize with certain macro and micro nutrients as revealed by grain output, quality, and nutrient uptake. Indo. Rikshak Leadmot. Gent. 33 (2) : 247-256.
- Nair, K.P.L., Menon, A.G.G. and George, C.H. (1966). Studies on hybrid maize (ca mov. L.) I. Effect of spacing and fertility levels on yield of Deccan hybrid maize. Agric. Res. J. 4 (1) : 24-31.
- Hayyar, P.V. and Sawarkar, N.J. (1981). Trace studies on the uptake and utilization of fertilizer. Phosphorus by maize (Zea mays L.) as influenced by nitrogen application. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci. 28 (4) : 537-539
- \*Negrila, M.T., Stan, J. and Negrila, E. (1984). Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizers on maize yield and quality on the cambic chromosol at Dragunesti-Vlasca. Probleme de Agrofitotehnică Terroetica și Aplicații. 6 (3) : 225-228.
- \*Nemeth, S. (1984). Efficiency of fertilization for maize hybrids grown under irrigated conditions. Hungarian Agric. Review. 1985 : 558.
- \*Okajima, H., Tanijama, I., Kawakami, R. and Fujita, I. (1982). The nitrogen balance in soils growing corn. J. Faculty of Agri. Hokkaido Univ. 51 (3) : 323-341.
- \*Overton, H.R. and Long, O.H. (1969). Response of corn and cotton to fertilizer on Loring and Calhoun soils, Conn. Ex. Home Sci. Proc. Rept. 71 : 24-28.
- \*Palacios, V.O. (1979). Effect of population, fertilizer application and row spacing in 7 dwarf maize cultivars the culiacan Valley. Thesis, Universidad Autonoma Chetumal, Lech Co. 27/28 : 79.

- Kalival, A.V. and Kalival, C.L. (1971). Effect of fertilizers and manure on the growth and chemical composition of maize irrigated with different quality water. Indian J. Agric. Sci. 3 : 316-321.
- \* Palmer, A.F.C. (1965). Nitrogen and phosphorus responses and yield trends for continuous maize grown under conservation tillage in the low land tropics. Nitrogen management in farming systems in humid and subhumid tropics. [Edited by Kang, B.T. Haide, J. Vander] Haren, Netherlands Inst for soil fertility 235-.
- Pandey, R.C., Rajput, V. and Trivedi, R.C. (1971). Studies on the yield and yield components in different hybrids, composites and local variety of maize (Gen Nova L., Uttara J. Agric. Sci. 5 (3) : 131-136.
- Patel, H.R., Joshi, R. and Patel, H.R. (1985). Response of hybrid maize (Ganga Safed-2) to various levels of irrigation and potash. Madras Agric. J. 72 (12) : 717-719.
- Patel, H.R., Joshi, R. and Patel, H.R. (1985). Response of maize (Gen Nova L. to various levels of irrigation and nitrogen during summer on heavy black soils. Indian J. Agron. 2 (3) : 331-338.
- Pothak, A.N., Pothak, V.N. and Tiwari, K.N. (1971). Effect of sowing dates, nitrogen fertilization and varieties on the yield and uptake of nutrient by maize. Indian J. Agron. 16 (2) : 157-161.
- Pothak, A.N. and Tiwari, K.N. (1972). Chemical composition and nutrient uptake of maize in relation to nitrogen and sowing date. Indian J. Agric. Res. 6 (2) : 147-15.
- \* Prasad, R., Naidu, M.R., Laird, R.J., Almeida, J. and Kruh, C. (1981). Response of maize (Gen XXX) to production of grain, stover and ammoniac and nitrogen uptake at different water, sources and dates of nitrogen application. Agrociencia 10 (2) : 43-50.
- Redekar, S. (1984). Effects of nitrogen fertilizer rates on seed factor of quality of silage maize in the maize production region. Vedara para Vachancho Utrava Kulkaric, Simava. 9 : 17-23.

- Swoll, R.D. and Webb, J.R. (1972). Effects of high rates of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium fertilizers on corn (*Zea mays* L.), grain yield. Agron. J. 64 (5) : 650-656.
- Lower, J.P., Alessi, J., Reichman, G.A. and Cranco, L.E. (1972). Effect of nitrogen source on corn and bromegrass production, soil N and inorganic soil nitrogen. Agron. J. 64 (3) : 241-244.
- Marani, R. (1978). Management practices for improving maize yield. In Technology for increasing food production (Holman, J.G. Editor, Rome, Italy, FAO, 554-568.
- Parthasar, S., Achta, K.S. and Sharma, V.G. (1965). U take of N, P and K by maize, in relation to levels of nitrogen. Indian J. Agron. 10 (5) : 244-252.
- Subbanganadar, J. and George, G.B. (1975). Effect of date of sowing and nitrogen fertilization with micro nutrients on the yield and quality of hybrid maize-Deccan mahla Agrie. Res. J. Kerala 13 (1) : 8-14.
- Rai, B., Singh, T.C. and Chowdhury, T.C. (1981). Some varieties for new cropping system of North Bihar. Indian J. Agr. (11) : 22-2.
- Rajagopal, J., Sreeraj, Y.S. and Shankarachu (1974). Nutritive value of fodder maize varieties in relation to feed rates and nitrogen level. Indian Agrie. 2 : 61 (9) : 747-75.
- Rajagopal, A. and Sureshan, Y.B. (1974). Influence of feed rates and nitrogen levels on the variation of fodder maize (*Zea mays* L.). Indian Agrie. 2 : 61 (9) : 740-746.
- Rajan, A.V. and Ankanan, S. (1974). Studies on crop water concentration for nutrients and its effect on grain yield of maize (Var. Carga-5). Indian Agrie. 2 : 61 (8) : 423-426.

- Rajan, A.V., Tankaran, S. and Laxmanan, Y.B. (1974). Studies on climacine in hybrid maize (Var. Ganga-5) under graded levels of nitrogen. Madras Agric. J. 61 (8) : 406-412.
- Rajpat, V.L., Singh, D.K., Pande, R.G. and Varma, K.T. (1976). Response of maize (La Pave L.) to levels of nitrogen and spacing. Indian J. Agric. Sci. 4 (1) : 62-66.
- Ranjoch Singh, Lohar, S. and Nathasingh, (1980). Harvest time moisture profiles and yield of unirrigated maize as influenced by variety and rainfall distribution. Indian J. Agric. Sci. 50 (11) : 813-821.
- Rathi, K.S. and Ali, H.I. (1972). A note on the effects of N and P on yield of maize composite Kisan. Indian J. Agron. 17 (3) : 225-224.
- Rathore, D.N., Singh, K. and Singh, B.P. (1976). Effect of nitrogen and plant population on the yield attributes of maize. Indian J. Agric. Res. 10 (2) : 79-82.
- Reddy, H.N. and Kalleppa, R. (1974). Effect of graded doses of nitrogen and population on the yield of Eccan hybrid maize. Madras Agric. J. 61 (9) : 725-728.
- Raut, H.C. and Ali, H. (1977). Rate and duration of grain filling in maize cultivars under variable soil moisture and N levels. Indian J. Agron. 22 (3) : 185-187.
- Reddy, G.B., Reddy, H.R., Reddy, K.R. and Cheri, A.V. (1977). Effect of azotobacter inoculation and nitrogen application on yield of maize. Indian J. Agron. 22 (4) : 224-226.
- Reddy, H.R. and Patil, C.J. (1982). Response of hybrid maize to different sources, levels and split doses of nitrogen application under irrigated condition. Madras J. Agr. Sci. 16 (4) : 404-407.
- Ronig, V.V. and Broadbent, F.L. (1979). Protein and amino acids in grain of maize grown with various levels of applied nitrogen. Agron. J. 71 (3) : 509-512.

- Rondig, V.V. and Jomono, J. (1978). Nitrogen nutrition as a regulator of biosynthesis of storage proteins in maize (Zea mays L., grain. In nitrogen in the Environment Vol. 2. Soil-plant nitrogen relationships : 255-270.
- Rhoads, F.H. and Tanley, R.L. Jr. Fertilizer scheduling, yield and nutrient uptake of irrigated corn. Agron. J. 73 (6) : 971-974.
- Rauf, M.A. and Islam, M.S. (1983). Yield response of maize of different rates of nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizers under rainfed condition. Bangladesh J. Agric. Res. 8 (1) : 40-48.
- Roy, B. and Chatterjee, B.N. (1972). Effect of soil conditions with and without NPK fertilizers on the utilization of soil potassium. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci. 21 (3) : 271-290.
- \*Rucha, H. (1982). Increase in yield of grain maize by irrigation and fertilizer application. Revisma Vyroba 28 (9) : 995-1008.
- \*Russel, P.A. (1984). Further studies on the response of maize inbred lines to nitrogen fertilizer. Madras J. 24 (2) : 141-150.
- Russell, P.A. and Pierre, W.H. (1981). Relationship between maize single crosses and their parent inbred lines for nitrogen content in grain. Agron. J. 72 (2) : 355-369.
- \*Saad, A.G.M., El-Mourcy, A. and Yakout, G.C. (1981). Response of maize yield to foliar application with urea and cycocool. Research Bull. Fac. of Agri. - Ain Univ. No. 1441 : 12.
- Sadiq, M., Hamid, M.H. and Haque, Z. (1977). Effect of nitrogen fertilization on the amino acid content of corn grain protein. Pakistan J. Scientific and Industrial Res. 21 (2) : 96-99.

- \*Salon, J.A. and Aly, A.D. (1979). Effect of nitrogen fertilizer levels and variation on grain yield and some plant characters of maize. (*Gen. agr. 2*). Alcannaria J. Agric. Res. 27 (2) : 377-385.
- \*Salon, J., Rochey, A. and Gab-Alla, P.I. (1983). Grain yield of maize in relation to variety, plant population and nitrogen application. Annals Agric. Sci. Jochshor 2 (1) : 91-105.
- \*Sancho, K.S., Shah, V.S. and Singh, B.P. (1975). Response of maize (*Gen. agr. 2*) to levels of nitrogen and plant population. J. Res. IARI 12 (3) : 226-231.
- \* Santos, N., Dos, and Mundstock, C.M. (1982). Effect of intraspecific competition on development of maize inflorescence. Pesquisa Agropecuária - Brasília 16 (11) : 1181-1185.
- \* Santos, G.L. and Gibson, F.F. (1977). Response of hybrid and open pollinated maize cultivars to nitrogen fertilizer in southern Brazil. Trop. Agric. 54 (2) : 117-111.
- \*Sankar, A. and Singh, S.N. (1981). Nitrogen and phosphorus fertility in maize - wheat rotation. Indian J. Agric. Sci. 51 (2) : 9-26.
- \*Sardhar, V.H. and Jodanandan, N. (1972). Note on the performance of hybrid maize varying plant populations and different levels of nitrogen in red loam soils of Kerala. Agric. Res. J. Kerala 14 (1) : 46-48.
- \*Sathyanarayana, T., Badarur, V.P. and Nayangi, G.V. (1978). Response of maize to nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium on acid sandy loam soils of Bangalore. Indian J. Agron. 23 (1) : 49-51.
- \*Sattar, M.A., Rahman, I. and Khan, S.H. (1975). Effect of different levels of nitrogen and dates of planting on three types of corn. Bangladesh J. Biol. Sci. 4 (1) : 17-19.

- \* Saxena, B.C. and Gautam, B.P. (1971). Foliar diagnosis of nitrogen and phosphate status of hybrid maize. (Gen. maxi L.) Indian J. Agric. Sci. 41 (10) : 855-857.
- \* Saxena, B.C. and Singh, Y. (1965). A note on area adaptation of intact maize levels. Indian J. Agric. Sci. 10 (4) : 437-439.
- \* Sengupta, S., Borlavia, V. and Reddy, P. (1979). Effect of increased rate of nitrogen on some preparation of the ear and grain of maize. Archiv. As Poljoprivrednog Nauke 22 (118) : 9-13.
- \* Sankar, S.C., Salun, J.S. and Reddy, A. (1981). Response of maize to nitrogen and boron. Annals of Agric. Sci. Coimbatore 16 : 9-16.
- \* Sankar, S.C., Salun J.S. and Reddy, A. (1981). Response of ear to nitrogen and boron. Annals Agric. Sci. Coimbatore 11 : 25-34.
- \* Shah, V.H., Gautam, G.P. and Manjit Singh (1971). Comparative response of an open pollinated variety and a double cross maize hybrid to NPK. Indian J. Agric. Sci. 41 923-927.
- \* Shalaby, Y.Y. and Mikhail, S.M. (1979). Effect of planting date, watering intervals and nitrogen rates on maize. Yield of grain and straw. Annals of Agric. Sci. Coimbatore 11 : 23-34.
- \* Shalaby, Y.Y. and Mikhail, S.M. (1979). Effect of planting date, watering intervals and nitrogen rates on maize 2. Yield component character. Annals of Agric. Sci. Coimbatore 11 : 13-23.
- \* Shanmugasundaram, V., Arunachalam, S., Prinjivaran, T.R. and Sankaran, N., Perachan, Y.B. (1974). Response of hybrid maize (Ganga-5) for nitrogen fertilization. Indian Agric. J. 61 (9) : 738-743.
- \* Sharma, R.K. (1970). Red cross of maize to nitrogen fertilization. Indian Agric. J. 6 (6) : 399-400.

- Harada, R.S. (1977). Yield potentialities of maize-hybrid and composite. Indian Agric. J. 6 (9/12) : 127-130.
- Sharma, R.H. (1970). Potentials of some composites of maize in comparison with recommended hybrid and local variety as influenced by nitrogen fertilization. Indian J. Agron. 23 (5) : 246-251.
- Sharma, K.C. and Gupta, P.C. (1968). Effect of plant population and rates of nitrogen on the performance of hybrid maize. Indian J. Agron. 13 (1) : 76-82.
- Sharma, K.C., Pandey, R.K. and Robison, (1969). Response of 3 hybrid and one open pollinated variety of maize to different rates of nitrogen in Tamil. Indian J. Agron. 14 (2) : 135-41.
- Sharma, R.H., Singh, S.H. and Gupta, P.C. (1979). Evaluation of promising maize genotypes for response to nitrogen. Indian J. Agric. Sci. 49 (6) : 144-149.
- Sharma, R.H. and Shukla, V.S. (1978). Response of three maize (19 MAY 1) hybrids to nitrogen fertilization. J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ. 3 (2) : 113-115.
- Shera, R.S., Islam, M.S., Fahlman, A.A. and Roy, S.K. (1982). Yield responses of maize to different rates of nitrogen and sulphur during the Kharif season in Bangladesh. Bangladesh J. Agric. 2 (314) : 46-47.
- Shukla, S.P. and Bhargava, R.L. (1976). Effect of levels of sources of N and P on growth, yield and nutrient uptake of maize grown under rainfed condition. Indian J. Agron. 21 : 436-441.
- Shukla, S.P., Kalia, B.P. and Hegde, S.C. (1978). Crop management studies in rainfed maize under rich soil condition. Indian J. Agron. 23 (5) : 185-191.

- Malik, S.C. and Varney, B. (1970). Influence of time and method of nitrogen application on starch contents in grain of hybrid maize. Indian J. Agric. Sci. 57 (7) : 287-289.
- Malhotra, J.S., Adair, G.C., Kohler, G.B., Carson, J.H., Pabold, H.A., Porter, T.B. and Klich, J.F. (1955). Quality evaluation studies of foreign and domestic maize. Tech. Bull. 1371, Agric. U.S.A. 1-18.
- Singh, V.B. (1964). Fertilizer requirements for maize in Rajasthan through contribution and differential response of growth attributes on yield of maize. Indian Agric. 9 (2) : 47-54.
- Singh, A.M. (1967). Effect of nitrogen variation, plant density and soil fertility on yield of two varieties of maize. Indian J. Agric. 12 (2) : 314-319.
- Singh, T.F. (1971). Genetic variability, correlations and path analysis for yield and related variables in a collection of maize germplasm. Indian J. Agric. Sci. 57 (5) : 262-267.
- Singh, A., Ahluwalia, I.S. and Arora, C.S. (1962). Effect of summer grain and fodder legume grown pure and mixed with fodder maize on the fertilizer need of rainy season maize. Indian J. Agric. Sci. 52 (1) : 663-668.
- Singh, T.F., Ahlhor, A., Prasad, S.S. and Jha, S. (1962). Note on the adaptability of some Yugoslav maize varieties. Indian J. Agric. Sci. 52 (5) : 339-4.
- Singh, O.P. and Chandra, P. (1964). Note on the economics of grain legume intercropping and nitrogen fertilization in maize. Indian J. Agric. Sci. 54 (1) : 59-64.

- Singh, G.H. and Guloria, J. (1979). Effects of intercropping and fertility levels on growth and yields of maize. (on maize L., Food, farming and agriculture. 18 (7) : 242-244.
- Singh, S.N., Jain, S.I., Subedar Singh, and Anandan, (1982). Studies on estimation of leaf area in maize Indian J. Agron. 29 (2) : 402-4-5.
- Singh, G.I., Sengupta, J.D., Tansley, J. and Sharma, H.N. (1981). Studies on the effect of Acetobacter inoculation, nitrogen and farmyard manure on the yield of maize. Indian J. Agron. 28 (2) : 255-258.
- Singh, K.R. and Kherwada, (1978). Effect of JK with and without zinc and manganese on yield and chemical composition of maize. Indian J. Agric. Res. 12 (1) : 23-26.
- Singh, Verma, R.W., Singh, B.P. and Anja, S.L. (1972). Relative effect of nitrogenous fertilizers and graded doses on the yield and quality of maize. Indian J. Agric. Res. 6 (2) : 99-106.
- Singh, Y.P., Singh, H.S.P., Singh, A.K., Krishnamohan, and Chha, S.R. (1984). Response of maize genotypes to varying levels of nitrogen under rainfed condition. Indian J. Agron. 29 (1) : 121-122.
- Singh, S.M. and Sharma, H.P. (1968). Effect of varying soil moisture regimes, plant population and levels of nitrogen on maize. Indian Agric. J. 55(1) : 492-497.
- Singh, S.M., Goll, J.R. and Jangal, S.C. (1978). Response of rainfed popcorn (on maize L.) to nitrogen and plant population. Indian J. Agric. 14 (4) : 395-398.
- Sinha, K.P. and Seng, . . . (1972). Response of maize (on maize L., to higher fertility conditions in Northern Bihar. Indian J. Agric. Sci. 42 (2) : 242-245.
- Singh, S P and Verma, H P S (1977) Genetic Studies on Yield components in maize Indian J Agric Res 11 (4) : 235- 237

- \*Smith, P. and Jackson, T.A. (1982). Effects of nitrogen fertilizers on phosphorus uptake and transport. J.I.R.O. Div. Tropical crops and pasture Ann. Report. 1981-82, 46-48.
- Soe, B.N., Awasthi, G.C. and Sharma, S.K. (1979). Effect of cultural practices on yield and grain quality in maize. Indian J. Agric. Sci. 15 (2) : 119-121.
- Swaminathan, C.P. (1980). Note on application of nitrogen to the maize in drylands of Udaipur. Indian J. Agric. Sci. 50 (2) : 222-223.
- Swaminathan, C.P., Manjuresh, K.R., Ramaswamy, V. S., Arunachalam, S. and Anandan, S. (1976). Relationship between N concentration and yield as influenced by N application to maize. Indian J. Agric. Sci. 21 (1) : 80-82.
- Swaminathan, C.P., Roy, S.P. and Singh, R.D. (1971). Response of composite maize to level of nitrogen and time of its application under rainfed and agroclimatic conditions of Chotanagpur. Indian J. Agric. Sci. 15 (4) : 425-429.
- \*Stanjilovic, B. and Kostovic, D. (1981). Influence of different amounts and proportions of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium fertilizers on yield and quality of maize. Collected i Bilina 26 (2) : 147-151.
- Stoyanov, P. (1983). Effect of increasing fertilizer rates on photosynthetic pigment content in leaves and maize yield. Fitohimija na Rastlinivata. 9 (C) : 17-21.
- Subramanian, S. (1977). Studies on the effect of irrigation regime and graded nitrogen levels on growth, yield and yield attributes of maize. Report. I. C. (Agr.) ICRAR.
- Subramanian, S., Kandaswamy, S. and Subramanian, S. (1982). Effect of seasonal and nitrogen fertilization on uptake of nutrients in maize var. Ganga-5. Indian J. Agric. Sci. 50 (2) : 690-692.

- Unbali, G.L. and Sarabach. (1971). Response of rainfed hybrid maize to nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizers. Indian J. Agron. 16 (4) : 40-42.
- \*Jannat, A., Munnarat, G. and Chotchaengannarat. (1983). Effect of time and method of fertilizer placement on yield and fertilizer utilization of maize-mungbean as indicated by  $^{15}N$ . Rangsit J. 17 (2) : 27-31.
- Seary, S., Babani, M.H. and Singh, R.P. (1970). Response of hybrid maize to levels of NPK fertilizers under Sonchi Plateau condition. Indian J. Agron. 15 : 5-8.
- Thomas, J. Grove, R. Dale Ritchey and George, S., Madornar Jr. (1966). Nitrogen fertilization of maize on an alluvial soil of the coast of Brazil. J. Agron. (2) : 261-265.
- Thain, J.Y. and Delee, S. (1972). Effect of N and P transport system in (ca maye L. oil oi. co. ANOR. Rep. 26 : 517-521.
- \*Tiann, A., Iau, E., Intracoeis, C., Incarlu, V., Celega, M., Tomeroga, S. and Nedelovic, J. (1981). Influence of nitrogen rate on yields of irrigated maize hybrids. Analise In t. de cercatori pentru Cereali si Plante Tehnice, Burgului 77 : 11-17.
- Tripathi, H.P. (1971). Quality of summer maize (Ca maye L) in relation to nitrogen levels, plant population and irrigation regimes. Indian Agric. J. 43 (7) : 551-553.
- Tripathi, H.P. (1978). Response of composite maize to different levels of nitrogen and phosphorus in a J. Agric. Res. 12 (4) : 271-272.
- Tripathi, H.P. and Singh, V.P. (1982). Relationship between drymatter accumulation and nutrient composition at different stages of maize composite maize. Indian J. Agric. Res. 16 (3) : 149-152.

- Vater, H.H.S., Berman, K.V., and Chan, R.L. (1970). Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium requirements for targetting the yield of hybrid maize. Indian J. Agric. Sci. 60 (3) : 485-488.
- Veronai, J. (1974/1975). Studies of yield components in some irrigated maize hybrids. Vedcko časopis Vlasenako Htrava avlovocho Narodna tu V Bratislava II : 175-180.
- Verma, B. and Singh, S. (1971). Effects of different levels of nitrogen and plant density on the yield of maize hybrids under spring condition. Indian J. Agric. Sci. 13 (4) : 291-292.
- Verma, B. and Singh, S. (1975). Effects of nitrogen, moisture regime and plant density on grain yield and quality of hybrid maize. Indian J. Agric. Sci. 21 (4)
- Vincent, S.S., Chahal, A.C. and Sandhu, S. (1971). Effect of nitrogen on the uptake and utilization of soil and fertilizer phosphorus. Rep. Punjab Agric. Univ. 7 (3) : 100-111.
- Wahid, P., Hasan, F.A., D. and Singha, M.H.D. (1977). Experiment on soil urea soil phosphorus availability. Barisal Univ. J. 26 : 7-35. (1977)
- Yadav, P.P., Kishore, U.S. and Nagesh, H.H. (1981). Response of maize to varied water and split of nitrogen. Baral Agric. J. 7 (4) : 238-241.
- Yadav, P.P., Srivastava, U.S., Narain, K.S., Agrawal, S.K. and Rastogi, V.S. (1982). Response of some promising maize varieties to nitrogen level under irrigated condition. Baral Agric. J. 62 (6) : 272-275.
- \*Yahya, . (1984). Growth, tissue-N content and yield response of corn hybrid to nitrogen fertilization in a range of environments. Aggr. Abstr. International 42(3) : 241
- Yahya, . and Andrew, H.V. (1981). Nitrogen concentration and yield of maize as influenced by environment. In Agronomy Abstracts 7th Annual Meeting American Society of Agronomy. 116;

- \* abolyi, K.C. (1930). Response of maize to fertilizer in an irrigated crop rotation. Agrochimica 5 : 58-6 .

\*Original not seen.

# **APPENDICES**

AD/ LADL-1(a)

Weather data during the growing period  
(January - April 1966)

Standard week number	Date	Rainfall (in)	Temperature(°C)		Humidity (%)
			Max	Min	
2	January 8-14	1.8	31.84	22.5	79.2
3	" 15-21	1.	32.31	21.85	77
4	" 22-28	-	32.59	23.1	79.9
5	" 29-4	3.4	32.14	21.96	81.7
6	February 5-11	-	32.14	21.6	75.9
7	" 12-18	-	31.95	21.45	79.3
8	" 19-25	69.6	32.14	20.66	75.2
9	" 26-4	16.4	31.71	21.34	77.4
10	March 5-11	9.2	31.55	20.75	77.5
11	" 12-18	1.4	31.65	20.7	74.6
12	" 19-25	-	31.39	20.26	72.6
13	" 26-1	-	32.46	21.72	72.4
14	April 2-8	6.5	33.74	24.14	72.8
15	" 9-15	42.2	33.74	22.85	74.3

APP H IX-1(b)

Weather Data during the last 25 years

Month	Rainfall (in)	Temperature (°C)		Relative Humidity (%)
		Max.	Min.	
January	24.62	11.90	22.65	79.33
February	35.	11.04	22.07	82.05
March	35.	22.17	24.10	81.76
April	59.16	22.27	25.02	83.29
May	197.70	25.42	26.92	85.07
June	292.20	29.72	27.95	85.11
July	227.09	29.77	29.46	87.10
August	150.63	27.12	29.22	86.2
September	15.28	29.70	29.56	85.77
October	204.14	29.91	23.76	87.41
November	230.5	25.66	23.81	86.97
December	71.85	20.00	23.26	84.23

APPENDIX-II

Abstract of Analysis of variance - Height of plants (cm.)

Source	df	Mean square			
		20th day	40th day	60th day	Harvest
Replication	3	559.33	793.77	4602.5	2992.92
Mainplot-variety	4	226.93	2615.86	3656.63	5122.44*
Error 1	12	120.44	825.45	2370.44	1287.10
Subplot-Nitrogen	4	719.24**	1924.86**	3824.0**	4933.0**
Variety x Nitrogen	16	62.85*	53.62	205.56	-
Error 2	60	28.53	76.54	159.83	123.48

\* significant at 5% level

\*\* significant at 1% level

APPENDIX-III

Abstract of Analysis of variance - Number of leaves

Source	df	Mean square			
		20th day	40th day	60th day	Harvest
Replication	3	10.46	6.41	9.39	8.96
Mainplot-variety	4	1.68	6.43	1.53	1.32
Error 1	12	1.79	2.84	2.85	3.63
Subplot-Nitrogen	4	5.53**	11.38**	10.74**	9.42**
Variety x Nitrogen	16	0.13	0.23	0.26	0.26
Error 2	60	0.14	0.17	0.20	-

\*\* significant at 1% level

APPENDIX-IV

Abstract of Analysis of variance - Leaf Area Index

Source	df	Mean square			
		3 <sup>rd</sup> day	4 <sup>th</sup> day	6 <sup>th</sup> day	Harvest
Replication	3	1.72	0.79	2.62	2.25
Mainplot-variety	4	0.30	3.17**	6.59**	3.99**
Error 1	12	0.10	0.21	0.51	.55
Subplot-Nitrogen	4	0.67**	3.26**	2.72**	1.04**
Variety x Nitrogen	16	0.024	0.04	0.59	.085
Error 2	64	0.019	0.071	0.17	0.11

\*\* Significant at 1% level

APPENDIX-V

Abstract of Analysis of variance - Days to silking,  
Leaf-stem ratio and drymatter production

Source	df	Mean square		
		Days to silking	Leaf-stem ratio	Drymatter production
Replication	3	14.05	0.05	1561045
Mainplot-variety	4	482.5**	0.12**	1661024
Error 1	12	94.00	0.12	809939
Subplot-Nitrogen	4	211.71**	1.11**	665 96.**
Variety x Nitrogen	16	11.07	0.13**	46600
Error 2	64	10.87	0.03	7550

\* Significant at 5% level

\*\* Significant at 1% level

APPENDIX-VI

Abstract of Analysis of variance - Yield Components

Source	df	Mean square					
		No. of cob/plant	No. of grain per cob	Length of cob	Girth of cob	Height of cob	Weight of 10% grain
Replication	3	1.12	8456.13	7.99	1.50	4214.00	4962
Main plot-variety	4	1.11**	32,214.50**	6.32**	22.02**	61750.56*	5787.56*
Error 1	12	1.17	2593.53	3.12	3.57	2672.85	127.43
Sub-plot-Nitrogen	4	2.06	6241.25**	105.57**	19.53**	20775.69*	6703
Variety x Nitrogen	16	1.15	2037.16	16.73	1.53	962.69	139.11
Error 2	60	0.07	5570.57	4.27	1.47	517.10	148.97

\* Significant at 5% level

\*\* Significant at 1% level

APPENDIX-VII

Abstract of Analysis of variance - Yield and Harvest Index

SOURCE	df	Mean square		
		Grain yield	Stover yield	Harvest Index
Replication	2	25352.08	72554.69	.65
Subplot-variety	4	42660.1	47278.91	0.33*
Error 1	12	21771.76	16444.53	1.04
Subplot Nitrogen	4	5931.06**	65559.76**	0.36**
Variety x Nitrogen	16	2747.62	1232.72	0.20
Error 2	60	2271.61	2582.16	0.18

\* Significant at 5% level

\*\* Significant at 1% level

APPENDIX-VIII

Abstract of Analysis of variance - Protein Content

SOURCE	df	Mean square
Replication	2	0.41
Subplot-variety	4	5.19**
Error 1	12	.61
Subplot-Nitrogen	4	11.20**
Variety x Nitrogen	16	1.37
Error 2	60	1.12

\*\* Significant at 1% level

A 1943-44

Abstract of Analysis of variance - N, P and K content in  
plants and grains

Source	df	Mean square					
		N Content of straw	N Content of grain	P Content of grain	K Content of straw	K Content of straw	% Content of grain
Re location	3	.19	1.16	.08	0.01	.12	0.13
main lot-variety	4	1.13*	1.14*	.11*	.19*	0.12	1.75*
Error 1	12	.05	1.12	0.02	0.02	0.12	0.10
lot-Nitrogen	4	0.52**	1.13**	.01	0.24**	0.16	1.21**
Variety N Nitrogen	16	.06**	1.14	1.04*	1.06	1.019	0.16
Error 2	6	0.02	1.13	1.02	1.06	1.011	0.16

\* Significant at 5% level

\*\* Significant at 1% level

A. P. JINJA-K

Abstract of Analysis of variance - Uptake of N, P, K and content of  
 $N_2O_5$  and  $K_2O$  in soil after the experiment

Source	df	Mean squares					
		Uptake of N	Uptake of P	Uptake of K	Soil N Content	Soil $N_2O_5$ Content	Soil $K_2O$ Content
Replication	3	76.79	1044.73	619.45	25.1433	22.7	98.131
in lot-variety	4	2547.22	2151.65	513.56	1257732	74.9144	2511.75
Error 1	12	2174.53	1806.91	279.82	2356075	6.47	11504.16
Sublot-Nitrogen	4	34676.70**	567.24**	532.47**	2.740427E 07**	1.13	514249
Variety x Nitrogen	16	56.95	21.36	41.57	479480	3.2677	75.2.53
Error 2	63	30.63	97.59	35.09	614395.2	3.47	1.36 .07

\* Significant at 5% level

\*\* Significant at 1% level

ANNEX-XI

Abstract of Analysis of variance - Response of maize varieties to Nitrogen  
(Trend Analysis)

Source	df	Mean square				
		$V_2$	$V_3$	$V_4$	$V_5$	$V_6$
Block	3	161152	164745	723773	55356	105225
Treatment(Nitrogen)	4	847509	94622	396195	553442	346310
N Linear	1	896170*	329186*	109166**	107449*	11702912
N Quadratic	1	17981	212736*	15101	234230**	12061
N Cubic	1	250910	245392*	151516	1491	1196122
N Quartic	1	57453	31265	26500	8165	761860
Error	12	57129	26235	3531	27212	959930

\*Significant at 5% level

APPENDIX III

Abstract of Analysis of variance - Economics of  
Rice Production

Source	D.F.	Mean Square
Reproduction	3	11996.70
Main lot-variety	4	59954.1
Error 1	12	20910690
Sublot-Nitrogen	4	55782630**
Variety x Nitrogen	16	2125216
Error 2	60	2417973

\*\* Significant at 1% level

**RESPONSE OF MAIZE VARIETIES GROWN  
IN RICE FALLOWS TO GRADED LEVELS OF NITROGEN**

**BY  
K. JALEESA**

**ABSTRACT OF A THESIS  
SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE  
REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEGREE  
MASTER OF SCIENCE IN AGRICULTURE  
FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE  
KERALA AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY**

**DEPARTMENT OF AGRONOMY  
COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE  
VELLAYANI, TRIVANDRUM**

**1987**

## ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted at the Instructional Farm, College of Agriculture, Vellayani to findout the response of maize varieties grown in rice fallow to graded levels of nitrogen. During summer season 1985-86, with different levels of nitrogen viz. 5, 0, 11, 14 and 17 kg and five varieties viz. Ganga safal-3, Agathi-76, Hi-starch, Vijay and Ganga-5. The experiment was laid out in a split-plot design with four replications on 25 treatment combinations.

Nitrogen had significant effect on plant height, number of leaves, leaf area index, leaf-stem ratio and drymatter production. The variety Ganga-5 recorded increases in height, higher number of leaves, higher leaf area index, higher leaf-stem ratio and higher drymatter yield. An increase in the level of nitrogen from 5 to 17 kg/ha significantly reduced the number of days to silking. Minimum number of days to silking was recorded by Agathi-76. Under rice fallow condition, application of 17 kg N/ha recorded the highest leaf-stem ratio which was on par with its lower level of 14 kg N/ha. Among the varieties Ganga-5 recorded the highest value and lowest value was recorded by Hi-starch. The drymatter production increased with increasing levels of nitrogen upto 17 kg/ha.

Under rice fallow condition, application of 170 kg N/ha recorded the highest number of cobs/plant which was on par with 140 kg N/ha. Application of 140 kg N/ha was sufficient to produce the maximum number of grains/cob and their grain weight. Length, girth and weight of cobs were also maximum at 140 kg N/ha. The variety Ganga-5 performed best in these characters under rice fallow conditions.

Grain yields and stover yields were the highest with 140 and 170 kg N/ha respectively although there were no differences in respect of grain yield. Variety Ganga-5 recorded the maximum grain yield and stover yield under rice fallow condition.

Application of 140 kg N/ha was sufficient to produce the maximum harvest index. Among the varieties, Ganga-5 recorded the maximum harvest index.

Protein content of grain was maximum at 140 kg N/ha. Variety Ganga-5 recorded the highest protein content of grain.

Increased application of nitrogen resulted in an increase in uptake of nitrogen, phosphorus and potash.

Total nitrogen content of the soil after the experiment was significantly influenced by level of nitrogen.

Available phosphorus and potassium content of the soil after the experiment also varied significantly with the different levels of nitrogen.

The varieties Ganga safed-2, Hi-starch and Ganga-5 responded linearly towards the application of nitrogen. The economic optimum levels of nitrogen for the varieties Vijay and Agathi-75 were worked out to be 144.44 kg and 147.57 kg/ha.

Nitrogen levels influenced the production of straw and the increase in netprofit was linear upto 14 kg /ha.

Grain yield of the crop was positively and significantly correlated with all the yield components. The uptake of nitrogen phosphorus and potassium at harvest was also significantly correlated with grain yield.